RESOLUTION
OF THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE
SANTA BARBARA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

RE: SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE LONG RANGE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

WHEREAS, the Santa Barbara Community College District Board of Trustees reviewed the Notice of Impending Development 1-2012 and Public Works Plan Amendment 1-2012 associated with the College's Long Range Development Plan; development of the Humanities Building Modernization Project.

WHEREAS, the subject PWPA amendment, SBCC-PWPA-1-2012, will take effect automatically upon California Coastal Commission approval as long as there are no suggested modifications approved by the Commission.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Trustees authorize the Vice President of Business Services to submit the above documents to the California Coastal Commission for approval.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara Community College District this 14th day of June, 2012 by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Absent:

Concur:

Dr. Jack Friedlander, Acting Superintendent/President and Secretary/Clerk to the Board of Trustees
MEMORANDUM

To: Joe Sullivan  
    Vice-President Business Services, Santa Barbara City College

From: David Stone, Project Manager

Subject: Santa Barbara City College Long Range Development Plan 2012 Errata

Date: June 13, 2012

cc: Julie Hendricks, Director of Facilities and Campus Development, SBCC

This memo identifies the revisions in the Campus Long Range Development Plan (LRDP) Amendments that were circulated to the Board of Trustees and the final version that reflects changes requested by the California Coastal Commission staff.

1.Pg. 3, Proposed Development

The following projects were deleted:

- Construction of a new classroom building on the West Campus;
- Replacement of temporary structures with permanent buildings on the East Campus;

Reason: The Coastal Commission, in their deliberation of the 2000 LRDP Amendments, voted to delete these projects from the approved Public Works Plan (PWP) Amendment, the analogous planning document to the LRDP. This deletion had not been reflected in LRDP Amendments approved by the Board of Trustees during consideration of the School of Media Arts Building in 2006 and 2008.

2. Pg. 4 Table 1.1

Projects No. 4, Multidisciplinary Classrooms, and No. 5, Expanded West Campus Parking/Turnaround Bus Stop Area have been deleted, as well as other projects that had been deleted and marked in strikeout language.

Reason: As noted in No. 1, above, the Coastal Commission denied the Multidisciplinary Classroom project from the Public Works Plan (LRDP) in 2000. The Expanded West Campus Parking/Turnaround Bus Stop Area is no longer contemplated.
3. **Pg. 5, Figure 1**

The projects denied by the Coastal Commission have been removed from the figure.

**Reason:** As noted in No. 1, above, the Coastal Commission denied the Multidisciplinary Classroom project from the Public Works Plan (LRDP) in 2000. The Expanded West Campus Parking/Turnaround Bus Stop Area is no longer contemplated.

4. **Pg. 6, Project Descriptions**

The projects denied by the Coastal Commission and other projects removed from the LRDP (Multidisciplinary Classrooms, General Classrooms/Offices, Expanded West Campus Parking/Turnaround Bus Stop Area, and Parking Structure, have been eliminated.

**Reason:** As noted in No. 1, above, the Coastal Commission denied the Multidisciplinary Classroom project from the Public Works Plan (LRDP) in 2000. The Expanded West Campus Parking/Turnaround Bus Stop Area is no longer contemplated.
PUBLIC WORKS PLAN AMENDMENT 1-2012

SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE
LONG RANGE DEVELOPMENT PLAN
HUMANITIES BUILDING MODERNIZATION

The following document provides information that demonstrates compliance with the California Coastal Act Section 30605, Public Works or State University or College or Private University Long-Range Land Use Development Plans (LRDP). Santa Barbara City College (College) seeks a Public Works Plan Amendment for the College’s Humanities Building Modernization Project.

1.0 BACKGROUND

On June 14, 2012, Santa Barbara City College (SBCC) amended their Long Range Development Plan (LRDP) to include the following:

*Humanities Building Modernization Project*, a remodel providing:

- Disabled access and bathroom facilities in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 in a 2,070 square-foot (s.f.), 34-feet high, elevator tower extending outward from the southern façade of the existing Humanities Building;

- Replacement of an existing storage area with a one-story, 755-s.f. storage facility extending from the eastern façade of the existing Humanities Building to comply with building code requirements;

- Replacement of an existing shipping container storage area with a 170-s.f. darkroom and adjacent 210-s.f. equipment storage structure to comply with building code requirements; and

- Replace the existing degraded Outdoor Art Workshop area roof with a shed-style metal roof covering the 5,300-s.f. workshop area, extending from the northern façade of the existing Humanities Building.

2.0 PWPA REQUEST

This PWPA addresses this minor addition to the existing Humanities Building.

2.1 HUMANITIES BUILDING MODERNIZATION

The existing Humanities Building is over 45 years old and has been subject to several minor modifications over its lifespan. These prior improvements now need upgrading to meet current California Division of the State Architect (DSA) standards. In addition, compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 requires that disabled access to the three-story structure and disabled bathroom facilities be provided. The Humanities Building Modernization Project consolidates the required disabled facilities in a new elevator tower in order to avoid financially
prohibitive loss of existing Humanities Building facility space, consolidating these improvements in one minor, economically efficient, structural addition.

The existing College Humanities Building uses and programs would not change as a result of the modernization. Existing storage areas, dark room facilities, and the outdoor art workshop would simply be upgraded to current DSA standards, ensuring public health and safety of participants in these academic programs.

The Humanities Building Modernization Project was subject to a Categorical Exemption under California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines Section 15301(1) Existing Facilities, adopted by the College Board of Trustees on February 23, 2012.

**Building Specifications**

The Humanities Building gross area of 41,695 square feet (s.f.) would be subject to additions totaling 3,205 s.f. with the following:

- A 2,070-square-foot (s.f.) elevator tower 34-feet high, extending outward from the southern façade of the existing Humanities Building that provides for elevators and disabled bathrooms and conference rooms on all floors. The conference rooms need to be relocated from within the existing Humanities Building structure to accommodate the space for connection to the new addition.

- A one-story, 755-s.f. storage area extending from the eastern façade of the existing Humanities Building that replaces existing storage area built in 1985 without DSA approval. The new storage area facility would be located entirely within the existing impervious surfaced, storage area development footprint.

- Replacement of an existing shipping container storage area with a 170-s.f. darkroom and 210-s.f. equipment storage combined structure. The proposed improvements would occur completely within the existing impervious concrete foundation area.

- Construction of a replacement shed-style metal roof between 10'-5" and 13'-5" high covering an existing 5,300-s.f. outdoor art workshop area, extending northward from the northern façade of the existing Humanities Building.

**Grading and Demolition**

The Humanities Building would require the following site preparation and existing facility demolition:

- Removal of 6,975 s.f. of existing landscaping.

- Removal of 10,772 s.f. of existing pavement and impervious surfaces and replacement with 11,876 s.f. of new paving and walkways, including: 7,082 s.f. of colored concrete; 2,775 s.f. paving east of the 1st floor access; a 1,364 s.f. western accessible path; and a 655 s.f. eastern path.
• Grading of 310 cubic yards of cut and 240 cubic yards of fill, to be balanced onsite. No heavy truck trips associated with soil export/import would occur.

• Removal of three non-native trees: one 15-inch Monterey pine; one 18-inch cypress, and one 24-inch cypress.

**Drainage**

Drainage from the new tower would be directed to a new 0.5-ft. deep, 20-ft. long, rock-lined/graded swale, emptying into a 120-foot long landscaped swale that would conduct flows into an existing storm drain inlet on the southeast corner of the existing Humanities Building. All other drainage from the structural development would be directed to existing storm drains; no new drainage infrastructure is required to support the proposed modernization.

**Structural Materials**

Exterior building surfaces would match the existing stucco finish. Pedestrian paving areas would be covered with compacted earth, 4-inch thick sand base, and in 4-inch thick reinforced concrete. The entry plaza would be covered with pre-cast colored concrete pavers placed over a monolithic waterproof membrane.

Site and building retaining walls would be constructed with cast-in-place reinforced concrete retaining walls with granular backfill, waterproofed, and tied into the foundation drain system.

**Landscaping**

Removed landscaping would be replaced with 5,779 s.f. of drought-tolerant ornamental ground cover and shrubbery. Irrigation would be operated on an automatic clock timer and would be programmed to minimize water use. Domestic and reclaimed irrigation water use would be provided by existing College water meters.

**Utilities and Lighting**

**Sewer:** A new sewer lateral approximately 170-feet long extending from the elevator tower housing the new ADA-compliant bathrooms would be constructed that would tie into the existing 8-inch PVC sewer line serving the Humanities Building. The lateral is needed to provide wastewater disposal only for the new disabled bathrooms in the elevator tower. No new sewer capacity would be required.

**Water:** The project includes a connection from the remodeled Humanities Building to the existing City of Santa Barbara water main. The existing 6-inch water line extends from the Humanities Building through the SBCC campus to Loma Alta and the City of Santa Barbara main; it would be upgraded to a 12-inch line that is required in order to comply with existing Santa Barbara City fire hydrant flow requirements. It does not provide for any additional Humanities Building development capacity. The City of Santa Barbara has issued a Coastal
Exemption for these upgrades, as the portion on City property would occur entirely within an existing utility right-of-way.

Stormwater: Drainage from the proposed elevator tower would be directed to a new 0.5-ft. deep, 20-ft. long, rock-lined graded swale emptying into a 120-foot long landscaped swale. The swale would conduct flows into an existing storm drain inlet that serves the existing Humanities Building. No new storm drains or infrastructure is required to support the Humanities Building renovations.

Other: An existing gas main would serve the new building, and be connected to the existing campus network. Pedestrian walkways would be illuminated by cut-off shoebox-style light fixtures mounted 12-feet above finished grade.

Schedule

Construction would occur over a 15-month period, beginning approximately in August, 2012.

2.2.1 PROJECT CONSISTENCY WITH THE POLICIES AND PROVISIONS OF THE COASTAL ACT

Section 30211, Development Not to Interfere With Coastal Access

The proposed PWPA Component is consistent with this policy. Coastal access to the Humanities Building on the SBCC East Campus would not be affected by proposed improvements. The Humanities Building addition would not interfere with existing public coastal access along Cabrillo Boulevard and the City Waterfront.

