

**Santa Barbara City College**  
**College Planning Council**  
**October 18, 2016**  
**3:00-4:30 p.m.**

**Minutes**

**1.0 PRESENT:**

A. Beebe, Chair, President  
E. Auchincloss, President, CSEA Chapter 289  
P. Bishop, Vice President, Information Technology  
P. Butler, President, Academic Senate  
R. Else, Sr. Director, Institutional Assessment, Research and Planning (non-voting)  
P. Jarrell, Executive Vice President, Educational Programs  
J. McPheter, Representative, Classified Staff  
K. Monda, Past President, Academic Senate  
K. O'Connor, Representative, Academic Senate  
V. Pelton, Representative, Advancing Leadership Committee  
D. Raiman, President, Associated Student Government (non-voting)  
P. Stark, Representative, Academic Senate  
J. Sullivan, Vice President, Business Services  
L. Vasquez, Vice President, Academic Senate  
J. Walker, Representative, Advancing Leadership Committee

**ABSENT:**

P. English, Vice President, Human Resources  
C. Salazar, Representative, Classified Staff

**OTHER ATTENDEES:**

C. Alsheimer, Representative, Academic Senate  
L. Maas, Controller  
M. Moreno, Representative, Advancing Leadership Committee  
K. Neufeld, Dean, Educational Programs  
L. Reyes-Martin, Director, Communications  
M. Spaventa, Dean, Educational Programs

**2.0 CALL TO ORDER**

2.1 Dr. Beebe called the meeting to order.

**3.0 ANNOUNCEMENTS – None**

**4.0 INFORMATION ITEMS**

4.1 This item was taken out of order.

Dr. Beebe informed Council that the Campus Center project had been put on hold. He introduced Joe Sullivan who reported that the bids for the new Campus Center had been submitted, and that the lowest bid was \$7 million over the college's budgeted amount of \$10 million for the project. He

noted that due to the unforeseen impact to the budget, the college will reject all bids, and will determine the process going forward. Questions followed.

Dr. Beebe reiterated that the project would be delayed, not canceled. However, due to the delay, the college is ineligible to accept \$20 million in matching state funds. Mr. Sullivan further reported that the building does not meet current seismic code, and has considerable structural damage, posing some health and safety issues. For these reasons, the college would consider whether or not it was feasible to go forward with phase one of the project, demolition. Mr. Sullivan assured Council that food services will be provided on the East Campus at the JSB Cafe, John Dunn Gourmet Dining Room, Favela's, and the Student Services patio.

## 5.0 DISCUSSION ITEMS

### 5.1 Increasing Value: Student Learning Outcomes at SBCC – K. Neufeld (Att. [ACCJC Actionable Improvement Plan Response - Increasing Value: Student Learning Outcomes at SBCC](#))

Kenley Neufeld provided a brief review of the Student Learning Outcomes (SLO) Implementation Cycle. He stated that prior to last year's accreditation visit, the college conducted a self-evaluation; a resulting self-identified recommendations was to draft a plan by the end of 2015 to increase the value of the college's SLOs. The plan was finalized earlier this year and has been presented to the Academic Senate.

Mr. Neufeld noted that the college must include an account of the college's progress on the recommendation in the 2018 mid-term accreditation report.

**M/S/C (Monda/Bishop) to move the SLO improvement plan, *Increasing Value: Student Learning Outcomes at SBCC*, to action. Motion was unanimously approved.**

Discussion ensued. It was agreed to substitute the word "engagement" for "happiness" in the subtitle of the document.

**M/S/C (Monda/Bishop) to support SLO improvement plan, *Increasing Value: Student Learning Outcomes at SBCC*, with one modification. Motion was unanimously approved.**

### 5.2 Process for Addressing Budget Issues – A. Beebe (Att. [Proposed Process for Addressing Budget Issues 10/18/16](#))

Dr. Beebe reviewed the four criteria on which recommended budget solutions should be based. Recommendations must be:

- Realistic
- Provide significant value to reduce the deficit
- Mission-based
- Student-centered

He introduced Dr. Priscilla Butler who reviewed the *Proposed Process for Addressing Budget Issues*, a procedure for addressing budget issues within the budget workgroups (Solution Teams). Discussion ensued regarding whether budget recommendations from the various workgroups need to be presented as information or for action at CPC. There was consensus that though some budget solutions fall under the purview of department administrators, others need to be vetted by CPC. It was noted by some Council members that their departments are already taking measures to help reduce the budget deficit. The importance of documentation, and providing clear and accurate communications to the campus community was stressed.

During the course of the meeting, Council revised the *Proposed Process for Addressing Budget Issues*.

**M/S/C (O'Connor/Sullivan) to move the revised *Proposed Process for Addressing Budget Issues* to action. Motion passed unanimously.**

**M/S/C (O'Connor/Sullivan) to approve the revised *Proposed Process for Addressing Budget Issues*. Motion passed unanimously.**

## **6.0 ACTION ITEMS**

## **7.0 ADJOURNMENT**

7.1 The next CPC meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, November 1, 2016 in Room A218C from 3:00-4:30 p.m.