

**SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE  
COLLEGE PLANNING COUNCIL**

**November 30, 1999**

**3:00-4:30 P.M.**

**A 218C**

<b>MINUTES</b>
----------------

PRESENT: J. Friedlander, D. Oroz, T. Garey, B. Fahnestock, B. Cordero, L. Fairly, T. Garey, J. Lynn, K. Hanna, L. Rose, J. Kruidenier, A. Serban and Alex McKee, Student Senate representative.

ABSENT: B. Hamre (proxy to Fahnestock), K. McLellan

1. Call to order

1.1 Chairperson Jack Friedlander called the meeting to order at 3:10 p.m.

1.2 **M/S/C [Rose/Fairly] to approval of the minutes as amended of the November 23, 1999 meeting with the correction on page 2 to amend the statement to read that "Jim Kruidenier is a member of the "Academic Senate" *not* "Student Senate".**

2. **Announcements**

2.1 Dr. Friedlander conveyed to the Council that the person selected to replace Dan Oroz as Vice President of Human Resources declined the offer of the position. The position will again be opened nationally and closed February 2, 2000. In the interim, other options will be considered for the replacement of Mr. Oroz at the time of his retirement at the end of December.

3. **Information Items - Review of CPC ranking of ongoing PFE requests (*Agenda Att. I*)**

3.1 The Council reviewed and discussed the final allocation of PFE funds for ongoing proposals and the associated costs of those proposals.

Vice President of Business Services Brian Fahnestock identified the direct costs of the ongoing requests to determine how many of these requests will be able to be funded from PFE funds and will then determine how much money will be available for one-time funding. He related that in the past two years, for every

dollar spent for salaries for instructors, the college spends 70 cents in supporting those positions.

Dr. Friedlander then spoke to the cumulative effect of the costs of overhead for new positions. It was determined the overhead costs should be factored in and budgeted for when requesting PFE funds next year.

#### **4. Action Items**

##### **4.1 Discussion of ranking of one-time requests for PFE funds**

**M/S [Rose/Hanna]** to move that the contract faculty training, the adjunct faculty training and marketing proposals from the ongoing requests be moved into the one-time proposals thereby reducing the amount of the one-time cumulative funds. A discussion ensued.

Bill Cordero and Jim Kruidenier spoke against this motion making the point that these items should not be automatically ranked in the proposals for one-time funding.

Dr. Friedlander clarified the motion on the table that we take the items in the motion and subtract the \$15,700 from the \$130,450 total for one-time funds and that these items would be automatically approved as one-time requests.

**The motion carried with six ayes, two nays and one abstention.**

##### **4.2 The council ranked the requests for one-time PFE funds**

**M/S [Garey/Kruidenier]** that we lock the rankings from item #18, work experience, and rank items #26 down.

**After a discussion, the motion was unanimously carried.**

**M/S [Rose/Garey] to accept the rankings of the top six items, 13, 14, 14, and 17, 17 and that the next group is re-ranked.**

After a short clarification,

**Lana Rose amended the motion [S/Garey] to accept 11, 11, 13, 14, 14, 17 and 17 and re-rank the next six.**

**The motion carried.**

A discussion ensued on the six items to be re-ranked.

M/S [Kruidenier/Rose] to rank the three 20's individually to keep them in their present ranking.

**The motion carried and the ranking proceeded. (*Final ranking attached*)**

**5. Other Items**

- 5.1 Dr. Friedlander reiterated that the council agreed to meet January 21<sup>st</sup> to work on the strategies to achieve the goals and objectives of the College Plan. The objective would be to have a ranking of the next round of PFE funds so that those funds will be available to be dispersed in the fall. There was a discussion of using the proposals received to date and invite proposals for items not previously addressed and whether or not work groups will be utilized for making these proposals. The council will identify specific needs for which no proposals were submitted or, to clarify proposals previously submitted.

**6. Adjournment**

- 6.1 The meeting was adjourned at 4:20 p.m.