Section 30212, Public Access From New Development Projects

The proposed PWPA Component is consistent with this policy. Public access to the Humanities Building would not be affected by proposed improvements. The Humanities Building addition would be constructed on the SBCC East Campus and would be linked to existing vehicular, bicycle, and pedestrian routes extending to Cabrillo Boulevard and the City Waterfront.

Section 30231, Biological Productivity, Water Quality

The proposed PWPA Component is consistent with this policy. LRDP Policies 2.1(a) and (b) would address potentially significant impacts on erosion.

“If construction is to occur over the rainy season, the report shall also identify temporary erosion control measures such as berms and appropriate locating and covering of stockpiled soils, to minimize erosion of and from the site.

Post-construction maintenance would include the provision of positive drainage systems following, to the extent possible, the natural drainage patterns of the campus.”
The extent of grading associated with the Humanities Building Modernization Project is extremely limited. Grading of 310 cubic yards of cut and 240 cubic yards of fill would be balanced onsite.

Best available erosion and sediment control measures shall be implemented during grading and construction, such as the use of sediment basins, gravel bags, silt fences, geo-bags or gravel and geotextile fabric berms, erosion control blankets, coir rolls, jute net and straw bales. Drainage channel inlets shall be protected from sediment-laden waters by use of inlet protection devices such as gravel bag barriers, filter fabric fences, block and gravel filters, and excavated inlet sediment traps. Sediment control measures shall be maintained for the duration of the grading period and until graded areas have been stabilized by structures, long-term erosion control measures, or landscaping. Stabilized project site construction entrances shall be installed to prevent sediment from being tracked off of the construction site. Stabilizing measures shall include but not be limited to the use of gravel pads, steel rumble plates, temporary paving, etc. Any sediment or other materials tracked off site shall be removed the same day as they are deposited, without the use of water washing. All graded areas outside of proposed structural footprints shall be vegetated within two (2) weeks of grading completion in those areas, unless it is demonstrated that landscaping would preclude access to adjacent construction activities. These measures would substantially minimize sediment and other non-point construction activity pollutant transport in stormwater runoff.

Section 30240, Environmentally Sensitive Habitats, Adjacent Developments

The proposed PWPA Component is consistent with this policy. All new improvements and grading would occur within previously developed areas, and outside of the Pershing Park Oak Woodland Habitat that is designated a Sensitive Habitat in the Campus 2000 PWP Section 2.1 Natural Resources, Biological Resources. Development is entirely within previously graded and improved areas, separated from the Sensitive Habitat by concrete retaining walls. No oak tree or other native specimen tree removals would occur with the Humanities Building Renovation. The only specimen trees to be removed, one 15-inch Monterey pine, one 18-inch cypress, and one 24-inch cypress, are all non-native ornamental landscaping, and are located outside of the Sensitive Habitat area in developed landscaped areas.

The proposed project is consistent with LRDP Policy 1.1.

"Environmentally sensitive campus habitats will be protected against significant disruption of habitat values through all of the following:

a) No development will occur within:

2) the Pershing Park oak woodland habitat.

b) Development is defined as any solid material placed or erected on the existing landform including roads, wells, fences, and flood control. Development includes grading.

b) Development is defined as any solid material placed or erected on the existing landform including roads, wells, fences, and flood control. Development includes grading.

c) Utility lines (water, sewer, gas, electric) may be permitted if no other less environmentally damaging route is feasible and the lines are placed
underground and impacts to the habitat are mitigated to the maximum extent feasible. Where necessary, mitigation will include a habitat restoration program prepared by a qualified biologist for the area disturbed by construction.

Section 30244, Archaeological and Paleontological Resources

The proposed PWPA Component is consistent with this policy. The Campus LRDP Archaeological Element defines the Humanities Building Modernization Project site within a Low Archaeological Sensitivity Area. All new improvements would occur within previously developed areas. Therefore, there is a less than significant potential that important archaeological resources would be encountered during construction. Standard provisions to evaluate unexpected resources by an archaeologist and Native American (if the deposits were prehistoric) would apply. Based on the geological rock formations on the East Campus, the project site is not considered to be in an area of paleontological sensitivity.

Section 30250, Location, Existing Developed Area

The proposed PWPA Component is consistent with this policy. The Humanities Building Modernization Project would be located on the College East Campus, adjacent to existing structures and facilities. All existing public facilities including drinking water, wastewater disposal (sewer), electricity, gas, etc. would be available and extended to the structure. Impacts on public facilities would be less than significant.

Section 30251, Scenic and Visual Qualities

The proposed PWPA Component is consistent with this policy. The proposed improvements, including the 34-foot high elevator tower, would be compatible and subservient to the existing two-story, 35-foot high Humanities Building located on the College East Campus mesa ridgeline. The height and mass of the existing Humanities Building would completely screen the proposed elevator tower from views experienced from the City of Santa Barbara Pershing Park along Castillo Street, east and below the project site. The proposed Outdoor Art Workshop area roof would replace an existing dilapidated structure, and would not represent a new visual feature. Therefore, impacts on visual resources would be less than significant.

No public views of the Humanities Building as experienced from Cliff Drive exist, as this roadway is over 500 feet away and is recessed over 50 feet below the finished grade of the Humanities Building. Views of the proposed structure addition footprint from Cliff Drive would be completely screened by intervening existing buildings, including the Administration Building and Student Services.

Section 30252, Protection and Enhancement of Public Access

The proposed PWPA Component is consistent with this policy. No new parking demand would be associated with the Humanities Building Modernization Project. Provision of required disabled access and bathroom facilities, and upgrading existing structural components to current DSA standards would not affect the building's current uses and programs; the proposed project therefore would not generate new College enrollment.
Existing on-campus bicycle and pedestrian paths in the vicinity of the Humanities Building would provide for continued use of alternative modes of transportation to and from campus.

Section 30253, Minimization of Adverse Impacts

The proposed PWPA Component is consistent with this policy. The proposed project is consistent with LRDP Policy 2.1, approved by the Coastal Commission per certification of the 1988 LRDP.

"New development will be designed and sited to minimize risks to life and property, to assure structural integrity, and to avoid erosion, geologic instability or destruction of the site.

Soils

a) Prior to the siting and structural design of any facility on either East or West Campus, soils analysis, including boring samples will be undertaken by qualified soils engineers. Based upon the results of the analysis, the soils engineer will prepare a report with recommendations for designing building foundations and minimizing soil erosion both during and after construction.

If construction is to occur over the rainy season, the report shall also identify temporary erosion control measures such as berms and appropriate locating and covering of stockpiled soils, to minimize erosion of and from the site.

Post-construction maintenance will include the provision of positive drainage systems following, to the extent possible, the natural drainage patterns of the campus.

The recommendations of the soils engineering report will be incorporated into the design, construction, and post-construction site maintenance of projects.

Revegetation for Erosion

b) Revegetation (landscaping) of the project site will be accomplished according to a landscape plan relying on drought tolerant vegetation to hold soils in place. The plan will be prepared by a licensed landscape architect with professional experience in drought tolerant material landscaping (the Plan and its implementation will be done in accordance with the recommendations contained in the Technical Appendix of the original LRDP).

The prepared Plan will be reviewed by a qualified botanist. The Plan will be prepared and approved concurrently with the construction drawings and its implementation will begin at the earliest practical point of project construction.
Geologic Stability

c) Projects will be designed to sustain impacts and minimize damage to life and property from the maximum credible earthquake which could impact the building site.”

As previously discussed in association with proposed project compliance with Coastal Act Section 30231, Biological Productivity, Water Quality, best available erosion and sediment control measures shall be implemented during grading and construction, such as the use of sediment basins, gravel bags, silt fences, geo-bags or gravel and geotextile fabric berms, erosion control blankets, coir rolls, jute net and straw bales. These measures would substantially minimize sediment and other non-point construction activity pollutant transport in stormwater runoff.
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NOTICE OF IMPENDING DEVELOPMENT 1-2012
PUBLIC WORKS PLAN AMENDMENT 1-2012
SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE
LONG RANGE DEVELOPMENT PLAN
HUMANITIES BUILDING MODERNIZATION

This Notice of Impending Developing (NOID) declares the intent of Santa Barbara City College (College) to adopt a Public Works Plan Amendment (PWPA) 1-2012 associated with the College’s Long Range Development Plan (LRDP): development of the Humanities Building Modernization Project. This NOID provides specific factual findings supporting the conclusion that PWPA 1-2012, as proposed, is in conformity with the certified City of Santa Barbara Local Coastal Plan, and is in conformity with the certified PWP for the College, the LRDP, pursuant to California Code 13357(4) and 13357(5), respectively.

1.0 PWPA REQUEST

This PWPA addresses minor additions to the existing Humanities Building.

1.1 HUMANITIES BUILDING MODERNIZATION PROJECT
(PWP AMENDMENT 1-2012)

1.1.1 Information Requirements

The following information is provided pursuant to California Code Title 14, Section 13353.

(1) Specific Type of Activity or Activities to Be Undertaken

The proposed Humanities Building Modernization Project components would be constructed across and northeast of the existing Campus Center on the East Campus, (see Figure 1 and 2). The Project would provide:

- Disabled access and bathroom facilities in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 in a 2,070 square-foot (s.f.), 34-feet high, elevator tower extending outward from the southern façade of the existing Humanities Building;

- Replacement of an existing storage area with a one-story, 755-s.f. storage facility extending from the eastern façade of the existing Humanities Building to comply with building code requirements;

- Replacement of an existing shipping container storage area with a 170-s.f. darkroom and 210-s.f. equipment storage combined structure to comply with building code requirements; and
• Replace the existing degraded Outdoor Art Workshop area roof with a shed-style metal roof covering 5,300-s.f. of workshop area, extending from the northern façade of the existing Humanities Building.

(2) Maximum and Minimum Intensity of Activity or Activities Proposed to Be Undertaken

The existing Humanities Building is over 45 years old and has been subject to several minor modifications over its lifespan. These prior improvements now need upgrading to meet current California Department of State Architect (DSA) standards. In addition, compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 requires that disabled access to the three-story structure and disabled bathroom facilities be provided. The Humanities Building Modernization Project consolidates the required disabled facilities in a new elevator tower in order to avoid financially prohibitive loss of existing Humanities Building facility space, consolidating these improvements in one minor, economically efficient, structural addition.

