

**SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE  
COLLEGE PLANNING COUNCIL  
April 21, 1998**

**MINUTES**

**PRESENT:** Jack Friedlander, Dan Oroz, David Wilkinson, Don Barthelmess, Lynda Fairly, Lana Rose, Karolyn Hanna, Kathy O'Connor, and John Romo.

**ABSENT:** Jim Lynn, Charles Hanson, and Bill Hamre.

**1.0 CALL TO ORDER**

1.1 The meeting was called to order at 3:07 p.m. by Jack Friedlander.

**2.0 APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

2.1 The approval of the minutes from the March 17<sup>th</sup> meeting was postponed.

**3.0 REPORTS/DISCUSSION**

**3.1 Input for State Budget Planning**

The meeting opened with a presentation from Dr. Friedlander concerning increased FTES funding. California Community Colleges receive about half as much money per FTES as the national average for community colleges. Discussion on the potential of changing matriculation funds from a categorical program to part of a college's base allocation resulted in a suggestion that CPC should, at the appropriate time, provide input as to which programs should remain categorically funded. Further, programs such as DSPS, EOPS and Matriculation should continue to be maintained as categorical rather than grant funds.

Ongoing maintenance and equipment replacement funds are needed without relying on grant funding. The State legislator is responsible for many of the changes that are directed to us through the Chancellor's Office. John Romo expressed the opinion that it may be easier to encourage the Chancellor's Office to allocate dollars to special populations or activities and allow the colleges to designate what they expect the outcomes to be, than it would be to ask them to earmark funds as categorical. It was noted that EOPS will be returning funds this year because the College hasn't met the match. While it may be considered better to have funds as categorical rather than as a grant, an advantage to having grant funds is that they do not count in terms of the

Proposition 98 split. The consensus following the discussion of Basic Skills funds was that a more accurate definition should be used to describe this source of funds.

### 3.2 SBCC Budget Development 1998-99

No presentation was made at this time.

### 3.3 Proposed New Position in IRD

Part of Project Redesign is that the College will be offering more information via the Web, both instructional and administrative. New positions have been created to support this process and meet the demands of the College. Job descriptions for the Web Master and the Director of Institutional Technology positions are in the process of being developed and revised. The job description for Director of Institutional Technology was too broad, resulting in unsuccessful recruitment and the job description for the Web master was rejected in its' current form at a recent FRC meeting. DTC has recommended that a subcommittee be formed to endorse and consider the relationship of the job descriptions in question.

## 4.0 **OTHER**

4.1 Discussion concerning the current status of George Tamas's contract with the District resulted in the Council suggesting that explicit direction is needed at this time. It was noted that Mr. Tamas's two year contract will expire at the end of this academic year and that no formal contract has been renewed as of yet. A concise explanation of the current status of Project Redesign, including accomplishments to date and anticipated costs to complete this project, was requested by CPC members.

4.2 A chart addressing the "SBCC Change Management Infrastructure" was distributed and reviewed (attachment 1). A viewpoint that the College Plan and Initiatives should be at the beginning of the chart rather than the end was expressed.

## 5.0 **ADJOURNMENT**

5.1 There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 3:48 p.m.

---

cc: Deans, Assistant Deans, Academic Senate, Department Chairs, Instructor's Association, Classified Council, CSEA, College Information, *The Channels*