The existing College Humanities Building uses and programs would not change as a result of the modernization. Existing storage areas, dark room facilities, and the outdoor art workshop would simply be upgraded to current DSA standards, ensuring public health and safety of participants in these academic programs.

(3) Maximum Size of Facilities Proposed to Be Constructed Pursuant to the Plan

The Humanities Building gross area would be increased from 41,695 square feet (s.f.) with the following (see Figures 2-5):

• A 2,070-square-foot (s.f.) elevator tower 34-feet high, extending outward from the southern façade of the existing Humanities Building that provides for elevators and disabled bathrooms and conference rooms on all floors.

• A one-story, 755-s.f. storage area extending from the eastern façade of the existing Humanities Building that replaces existing storage area built in 1985 without DSA approval. The new storage area facility would be located entirely within the existing impervious surfaced, storage area development footprint.

• Replacement of an existing shipping container storage area with a combined one-story, 170-s.f. darkroom and 210-s.f. equipment storage structure. The proposed improvements would occur completely within the existing impervious concrete foundation area.

• Construction of a replacement shed-style metal roof covering the existing 5,300-s.f. outdoor art workshop area, extending northward from the northern façade of the existing Humanities Building.
Building Specifications

Building specifications have been designed to minimize expansion of the existing Humanities Building footprint, in order to reduce costs and ground disturbances.

**Elevator Tower:** The 2,070-s.f., 34-foot high addition includes disabled access and bathrooms and conference rooms. The conference rooms need to be relocated from within the existing Humanities Building structure to accommodate the connections to the new addition. The tower is subordinate to the existing Humanities Building in height and scale (see Figure 3). The existing split-level structure has a maximum finished floor height of 35 feet, such that the tower addition will be lower than the adjacent southern building façade.

**Storage Area Addition:** The replacement new storage area facility on the eastern end of the Humanities Building would be one-story, 755 s.f. in size and 14.75-feet high (see Figure 3).

**Darkroom and Storage Structure:** The combined one-story darkroom and equipment storage structure would be a total of 380 s.f. in size and 13-feet high. It would be located adjacent to the existing split-level Humanities Building that extends 35 feet from the finished floor elevation (see Figure 4).

**Outdoor Art Workshop Area Replacement Roof:** The sloping replacement roof would be 5,300-s.f in size and range in height from 10'-5" to 13'-5" (see Figure 5).

**Grading and Demolition**

The Humanities Building would require the following site preparation and existing facility demolition:

- **Removal of 6,975 s.f. of existing landscaping.**

- **Removal of 10,772 s.f. of existing pavement and impervious surfaces and replacement with 11,876 s.f. of new paving and walkways, including: 7,082 s.f. of colored concrete; 2,775 s.f. paving east of the 1st floor access; a 1,364 s.f. western accessible path; and a 655 s.f. eastern path.**

- **Grading of 310 cubic yards of cut and 240 cubic yards of fill, to be balanced onsite. No heavy truck trips associated with soil export/import would occur.**

- **Removal of three non-native trees: one 15-inch Monterey pine; one 18-inch cypress, and one 24-inch cypress.**

**Drainage**

Drainage from the new tower would be directed to a new 0.5-ft. deep, 20-ft. long, rock-lined/graded swale, emptying into a 120-foot long landscaped swale that would conduct flows into an
existing storm drain inlet on the southeast corner of the existing Humanities Building. All other drainage from the structural development would be directed to existing storm drains; no new drainage infrastructure is required to support the proposed modernization.

**Structural Materials**

Exterior building surfaces would match the existing stucco finish. Pedestrian paving areas would be covered with compacted earth, 4-inch thick sand base, and in 4-inch thick reinforced concrete. The entry plaza would be covered with pre-cast colored concrete pavers placed over a monolithic waterproof membrane.

Site and building retaining walls would be constructed with cast-in-place reinforced concrete retaining walls with granular backfill, waterproofed, and tied into the foundation drain system.

**Landscaping**

Removed landscaping would be replaced with 5,779 s.f. of drought-tolerant ornamental ground cover and shrubbery. Irrigation would be operated on an automatic clock timer and would be programmed to minimize water use. Domestic and reclaimed irrigation water use would be provided by existing College water meters.

**Utilities and Lighting**

**Sewer:** A new sewer lateral approximately 170-feet long extending from the elevator tower housing the new ADA-compliant bathrooms would be constructed that would tie into the existing 8-inch PVC sewer line serving the Humanities Building. The lateral is needed to provide wastewater disposal only for the new disabled bathrooms in the elevator tower. No new sewer capacity would be required.

**Water:** The project includes a connection from the remodeled Humanities Building to the existing City of Santa Barbara water main. The existing 6-inch water line extends from the Humanities Building through the SBCC campus to Loma Alta and the City of Santa Barbara main; it would be upgraded to a 12-inch line that is required in order to comply with existing Santa Barbara City fire hydrant flow requirements. It does not provide for any additional Humanities Building development capacity. The City of Santa Barbara has issued a Coastal Exemption for these upgrades, as the portion on City property would occur entirely within an existing utility right-of-way.

**Stormwater:** Drainage from the proposed elevator tower would be directed to a new 0.5-ft. deep, 20-ft. long, rock-lined/graded swale emptying into a 120-foot long landscaped swale. The swale would conduct flows into an existing storm drain inlet that serves the existing Humanities Building. No new storm drains or infrastructure is required to support the Humanities Building renovations.
Other: An existing gas main would serve the new building, and be connected to the existing campus network. Pedestrian walkways would be illuminated by cut-off shoebox-style light fixtures mounted 12-feet above finished grade.

Schedule

Construction would occur over a 15-month period, beginning approximately in August, 2012.

Standard Construction Measures

The project would incorporate the following standard measures.

Air Quality

1. The following measures will be incorporated during construction:
   a. Heavy-duty diesel-powered construction equipment manufactured after 1996 (with federally mandated “clean” diesel engines) shall be utilized whenever feasible.
   b. The engine size of construction equipment shall be the minimum practical size.
   c. The number of construction equipment operating simultaneously shall be minimized through efficient management practices to ensure that the smallest practical number is operating at any one time.
   d. Construction equipment shall be maintained in tune per the manufacturer's specifications.
   e. Construction equipment operating onsite shall be equipped with two to four degree engine timing retard or pre-combustion chamber engines.
   f. Catalytic converters shall be installed on gasoline-powered equipment, if feasible.
   g. Diesel catalytic converters shall be installed, if available.
   h. Diesel-powered equipment shall be replaced by electric equipment whenever feasible.
   i. Diesel oxidation catalysts and diesel particulate filters as certified and/or verified by EPA or the California Air Resources Board (CARB) shall be installed, if available, and only CARB-certified diesel fuel shall be used.
   j. Construction worker car pooling and providing lunch onsite shall be encouraged to reduce short-term vehicular trips.

2. If the construction area is graded and left undeveloped for over four weeks, the applicant shall employ the following methods immediately to inhibit dust generation:
   a. seeding and watering to revegetate graded areas; and/or
   b. spreading of soil binders; and/or
   c. any other reasonable methods deemed appropriate by APCD.
3. Dust generated by the development activities shall be kept to a minimum with a goal of retaining dust on the development envelope. The following dust control standard conditions shall be followed:

a. During clearing, grading, earth moving, excavation, or transportation of cut or fill materials, water trucks or sprinkler systems shall be used to prevent dust from leaving the construction area and to create a crust after each day's activities cease.

b. During construction, water trucks or sprinkler systems shall be used to keep all areas of vehicle movement damp enough to prevent dust from leaving the construction area. At a minimum, this would include wetting down such areas in the later morning and after work is completed for the day and whenever wind exceeds 15 miles per hour.

c. Soil stockpiled for more than two days shall be covered, kept moist, or treated with soil binders to prevent dust generation.

d. Gravel pads shall be installed at all access points to prevent tracking of mud onto public roads.

e. All trucks hauling excess grading soils offsite shall be covered with tarps or equivalent materials to ensure that dust is suppressed.

4. The contractor or builder shall designate a person or persons to monitor the dust control program and to order increased watering as necessary to prevent transport of dust offsite. Their duties shall include holiday and weekend periods when work may not be in progress.

Water Quality

1. Best available erosion and sediment control measures shall be implemented during grading and construction. Best available erosion and sediment control measures shall include but not be limited to the use of sediment basins, gravel bags, silt fences, geobags or gravel and geotextile fabric berms, erosion control blankets, coir rolls, jute net and straw bales. Drainage channel inlets shall be protected from sediment-laden waters by use of inlet protection devices such as gravel bag barriers, filter fabric fences, block and gravel filters, and excavated inlet sediment traps. Sediment control measures shall be maintained for the duration of the grading period and until graded areas have been stabilized by structures, long-term erosion control measures, or landscaping.

2. Stabilized project site construction entrances shall be installed to prevent sediment from being tracked off of the construction site. Stabilizing measures shall include but not be limited to the use of gravel pads, steel rumble plates, temporary paving, etc. Any sediment or other materials tracked off site shall be removed the same day as they are deposited, without the use of water washing.

3. All graded areas outside of proposed structural footprints shall be vegetated within two (2) weeks of grading completion in those areas, unless it is demonstrated that landscaping would preclude access to adjacent construction activities.
4. During construction, washing of concrete trucks, paint, equipment, or similar activities shall occur only in areas where polluted water and materials can be contained for subsequent removal from the site. Wash water shall not be discharged to the storm drains, street, drainage ditches, creeks, or wetlands. Areas designated for washing functions shall be at least 100 feet from any storm drain, waterbody, or sensitive biological resources. The location(s) of the washout area(s) shall be clearly noted at the construction site with signs.

5. Concrete, asphalt, and seal coat shall be applied during dry weather to prevent storm water contamination during roadwork or pavement construction. Storm drains and manholes within the construction area shall be covered when paving or applying seal coat, slurry, fog seal, etc.

6. Construction materials and waste such as paint, mortar, concrete slurry, fuels, etc. shall be stored, handled, and disposed of in a manner that minimizes the potential for storm water contamination.

7. The drainage plan shall incorporate appropriate BMPs to reduce impervious project surfaces and to minimize associated off-site storm flow such that no increase in stormwater runoff flow velocities relative to existing conditions occur. The drainage plan shall incorporate, at a minimum, the following BMPs to reduce impervious surfaces:
   a. Construct roof runoff to drain into the landscape areas to the maximum extent;
   b. Design landscaped areas to direct all hardscape runoff across planted areas; and
   c. Construct the landscaped areas to retain runoff.

8. Irrigation and the use of fertilizers and other landscaping chemicals shall be minimized.

9. Trash, recycling and other waste containers, as necessary, shall be provided during construction. All waste containers anywhere within the development shall be covered, watertight, and designed to resist scavenging animals.

10. The detergents and cleaning components used on site shall comply with the following criteria: they shall be phosphate-free, biodegradable, and non-toxic to marine wildlife; amounts used shall be minimized to the maximum extent practicable; no fluids containing ammonia, sodium hypochlorite, chlorinated solvents, petroleum distillates, or lye shall be used.

11. Runoff from all roofs and walkways shall be collected and directed through a system of structural BMPs designed and implemented to collect and treat runoff and remove pollutants of concern (including heavy metals, oil and grease, hydrocarbons, trash and debris, sediment, nutrients and pesticides) through infiltration, filtration, and/or biological uptake. The drainage system shall also be designed to convey and discharge runoff from the developed site in a non-erosive manner.
12. All BMPs shall be operated, monitored, and maintained for the life of the project and at a minimum, all structural BMPs shall be inspected, cleaned-out, and where necessary, repaired at the following minimum frequencies: (1) prior to October 15th each year; (2) during each month between October 15th and April 15th of each year, and (3) at least twice during the dry season.

13. Debris and other water pollutants removed from structural BMP(s) during clean-out shall be contained and disposed of in a proper manner.

Transportation

1. Construction heavy truck trips shall be scheduled from May through September to avoid morning and evening peak hours (7:00 A.M. to 9:00 A.M. and 4:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M.), and shall be prohibited on Saturday, Sunday, holidays, and between the hours of 5:00 P.M. and 7:00 A.M.

Service Area for the Proposed Activity or Activities

The College is provided water and wastewater services by the City of Santa Barbara. The Humanities Building Modernization Project, including provision of disabled access and bathroom facilities will result in no measurable increase on these public services. Enforcement of traffic and parking regulations is provided by College campus police.

Proposed Methods of Financing the Proposed Activity or Activities

Development and operation of the Humanities Building is secured by State Capital Outlay funds. No assessment or any other form of levy against lands located in the Coastal Zone are required to finance this action.

Proposed Location or Alternative Locations for the Proposed Activity or Activities

The Humanities Building Modernization Project provides for minor additions to the existing structure and upgrades to existing structural components. Therefore, no other alternative locations would feasibly achieve the project’s objectives.

1.2 Public Hearing Prior to Filing of the Public Works Plan

The following information is provided pursuant to California Code Title 14, Section 13353.5.

The LRDP Amendments providing for the Humanities Building Modernization Project were approved by the College Board of Trustees on June 14, 2012. These amendments to the LRDP represent the PWPA under consideration by the Coastal Commission. The Categorical Exemption satisfying compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act was approved by the College Board of Trustees on February 23, 2012. The minutes from these hearings and the CEQA Categorical Exemption are provided in Attachment A.
1.3 Findings that the Public Works Plan Amendment is in Conformity with the Certified Local Coastal Program in Jurisdictions Affected by the Proposed Public Works Plan

The project's consistency with the policies and provisions of the City of Santa Barbara Local Coastal Plan is provided pursuant to California Code Title 14, Section 13357(4).

Visual Quality Policies and Actions

9.1 The existing views to, from, and along the ocean and scenic coastal areas shall be protected, preserved, and enhanced. This may be accomplished by:

3. Specific development restrictions such as additional height limits, building orientation, and setback requirements for new development.

Consistent. The proposed improvements, including the 34-foot high elevator tower, would be compatible and subservient to the existing two-story, 35-foot high Humanities Building located on the College East Campus mesa ridgeline. The height and mass of the existing Humanities Building would completely screen the proposed elevator tower from views experienced from the City of Santa Barbara Pershing Park along Castillo Street, east and below the project site. The proposed Outdoor Art Workshop area roof would replace an existing dilapidated structure, and would not represent a new visual feature. Therefore, impacts on visual resources would be less than significant.

No public views of the Humanities Building as experienced from Cliff Drive exist, as this roadway is over 500 feet away and is recessed over 50 feet below the finished grade of the Humanities Building. Views of the proposed structure addition footprint from Cliff Drive would be completely screened by intervening existing buildings, including the Administration Building and Student Services.

SHORELINE ACCESS

Policy ACC-1 The location, amount and timing of new development shall maintain and, where practical, enhance public access to the coast.

Action ACC-L2 To the maximum extent possible, construction that may impede access shall not be done during Summer months. Minimize impediments to public access during construction.

Consistent. Implementation of standard Transportation Measure 1, including scheduling construction truck trips to avoid morning and evening peak hours (7:00 A.M. to 9:00 A.M. and 4:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M.), and prohibiting construction on Saturday, Sunday, holidays, and between the hours of 5:00 P.M. and 7:00 A.M. from May through September would minimize potential conflicts with coastal access along Cabrillo Boulevard, Castillo Street, Loma Alta, and Cliff Drive during Summer months.

VISUAL RESOURCES

Policy VIS-1 Protect, preserve and enhance coastal and scenic visual qualities.

Consistent. The proposed improvements, including the 34-foot high elevator tower, would be compatible and subservient to the existing two-story, 35-foot high Humanities Building located on the College East Campus mesa ridgeline. The height and mass of the existing Humanities Building would completely
screen the proposed elevator tower from views experienced from the City of Santa Barbara Pershing Park along Castillo Street, east and below the project site. The proposed Outdoor Art Workshop area roof would replace an existing dilapidated structure, and would not represent a new visual feature. Therefore, impacts on visual resources would be less than significant.

No public views of the Humanities Building as experienced from Cliff Drive exist, as this roadway is over 500 feet away and is recessed over 50 feet below the finished grade of the Humanities Building. Views of the proposed structure addition footprint from Cliff Drive would be completely screened by intervening existing buildings, including the Administration Building and Student Services.

1.4 Findings that the Public Works Plan Amendment is in Conformity with the Certified Public Works Plan

The project’s conformity with the policies and provisions of the College certified Public Works Plan, the LRDP, is provided pursuant to California Code Title 14, Sections 13357(5) and 13359(b).

LRDP Policy 1.1 Sensitive Habitats

Environmentally sensitive campus habitats will be protected against significant disruption of habitat values through all of the following:

a) No development will occur within:

2) the Pershing Park oak woodland habitat.

Development is defined as any solid material placed or erected on the existing landform including roads, wells, fences, and flood control. Development includes grading.

Utility lines (water, sewer, gas, electric) may be permitted if no other less environmentally damaging route is feasible and the lines are placed underground and impacts to the habitat are mitigated to the maximum extent feasible. Where necessary, mitigation will include a habitat restoration program prepared by a qualified biologist for the area disturbed by construction.

Exceptions to this policy are permitted for habitat restoration conducted by a qualified biologist and, for the West campus bluff, a potential parking structure constructed over lot 3c.

Consistent with LRDP Policy. All new improvements and grading would occur within previously developed areas, and outside of the Pershing Park Oak Woodland Habitat that is designated a Sensitive Habitat in the Campus 2000 PWP Section 2.1 Natural Resources, Biological Resources. Development would be entirely within previously graded and improved areas, and would be separated from the Sensitive Habitat by existing concrete retaining walls. No oak tree or other native specimen tree removals would occur with the Humanities Building Renovation. The only specimen trees to be removed, one 15-inch Monterey pine, one 18-inch cypress, and one 24-inch cypress, are all non-native ornamentals, and are located in developed landscaped areas outside of the Sensitive Habitat area.
LDRP Policy 2.1  Geology and Soils

New development will be designed and sited to minimize risks to life and property, to assure structural integrity, and to avoid erosion, geologic instability or destruction of the site.

Soils

a) Prior to the siting and structural design of any facility on either East or West Campus, soils analysis, including boring samples will be undertaken by qualified soils engineers. Based upon the results of the analysis, the soils engineer will prepare a report with recommendations for designing building foundations and minimizing soil erosion both during and after construction.

If construction is to occur over the rainy season, the report shall also identify temporary erosion control measures such as berms and appropriate locating and covering of stockpiled soils, to minimize erosion of and from the site.

Post-construction maintenance will include the provision of positive drainage systems following, to the extent possible, the natural drainage patterns of the campus.

The recommendations of the soils engineering report will be incorporated into the design, construction, and post-construction site maintenance of projects.

Revegetation for Erosion

b) Revegetation (landscaping) of the project site will be accomplished according to a landscape plan relying on drought tolerant vegetation to hold soils in place. The plan will be prepared by a licensed landscape architect with professional experience in drought tolerant material landscaping (the Plan and its implementation will be done in accordance with the recommendations contained in the Technical Appendix of the original LRDP).

The prepared Plan will be reviewed by a qualified botanist. The Plan will be prepared and approved concurrently with the construction drawings and its implementation will begin at the earliest practical point of project construction.

Geologic Stability

c) Projects will be designed to sustain impacts and minimize damage to life and property from the maximum credible earthquake which could impact the building site.

Consistent with LRDP Policy. The extent of grading associated with the Humanities Building Modernization Project is extremely limited. Grading of 310 cubic yards of cut and 240 cubic yards of fill would be balanced onsite. Best available erosion and sediment control measures shall be implemented during grading and construction, such as the use of sediment basins, gravel bags, silt fences, geo-bags or gravel and geotextile fabric berms, erosion control blankets, coir rolls, jute net and straw bales. The proposed improvements, including modifications to the existing Outdoor Are Workshop roof, ensure that the Humanities Building components conform with all existing building and safety standards. Project plans have been reviewed and approved by the California Division of the State Architect.
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REGULAR MEETING
Room A-211
4:00 pm

MacDougall Administration Center
Santa Barbara City College
721 Cliff Drive

This room is wheelchair accessible. The following services are available when requests are made by 4:00 p.m. of the day before the Board meeting: American Sign Language interpreters or use of a reader during a meeting; large print agenda or minutes in alternative format; assistive listening devices. Please contact the Office of the Superintendent/President at (805) 730-4011 if you need assistance in order to participate in a public meeting or if you need the agenda and public documents modified as required by Section 202 of the American with Disabilities Act.

The District Office is located at 721 Cliff Drive, Santa Barbara, CA 93109. The Office of the Superintendent/President, Room A 110, in the MacDougall Administration Center, is the location where documents that are public records relating to any item under discussion on a Board agenda (including documents distributed with the agenda and those distributed to all or a majority of the members of the Board within 72 hours prior to a Board meeting) are available for public inspection.

Board agendas and supporting documents are also posted on the college website at http://www.sbcc.edu/boardoftrustees/.

1. GENERAL FUNCTIONS

1.1 CALL TO ORDER

President Haslund called the meeting to order.

1.2 ROLL CALL

Members present:
Marty Blum
Marsha Croninger
Peter Haslund (President)
Joan Livingston
Mom’s Jurkowitz
Lisa Macker, Vice President
Luis Villegas
Scott Ammon, Student Trustee

Management present:
Dr. Jack Friedlander, Acting Superintendent/President and Secretary of the Board of Trustees
Ms. Marilynn Spaventa, Acting Executive Vice President
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Dr. Ofelia Arellano, Vice President Continuing Education
Dr. Paul Bishop, Vice President Information Technology
Ms. Sue Ehrlich, Vice President Human Resources and Legal Affairs
Mr. Joe Sullivan, Vice President Business Services

1.3 WELCOME

President Haslund extended a cordial welcome to all.

1.4 HEARING OF CITIZENS

Speakers at this meeting were:
Cathie McCammon
Jack Wolf
Dean Nevins
Ana Maria Ygualt

1.5 MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF JANUARY 26, 2012

Upon motion by Trustee Macker, seconded by Trustee Jurkowitz, the Board approved the minutes of the regular meeting of January 2, 2012 as corrected.

1.6 COMMUNICATIONS

The following reports were presented to the Board of Trustees about various matters involving the District. No action was taken unless listed on a subsequent agenda.

a. REPORT BY ACADEMIC SENATE

Dr. Nevins reported on the following: The Academic Senate at their meeting discussed faculty service areas, how they came about, what they are used for and should they be revised. Also discussed were equivalences and a process for assessing a program’s vitality. The general consensus of the Senate was that they have a pretty strong program review process, but they’re going to try to figure out ways of increasing the interdisciplinary nature of sharing the information contained in the program reviews. The Senate also talked about program discontinuation policies and procedures. There is currently a policy and procedures in place and the Senate will be reviewing them to determine if they need to be revised. The Academic Senate wants to be prepared in case one or more programs are being considered to be discontinued since the college is facing tough budget issues. Academic Senate Vice President Kenley Neufeld spoke to the Academic Senate about the Library Resident Program.

b. REPORT BY ASSOCIATED STUDENTS

Mr. Englert reported on the following: The Student Senate has been reaching out to students on campus to get their feedback on SBCC. They have received both negative and positive feedback that has been noted on cards that will be posted around the student senate room to ensure that they are always reminded of what the students want. The Student Senate is organizing and gathering students to participate in the March 5, 2012 March in March event that will be held in Sacramento to protest budget cuts and other education issues. The Student Senate is also researching a variety of ideas on how they can help generate revenue. They are currently looking into holding a flea market on Sundays and have received positive feedback from over 25 different merchants who have showed interest in participating.

c. REPORT ON CLASSIFIED EMPLOYEES

Dr. Liz Auchincloss
Ms. Auchincloss reported on the following: The classified consultation group has met a couple of times this month. They discussed the budget but they'll wait to see what the VP's have cut in their areas before they present any of their details. It was noted that if there are cuts in staff or layoffs, classified staff's bumping rights are based on seniority. The EOPS reorganization has been concluded. This had been discussed at previous meeting where two jobs were merged into one. There was quite a bit of savings and thanked Human Resources, Pat English, Marsha Wright, Ben Partee, Marilynn Spaventa, and Dr. Jack Friedlander for approving this reorganization. This could be a model that can be used in the future, if necessary. The classified consultation group also talked about the college plan and the accountability report and the members of the consultation group now have a better understanding of these two documents.

d. REPORT FROM SUPERINTENDENT/PRESIDENT – Dr. Jack Friedlander
   Acting Superintendent/President

Acting Superintendent/President Friedlander reported on the following:

1. At Tuesday's College Planning Council meeting, they approved two reorganizations, each looking at how to maintain a service when there is a vacant position. Just those two reorganizations alone would save $100,000, and allow the college to continue providing what is considered a critical function.

2. Received an email from the Chancellor's Office announcing a new 2.75% cut over and above the triggers that were implemented in January that resulted from shortfalls in the amount of money the state projected to collect from student fees and local property taxes. This latest reduction in state funding for community colleges (February surprise) translates into an additional cut of $1.8 million to the college. Explained what the Executive Committee will be discussing and presenting to the College Planning Council regarding the implications of these cuts. This cut in workload (FTES the state will pay for this year) also resulted in the college being 244 FTES over cap and it's too late to do anything about it.

3. There is legislation that is going through the Legislature that would give the Board of Governors and the Chancellor's Office authority to decide how to allocate apportionment. The Chancellor's Office has been very clear in its position that personal enrichment courses are to receive the lowest priority in allocating state funds to pay for courses.

4. The VPs and I will be engaged in discussions with members of the college community and with the Board on the steps being taken to achieve a balanced budget by 2013-14.

5. Spoke on the initiatives that are taking place to increase our student success rates, keeping them alive and flourishing, at a time of unprecedented budget cuts. Will be applying for a new Title V grant, Marilynn Spaventa, Alice Scharper, Ben Partee and a number of faculty members are writing the grant in conjunction with CSU Channel Islands. It will focus on the Express to Transfer program in the non-STEM major fields of study since the college has received a Title V grant to develop this program for STEM majors.

6. The Express to Success program is in the process of training faculty who are interested in teaching courses and training counselors so that the program can be expanded in the fall semester. Acknowledged the work of Kathy Molloy and Alice Scharper in providing the leadership for this program. The goal is to double the number of enrolled students by next year.

7. The Career Technology Education Initiative is to insure that our career technology programs and the general education curriculum is incorporating and insuring that students are acquiring the skills and competencies that they need to enter and advanced in well-paying jobs.

8. Will be hosting two breakfast meetings with members of the Santa Barbara, Goleta, Carpinteria and the Hispanic Chambers of Commerce to provide us with input on the skills and competencies they are looking for applicants to possess to fill positions at their organizations and the training/education needs of their existing employees need to keep current.

9. Three forums have been held regarding Achieving the Vision for Continuing Education Task Force. They were all well attended and received good ideas and feedback. Hope to be able
to provide a bi-lingual forum on March 6 or 7, as it's important to reach out to all segments of the community.

10. A media conference will be held at the West Campus with MTD to announce the start of a field test to see if the booster bus they were loaned to try out would accommodate the students who count on finding seats to get travel to the college. The accordion type of bus has 25% more seats than its regular busses used on the route to and from Isla Vista to the college.

11. Will be making a presentation to the Partners in Education with David Cash, Superintendent of Santa Barbara Unified School District, Paul Cordeiro, Superintendent of Carpinteria Unified District, and Ben Romo, representing the County Office of Education, on a new collaborative model called the Progression in Education. This innovative program is designed to increase the number of high school graduates who enter post-secondary education institutions with college and career ready skills.

e. REPORT FROM BOARD MEMBERS/COMMITTEE CHAIRS

Trustee Macker reported on the Campaign for Student Success, an annual drive taken on by the Foundation for SBCC to fund scholarships, book grants, Gateway Tutors, and additional hours of counseling. Trustee Macker noted that she is constantly reminded each day about all of the positive roles SBCC plays throughout the community. SBCC provides tremendous benefits to the community and we all need to do what we can to continue to provide these robust offerings, even as we face these enormous budget challenges. Thanked faculty, staff and the community for taking positive and helpful steps to support the Board and the college as they move forward looking at the future and what needs to be done. As Chair of the Fiscal Committee, she noted that during their meetings most of their time is spent on reports about the budget. Will be conducting policy review and revision and also wants to clarify the role of the Board in making sure that the college considers everything during these budget cuts.

Trustee Croninger reported that the Ed Policies Committee met and discussed a number of topics. The three biggest ones were priority registration, classes given out of district in Continuing Education at the Ventura County jail, and the general overview of the success of the enhanced non-credit classes.

President Haslund reported on the retreat meeting he attended of the board of directors for the Foundation for Santa Barbara City College. President Haslund also noted that he was very impressed by the number of people who volunteered to participate on the Superintendent/President search committee.

Trustee Blum reported on the Facilities meeting that was held. The theatre arts and music classes have begun in the new Drama/Music building and the Humanities building will be cleared out at the end of this semester and work will begin on it this summer. The other item that was discussed was the Campus Center. A report will be presented at the next meeting as to what direction will be taken on this building, will it be renovated or will it be completely demolished.

2. GOVERNING BOARD

2.1 MEASURE V CITIZENS' BOND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE ANNUAL REPORT

Mr. Ed Heron chair of the Measure V Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committee presented the 2011 Measure V Annual Report to the Board of Trustees.

2.2 CITIZEN'S REQUEST TO PLACE ITEMS ON THE BOARD AGENDA

Jack Wolff requested that his item be withdrawn from the agenda.
2.3 UPDATE BY ED SAVAGE AND LYN CASON, STANTON CHASE, RELATIVE TO THE SUPERINTENDENT/PRESIDENT SEARCH PROCESS

Ed Savage and Lyn Cason provided an update on the Superintendent/President search. Mr. Savage reported that the candidate pool is growing and is happy to report that regarding the recent accreditation events there has been very minimal push back from candidates regarding their interest level in the position. The search committee co-chairs have been selected and will be meeting with the committee on February 27, 2012 for an orientation session. Ms. Cason provided an update on the timeline. Acknowledged the work of Sue Ehrich in coordinating with the committee members and their schedules. It was also noted that they have been able to pick up a week. With the timeline before the committee they should be able to deliver a slate of candidates to the Board a week earlier than previously noted. She would like to see the Board lock in its schedule for their meetings with candidates.

2.4 CONTINUED BOARD DISCUSSION AND DELINEATION OF KEY CHARACTERISTICS AND SKILLS FOR THE SUPERINTENDENT/PRESIDENT

Trustee Macker reported that at that last meeting she and Trustee Croninger were selected to serve on an ad-hoc committee that would gather input and present it at this meeting. Trustee Macker took in the comments that trustee’s had made and she also noted that input was received from former trustees Dr. Joe Dobbs, Dr. Kathryn Alexander, and other members of the community.

Trustees discussed the draft of the Board’s Priority Characteristics and made corrections and additions to the document. Trustee Macker suggested that this document be taken to one more study sessions to prioritize the items. President Haslund asked that this item be placed on the next study session agenda for discussion and approval by the Board.

3. HUMAN RESOURCES & LEGAL AFFAIRS – Ms. Sue Ehrich, Vice President Human Resources & Legal Affairs

3.1 HUMAN RESOURCES & LEGAL AFFAIRS CONSENT ITEMS

The following were contracts were pulled from the consent agenda:
- Three Continuing Education positions under Educational Administrator Appointments being Dr. Ofelia Arellano, Kendall Harris, and Bonita Schaffner
- Certified Faculty Retirement, Ana Marie Yquist
- Professional Consultant/Speaker, Cheryl Detrick
- Educational Administrator Appointment, Executive Vice President, Dr. Jack Friedlander

Upon motion by Trustee Blum, seconded by Trustee Croninger, the Board approved the Human Resources and Legal Affairs consent items, except for those pulled from the consent items.

HUMAN RESOURCES & LEGAL AFFAIRS ITEMS PULLED FROM THE CONSENT ITEMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARELLANO, Ofelia</td>
<td>VP, Continuing Ed</td>
<td>168-9+DR</td>
<td>7/1/12-6/30/14</td>
<td>Renewal of 2-Year Ed Administrator Contract</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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HARRIS, Kendall E. Director, CE 152-8 5/3/12-6/30/14 Renewal of 2-Year Ed Administrator Contract

SCHAFFNER, Bonita M. Dean, CE 158-7+DR 8/2/12-6/30/13 Renewal of 1-Year Ed Administrator Contract

Motion was made by Trustee Livingston, seconded by Trustee Villegas to accept the Superintendent/President’s recommendation to approve the three positions as stated on the agenda. After discussion of this item a vote was requested of the Board, whether or not to pass the motion made and Trustee Livingston requested a roll call vote. The vote was as follows:
Ayes: Trustee Ammon, Trustee Jurkowitz, Trustee Livingston, Trustee Villegas
No: Trustee Blum, Trustee Croninger, Trustee Haslund, Trustee Macker
This motion failed.
The Educational Administrator Appointments for Continuing Ed will be placed on next month’s special meeting/study session agenda.

Name Assignment Rate Date Comments

EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATOR APPOINTMENTS

FRIEDLANDER, Jack H. Executive Vice President, Educational Administrator 171-9 + Dr.+12.5% Long 8/1/12-6/30/14 Renewal of 2-Year Ed Administrator Contract

Upon motion by Trustee Croninger, seconded by Trustee Blum, the Board approved to renew the Executive Vice President’s two-year contract. Trustee Villegas and Trustee Livingston voted no on this item. Trustee Macker requested a roll call on this item. The vote was as follows:
Ayes: Trustee Ammon, Trustee Blum, Trustee Croninger, Trustee Haslund, Trustee Jurkowitz, Trustee Macker
Noes: Trustee Livingston, Trustee Villegas
The motion passes.

CERTIFICATED FACULTY RETIREMENTS

YGUALT, Ana Marie Prof/Spanish V-15+DR+ 2.25% Long 5/19/12 19 Yrs of Svrs

Upon motion by Trustee Villegas, seconded by Trustee Croninger, the Board approved to place this item on next month’s special meeting/study session agenda.

CLASSIFIED APPOINTMENTS

BOATMAN, Annette Int’l Student Advisor 31/5 2/24/12 Repl. Forrest Y Salazar.

PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANTS/SPEAKERS

DETTRICK, Cheryl Assist & guide grant project planning & development; provide grant writing services; edit & review all content for the development of a Title V Cooperative federal grant proposal; prepare all written components required by Dept. of Ed Title V specs; assist with

$19,000 2/15/12-3/15/12 J Friedlander Foundation fund Title V Grant

(not exceed)
Upon motion by Trustee Macker, seconded by Trustee Jurkowitz, the Board approved the contract for Cheryl Detrick.

4. EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS – Ms. Marilyn Spaventa, Acting Executive Vice President, Educational Programs

4.1 RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF NEW PROGRAMS, COURSE AND PROGRAM MODIFICATIONS, AND PROGRAM DEACTIVATIONS.

Upon motion by Trustee Livingston, seconded by Trustee Croninger, the Board approved the new programs, course and programs modifications and program deactivations, as contained in the agenda and attachment. President Haslund abstained.

5. CONTINUING EDUCATION – Dr. Ofelia Arellano, Vice President, Continuing Education

5.1 RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF NEW COURSES AND/OR COURSE MODIFICATIONS TO BE SUBMITTED FOR STATE APPROVAL, CONTINUING EDUCATION DIVISION

Upon motion by Trustee Livingston, seconded by Trustee Macker, the Board approved the new courses and/or course modifications to be submitted for state approval, as contained in the agenda and attachment.

5.2 RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF NEW OR MODIFIED COMMUNITY SERVICE COURSES, CONTINUING EDUCATION DIVISION

Upon motion by Trustee Livingston, seconded by Trustee Macker, the Board approved the following new or modified Community Service (tuition fee based) courses, as contained in the agenda and attachment.

6. BUSINESS SERVICES – Mr. Joe Sullivan, Vice President Business Services

6.1 BUSINESS CONSENT ITEMS

Upon motion by Trustee Blum, seconded by Trustee Jurkowitz, the Board approved the Business Services consent items, as contained in the agenda and attachments.

a. RATIFICATION OF PURCHASE ORDERS, attachment 6.1-a

b. RATIFICATION OF PAYMENTS AS SUMMARIZED BELOW (Detailed report may be viewed in the Accounting Office, A-130)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Check Ranges</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food Service</td>
<td>329506-98533</td>
<td>$84,752.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Treasury*</td>
<td>1198645-0874, 0970-3862</td>
<td>$11,247,151.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,331,903.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This includes the ACH payments (including direct deposit payments)

c. AUTHORITY TO DECLARE/DISPOSE OF SURPLUS EQUIPMENT, FACILITIES & OPERATIONS:
1 each Square D/Power style QED switchboard class 2700 S
1 each Square D switch, 208Y/120 volts #12437071-001
1 each Square D enclosure type 1 power style switch 2000A frame #PAF2036PL
5 each Fisher Hamilton Safe Air II fume hoods
d. APPROVAL OF FACILITY USE AGREEMENT, CITY OF CARPINTERIA, VETERANS MEMORIAL BUILDING, CONTINUING EDUCATION: PAINTING & KNITTING CLASS, attachment 6.1-d

e. APPROVAL OF OFF-SITE PREMISES AGREEMENT, MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING, CONTINUING EDUCATION, OUR LADY OF GUADALUPE CHURCH, attachment 6.1-e

f. APPROVAL OF CONSULTANT AGREEMENT, ALLIANCE OF SCHOOLS FOR COOPERATIVE INSURANCE PROGRAMS (ASCP), 3/5/12-3/4/13, $22,500.00, attachment 6.1-f

g. APPROVAL OF THE FOLLOWING LICENSE AGREEMENTS, MARJORIE LUKE THEATRE, attachment 6.1-g

1. SBCC “Legends of Jazz Concert” 3/19/2012
2. SBCC “Spring 2012 Symphony Concert” 4/26/12-4/27/12

h. APPROVAL OF AGREEMENT, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COLLABORATIVE, VENTURA COUNTY (EDC-VC), SCHEINFELD CENTER FOR ENTREPRENEURSHIP & INNOVATION, 1/1/12-1/31/12, attachment 6.1-h

i. APPROVAL OF NOTICE OF EXEMPTION, HUMANITIES BUILDING MODERNIZATION, attachment 6.1-i (Discussed at 2/22/12 Facilities meeting)

j. APPROVAL OF AWARD OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT, BUSINESS COMMUNICATIONS AIR HANDLER/CHILLER REPLACEMENT, BILDSTEN AND SHERWIN DESIGN STUDIO, INC., $73,575.00, attachment 6.1-j (Discussed at 2/22/12 Facilities meeting)

k. APPROVAL OF AWARD OF CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT, HUMANITIES BUILDING MODERNIZATION, $577,440.00, (Discussed at 2/22/12 Facilities meeting)

l. APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 1, INSTALLATION OF VIDEO SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM INFRASTRUCTURE AT THE STAIRS AND PATH IN THE OAK RESTORATION AREA, CSI ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS, INC., $6,821.00, attachment 6.1-l (Discussed at 2/22/12 Facilities meeting)

m. APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 1, EMERGENCY NOTIFICATION SYSTEM UPGRADE, BLUM & SONS ELECTRIC, INC., CREDIT <$8,545.62>, attachment 6.1-m (Discussed at 2/22/12 Facilities meeting)

6.2 BUSINESS ACTION ITEMS

a. ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION NO. 28 (2011-12) DELEGATION OF GOVERNING BOARD POWERS/DUTIES

Upon motion by Trustee Croninger, seconded by Trustee Jurkowitz, the Board approved Resolution No. 28 (2011-12) delegating the ability to transfer cash funds and to make budget revisions subject to the state restrictions, as contained in the agenda and attachment. The vote follows:

AYES: Trustee Blum, Trustee Croninger, Trustee Haslund, Trustee Jurkowitz, Trustee Livingston, Trustee Macker, Trustee Villegas

NOES: None

CONCUR: Student Trustee Ammon
b. ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION NO. 29 (2011-12) AUTHORIZING ROUTINE INTERNAL BUDGET TRANSFERS

Upon motion by Trustee Macker, seconded by Trustee Croninger, the Board approved Resolution No. 29 (2011-12) authorizing 2011-12 routine internal transfers as contained in the agenda and attachment. The vote follows:

AYES: Trustee Blum, Trustee Croninger, Trustee Haslund, Trustee Jurkowitz, Trustee Livingston, Trustee Macker, Trustee Villegas

NOES: None

CONCUR: Student Trustee Ammon

c. ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION NO. 30 (2011-12) PROVIDING FOR 2011-12 BUDGET REVISIONS DUE TO RECEIPT OF UNBUDGETED REVENUE

Upon motion by Trustee Macker, seconded by Trustee Croninger, the Board approved Resolution No. 30 (2011-12) authorizing 2011-12 budget revisions for unbudgeted revenue as contained in the agenda and attachment. The vote follows:

AYES: Trustee Blum, Trustee Croninger, Trustee Haslund, Trustee Jurkowitz, Trustee Livingston, Trustee Macker, Trustee Villegas

NOES: None

CONCUR: Student Trustee Ammon

d. ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION NO. 31 (2011-12) PROVIDING FOR PAYMENT OF OUTDATED WARRANT NO. 02-608446, DATED 6/30/03, $462.79

Upon motion by Trustee Livingston, seconded by Trustee Croninger, the Board approved Resolution No. 31 (2011-12) authorizing payment of outdated warrant, as contained in the agenda and attachment. The vote follows:

AYES: Trustee Blum, Trustee Croninger, Trustee Haslund, Trustee Jurkowitz, Trustee Livingston, Trustee Macker, Trustee Villegas

NOES: None

CONCUR: Student Trustee Ammon

e. APPROVAL OF REQUEST TO WITHDRAW SEVEN MILLION DOLLARS ($7,000,000) IN AVAILABLE FUNDS FROM THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT (SCCCD) WORKERS COMPENSATION FUND, JOINT POWERS AGENCY (JPA) TO THE UNRESTRICTED GENERAL FUND.

Upon motion Trustee Macker, seconded by Trustee Blum, the Board approved the withdrawal in available funds from the Southern California Community College District (SCCCD) Workers Compensation Fund, Joint Powers Agency (JPA) to the unrestricted general fund. Trustee Livingston voted no.

7. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY – NONE
8. ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion by Trustee Croninger, seconded by Trustee Macker the Board approved adjourning this meeting, setting the next regular meeting of the Board of Trustees on Thursday, March 22, 2012 at 4:00 p.m. in A211.

APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES ON March 22, 2012

President, Board of Trustees

Superintendent/President
Secretary/Clerk of the Board
NOTICE OF EXEMPTION

To: Office of Planning and Research
    P.O. Box 3044, Room 212
    Sacramento, CA  95812-3044

    County Clerk
    County of Santa Barbara
    105 East Anapamu Street
    Santa Barbara, CA  93101

From: Board of Trustees
    Santa Barbara City College
    721 Cliff Drive
    Santa Barbara, CA  93109

Project Title: Humanities Building Modernization

Project Location:

The proposed project is located within and adjacent to the existing Humanities Building on the East Campus of Santa Barbara City College, in the City of Santa Barbara, County of Santa Barbara.

Project Description:

The proposed project will include improvements to the existing 41,700 square-foot (s.f.), 45-ft. high Humanities Building to provide disabled access to all three building levels in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. Access will be provided by an exterior elevator access within a 2,100 square-foot (s.f.) elevator tower 34-feet high, extending outward from the southern façade of the existing building; new accessible bathrooms will be included on each floor. Additional improvements include: a replacement roof for existing Outdoor Art Workshop; replacing an existing 540 s.f. storage built in 1985 with a code-complying storage area within the same development footprint that extends from the eastern façade of the existing Humanities Building; a 140 s.f. darkroom and adjacent 171 s.f. equipment storage structure replacing existing storage container areas in the existing outdoor art workshop area; and replacement of a shed-style metal roof between 10'-5" and 13'-5" high over an existing 4,943 s.f. outdoor art workshop area, extending northward from the northern façade of the existing building.
Demolition and supporting improvements will include: removal of 10,772 s.f. of existing pavement and impervious surfaces and replacement with 11,876 s.f. of new paving and walkways; removal of 6,975 s.f. of existing landscaping and replacement with 5,779 s.f. of drought-tolerant ornamental ground cover and shrubbery; and removal of three non-native trees: 15-inch Monterey pine; 18-inch Cypress, and 24-inch cypress. Grading will include 310 cubic yards of cut and 240 cubic yards of fill, to be balanced onsite. No heavy truck trips associated with soil export/import will occur.

All storm runoff drainage will be directed to the existing storm drain system. Drainage from the new tower will be directed to a new 0.5-ft. deep, 20-ft. long, rock-lined/graded swale emptying into a 120-foot long landscaped swale, and runoff off of the new outdoor art workshop area will be directed into an existing storm drain pipe. A new sewer lateral will be constructed extending from the elevator tower easterly 170 ft. to an existing sewer line serving adjacent existing structures.

Construction is anticipated to begin July, 2012 and extend to October, 2013. Construction will occur Monday through Friday, from 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. No activity will occur on federal holidays (i.e., Memorial Day, Independence Day, and Labor Day).

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: Santa Barbara City College

Exempt Status: Categorical Exemption 15301(e)(1) Existing Facilities

Reason Why Project is Exempt: The proposed Humanities Building Modernization will result in the addition of 1,080 s.f., representing 5.7 percent of the existing 18,791 s.f. Humanities Building structure. This is below the threshold stated in this Categorical Exemption of an addition to existing structures “not resulting in an increase of more than 50 percent of the floor area of the structures before the addition, or 2,500 square feet, whichever is less.”

Lead Agency Contact Person: Joseph E. Sullivan, Vice President of Business Services, SBCC
Area Code/Telephone Extension: (805) 965-0581 X2910

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 2/24/12
Date received for filing at OPR: 3/5/2012
---- Forwarded message -----
From: Feist, Paul <pfeist@cccco.edu>
Date: Thu, Jun 14, 2012 at 1:30 PM
Subject: Budget Update
To: PIO-ALL@listserv.cccco.edu

Colleagues,

I'm passing along some budget news from VC Dan Troy.

Regards,

Paul Feist
Vice Chancellor for Communications
California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office

From: Troy, Dan [mailto:dtroy@cccco.edu]
Sent: Thursday, June 14, 2012 12:50 PM
To: SQ2CBO@LISTSERV.CCCNEXT.NET
Subject: Budget Update

Colleagues,

I thought it important to inform you of changes to the size of the deferral buy down if the ballot initiative passes and to the size of the trigger cut if the ballot fails. Unfortunately, the news is not positive.

Under the latest scenario, if the ballot initiative passes, the CCCs will receive $212,997,000 to pay down the system's inter-year deferrals. If the initiative fails, we will lose those funds and take an additional base reduction of $338,589,000. This reduction would be implemented as a workload reduction of approximately 7.5%. Previously, it had been estimated that our deferral buy down figure was about $313M while our trigger reduction was $286.5M.

The rationale provided for the change is that the CCC deferrals amount to 9.2% of the total deferred within K-14, and $213M represents 9.2% of the total dollars dedicated to buying down the deferrals. The $338.5M base reduction reflects 11% of the K-14 reductions that would be triggered by the failure of the November ballot initiative.

I'll keep you posted on any other major developments, though I suspect that most of the significant CCC budget issues are settled at this point.

Regards,

Dan Troy

Vice Chancellor for Fiscal Policy
Chancellor's Office of the California Community Colleges
dtroy@cccco.edu<mailto:dtroy@cccco.edu>

*******************************************************************************
## Assumptions, Scenario A:

1. Credit: Reduce Summer/Fall 2012 by 100 sections at 3 FTES each = 300 FTES
2. NCNE: With exception of 110 PCW FTES, convert 80% to fee-based

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Credit CA</th>
<th>Noncredit</th>
<th>Noncredit</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Equivalent Credit FTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Projected 2012-2013 FTES (based on 2011-12 P2)</td>
<td>13,133.26</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>1,095.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>- 2012-13 FTES reductions from above assumptions</td>
<td>-300.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-788.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2012-13 FTES after assumed reductions</td>
<td>12,833.26</td>
<td>717.00</td>
<td>307.12</td>
<td>61,742,003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tax Package Fails: 7.5% Workload Reduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Credit CA</th>
<th>Noncredit</th>
<th>Noncredit</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Equivalent Credit FTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Projected 2012-13 Cap</td>
<td>12,724.17</td>
<td>749.84</td>
<td>1,044.69</td>
<td>63,375,356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>- 7.5% workload reduction in FTES</td>
<td>(654.31)</td>
<td>(56.24)</td>
<td>(78.37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Workload reduction in dollars</td>
<td>$4,356,272</td>
<td>$131,766</td>
<td>$215,114</td>
<td>$4,753,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2012-13 FTES cap after workload reduction</td>
<td>11,769.86</td>
<td>693.60</td>
<td>966.53</td>
<td>58,622,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2012-13 FTES (Line 3 above)</td>
<td>12,833.26</td>
<td>717.00</td>
<td>307.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2012-13 FTES Over (Under) cap</td>
<td>1,063.40</td>
<td>23.40</td>
<td>-659.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2012-13 $ Over (under) workload-reduced cap</td>
<td>$4,854,227</td>
<td>$76,615</td>
<td>$(1,810,044)</td>
<td>3,119,797</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tax Package Passes: No Workload Reduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Credit CA</th>
<th>Noncredit</th>
<th>Noncredit</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Equivalent Credit FTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Projected 2012-13 Cap</td>
<td>12,724.17</td>
<td>749.84</td>
<td>1,044.69</td>
<td>63,375,358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2012-13 FTES (Line 3 above)</td>
<td>12,833.26</td>
<td>717.00</td>
<td>307.12</td>
<td>61,742,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2012-13 FTES Over (Under) Cap</td>
<td>109.09</td>
<td>-32.84</td>
<td>737.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2012-13 $ Over (Under) Cap</td>
<td>$497,955</td>
<td>$(106,151)</td>
<td>$(2,025,158)</td>
<td>1,633,356</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Assumptions, Scenario B:

1. Credit: Reduce Summer/Fall 2012 by 75 sections at 3 FTES each = 225 FTES
2. NCNE: With exception of 110 PCW FTES, convert 40% in Fall 2012, 40% in Winter 2013, 100% in Spring 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Credit CA</th>
<th>Noncredit</th>
<th>Noncredit</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Equivalent Credit FTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Projected 2012-2013 FTES (based on 2011-12 P2)</td>
<td>13,133.26</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>1,095.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>- 2012-13 FTES reductions from above assumptions</td>
<td>-225.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-590.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Projected 2012-13 FTES after assumed reductions</td>
<td>12,808.26</td>
<td>717.00</td>
<td>505.60</td>
<td>62,629,184</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tax Package Fails: 7.5% Workload Reduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Credit CA</th>
<th>Noncredit</th>
<th>Noncredit</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Equivalent Credit FTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>2012-13 FTES cap after workload reduction (Line 7)</td>
<td>11,769.86</td>
<td>593.80</td>
<td>966.53</td>
<td>58,622,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Projected 2012-13 FTES (Line 23 above)</td>
<td>12,908.26</td>
<td>717.00</td>
<td>505.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>2012-13 FTES Over (Under) workload-reduced cap</td>
<td>1,138.40</td>
<td>23.40</td>
<td>(460.93)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>2012-13 $ Over (under) workload-reduced cap</td>
<td>$5,196,588</td>
<td>$76,615</td>
<td>$(1,269,226)</td>
<td>4,006,976</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tax Package Passes: No Workload Reduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Credit CA</th>
<th>Noncredit</th>
<th>Noncredit</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Equivalent Credit FTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Projected 2012-13 Cap</td>
<td>12,724.17</td>
<td>749.84</td>
<td>1,044.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Projected 2012-13 FTES (Line 23 above)</td>
<td>12,908.26</td>
<td>717.00</td>
<td>505.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>2012-13 FTES Over (Under) Cap</td>
<td>184.09</td>
<td>-32.84</td>
<td>639.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>2012-13 $ Over (Under) Cap</td>
<td>$840,317</td>
<td>$(106,151)</td>
<td>$(1,480,339)</td>
<td>$(746,173)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendation for Converting Non-Enhanced Non-Credit Classes to Fee-Based Offerings in 2012-13

In order to allow for an orderly transition of converting non-enhanced non-credit classes to fee-based offering in 2012-13, I am recommending that we:

1. Convert 40% of the non-enhanced, non-credit fee-based classes (not including the PCW classes) to state supported courses in the Fall 2012 and Winter 2013 quarters. The direct instructional cost of offering 60% of these non-enhanced non-credit classes as state supported courses is approximately $560,000. The instructional support costs associated with these classes is approximately, $40,000.

2. Convert all non-enhanced non-credit classes (except PCW classes) to fee-based offerings in the Spring 2013 quarter to coincide with the opening of the Center for Lifelong Learning.

Discussion of Discontinuing Offering Enhanced Non-Credit Classes at the Ventura County Jail beginning with the 2013 Winter Quarter (60 FTES)

At a time when we are reducing core credit classes and converting non-enhanced non-credit classes to fee-based offerings, should the college continue to offer non-credit classes for inmates in the Ventura Jail? Since the Ventura Jail is in Ventura, either the Ventura Community College District or one of the high schools in Ventura responsible for adult education for their community should be responsible for offering non-credit classes for the inmates in this facility.

Estimated Cost Savings of Discontinuing Offering Non-Credit Enhanced Classes at the Ventura County Jail Beginning in the 2013 Winter Quarter: $85,500

Proposal to Restore in Fall 2012 up to 25 Credit Class Sections Students Need to Complete their Degree and Transfer Requirements

We have reduced 101 credit sections from the 2012 Fall Semester Schedule of Classes. As a result of these reductions, a significant number of students will not be able to enroll in courses they need to complete their degree and/or transfer requirements in a timely manner. We are proposing to provide the Administration flexibility to open (add) up to 25 class sections to accommodate student demand for essential credit courses.

Estimated District Instructional Costs: $142,500
Estimated Instructional Support Costs: $35,000
Total Estimated Costs: $177,500

Cost Reductions Not Included in the Tentative Budget to Off-Set Increase in Expenses to Pay for Restoring Credit Sections for the Fall Semester.

We will be reducing the amount of District backfill for categorical programs by $150,000 in 2012-13. These savings, along with those from discontinuing offering non-credit classes at the Ventura Jail, will help off-set much of the increased cost of reinstating core credit classes in Fall 2012.
Summary of Estimated Costs of Recommendations

Cost of Offering 60% of Non-Enhanced Non-Credit Classes as state supported courses: $600,000

Cost of Reinstating Up to 25 Credit Sections of Essential Courses in Fall 2012: $177,500

Cost Savings to Help Off-Set Increased Costs to Implement Recommendations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discontinue Classes in Ventura Jail beginning in Winter 2013:</td>
<td>$ 85,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce $150,000 of District backfill for categorical programs in 2012-13:</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Estimated Savings</td>
<td>$235,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary of Cost Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Estimated Costs:</td>
<td>$877,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Savings:</td>
<td>$235,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Increases in Expenses:</td>
<td>$641,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional Information: If the governor's proposed tax increase is approved, the additional FTES from converting non-enhanced non-credit classes to fee-based and reinstating some of the credit sections in Fall 2012 will generate approximately 195 FTES. This would reduce the number of under cap FTES we would need to generate in spring 2013 to achieve the college's funded FTES cap from 358 to 163.
SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE
ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DEVELOP THE 2012-13 TENTATIVE BUDGET
Board of Trustees Study Session June 14, 2012

This is a summary projection to address the revenue projections for the Tentative Budget and if the tax increase passes in November, 2012. Because of the structural deficit in the budget it is assumed there is no impact on expenses. All of the assumptions included in the tentative budget not changed in each scenario are incorporated in the Scenarios.

Tentative Budget

The budget revenue assumptions are from the governor’s May Revise published on the Community College League of California website on May 18, 2012. The revenue assumption for the state includes the passing of tax legislation in the November 2012 election. This is Scenario A “Governor’s Budget and Tax Package” and Scenario B “Governor’s Budget with Failure of Tax Package”. CCLC Scenario B is used for the Tentative Budget

If the voters do not approve the temporary taxes, the budget would likely change as follows:

- The $380,000 in new money for the mandates block grant would be cut.
- An additional unspecified base cut of $4.0 million, resulting in a 6.4% workload reduction.

The revenue assumption includes the potential $4.0 million workload reduction and assumes a $1 million deficit factor.

Tax Passes, Best Case Budget

CCLC Scenario A is used with the updates of June 12, 2012:

The budget would change from the tentative budget as follows:

- The $380,000 in new money for the mandates block grant is included.
- There is no workload reduction;
  - $4.0 million is added to revenue.
  - Cost of instruction would increase $1.7 million for 879 FTES.
- The $284 million targeted for pay down of deferrals would result in approximately $3.7 million increase in ending balances.
2012-13 TENTATIVE BUDGET

Study Session
June 14, 2012
• Local revenue includes $7.6 million from the workers comp funds transfer to the general fund.
• The $4 million workload reduction is included, reducing revenue.
• A $1 million deficit factor is included to offset the risk of non-collection of redevelopment funds.
• Take rate for fee based classes is estimated at 50%.
$2.3 million in identified budget reductions.
Over-cap FTES is eliminated.
50% reduction for short term and substitute workers.
No increase for wages or benefits.
The 2012-13 projection "Net Rev, Exp and Trans" is positive due to the income from workers comp fund transfer to the general fund. Without the transfer the net would have been a loss of over $7 million.
The minimum fund balance is 5% of unrestricted general fund expenditures, accrued overload and the current deferrals, approximately $17.9 million.

The workers comp ending balance were included in the general fund ending balances for consistency.
ENDING BALANCES IN ALL FUNDS

- **2010/11**: Total 43,240,021, After Deferrals 30,396,021
- **2011/12**: Total 39,290,782, After Deferrals 26,446,782
- **2012/13**: Total 36,429,660, After Deferrals 23,585,660
• For Tax Passes Scenarios:
  – The $4 million workload reduction is not included.
  – A $1 million deficit factor is not included.
• There is $284 million for the pay down of deferrals.
• Tax Passes expense increases for the direct cost of instruction only.
The tax passes scenario includes the direct cost of instruction at adjunct rates. It does not have any increase in support services.
- Tax Passes scenario includes the pay down of deferrals.
• Both the tentative budget and the scenario providing for the tax passing ending balances are significantly above the $18 million minimum included in the budget principles.
• Other in 2011-45 includes the transfer from the workers comp fund of $7.6 million.
DRAFT

MOTION- for approval of the tentative budget for the fiscal year 2012/13 with acknowledgement of a specific waiver to Board Policy 6251 #3 as per board discussion and action fiscal year 2011/12 when the board agreed to use a 3 year period to facilitate budget adjustments while expenses are reduced in the least disruptive manner. In addition, the Board shall provide direction to college leadership to:

- Prepare a final budget that incorporates input from key stakeholders through effective practices of participatory governance
- Provide an impact analysis of the budget reduction/savings plan being recommended, including other options considered (as applicable)
- Present to the board a final budget based on the most conservative estimate; however, the budget will be developed to the greatest extent possible to delay implementation of more drastic cut options until after the vote on the Governor's tax initiative in November.
- Provide estimates of the impact on reserves of the various budget reduction/savings options given the proposed timelines of implementation. These estimates will be incorporated into budget documents and the status of the reserves, under each of the scenarios presented, will be provided as part of the final budget documents. Comparable historical figures as well as projections through 2013/14 will be included.