AGENDA ITEM BACKGROUND

TO: BOARD OF TRUSTEES
FROM: PRESIDENT
SUBJECT: Board Resolution for California Energy Commission (CEC) Loan Application

DATE
December 6, 2012

ATTACHMENT(S)
1 page

REASON FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION
☑ ACTION ☐ CONSENT ☐ FIRST READING ☐ INFORMATION ☐ REPORTS

ITEM NUMBER
2.a

BACKGROUND:

In March 2012 the Board of Trustees approved an energy services agreement with Compass Energy Solutions for $1,450,984 for an LED retrofit project of the exterior light fixtures at the Main Campus, the Schott Center and the Wake Center. The project includes the retrofit of existing HID and Fluorescent technology fixtures to Light Emitting Diode (LED) lighting technology which is highly energy efficient and lasts up to 70,000 hours or more. The college has been approved for Southern California Edison’s OnBill Financing (OBF) program, offering a 0% interest loan, and to receive a utility rebate through the CCC/IOU program:

- Project Cost $1,450,984.00
- SCE OBF 595,845.60
- CCC/IOU Rebate 119,818.08
- Balance – CEC loan $ 735,320.37

To assist with the upfront funding and the balance of this project the college is applying for a low interest (1%) loan offered through the California Energy Commission (CEC) for an amount up to $750,000. The loan application requires a signed Resolution indicating the Board of Trustees approval of this action.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended the Board of Trustees approve Resolution No. 22 allowing application for a loan of up to $750,000 through the California Energy Commission.

Administrator Initiating Item: Julie Hendricks, Director – Facilities & Campus Development

Approved by: Joseph E. Sullivan, Vice President Business Services
RESOLUTION
OF THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE
SANTA BARBARA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the California Energy Commission provides loans to schools, hospitals, local
governments, special districts, and public care institutions to finance energy efficiency
improvements.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Governing Board of the Santa Barbara
Community College District authorizes application for an energy efficiency loan from the
California Energy Commission to implement energy efficiency measures.

BE IT ALSO RESOLVED, that in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act
(CEQA) the Governing Board finds that the activity funded by the loan is a project that is
exempt under Categorical Exemption 15301(e)(1) Existing Facilities because the proposed
project will not result any new habitable or storage structures.

BE IT ALSO RESOLVED, that if recommended for funding by the California Energy
Commission, the Governing Board authorizes the acceptance of a loan up to $750,000.00.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Joseph Sullivan, Vice President of Business Services is
hereby authorized and empowered to execute in the name of Santa Barbara Community
College District all necessary documents to implement and carry out the purpose of this
resolution and to undertake all actions necessary to undertake and complete the energy
efficiency projects.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Governing Board of the Santa Barbara Community College
District this 6th day of December, 2012 by the following vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Absent:

Concur:

Lori Gaskin, Ph.D., Superintendent/President and
Secretary/Clerk to the Board of Trustees
AGENDA ITEM BACKGROUND

TO: BOARD OF TRUSTEES
FROM: PRESIDENT
SUBJECT: Notice of Exemption for LED Retrofit of Exterior Lighting Fixtures Project

REASON FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION
☒ ACTION ☐ CONSENT ☐ FIRST READING
☐ INFORMATION ☐ REPORTS

ITEM NUMBER 2.b

DATE December 6, 2012
ATTACHMENT(S) 2 pages

BACKGROUND:

In March 2012 the Board of Trustees approved an energy services agreement with Compass Energy Solutions for $1,450,984 for an LED retrofit project of the exterior light fixtures at the Main Campus, the Schott Center and the Wake Center. The project includes the retrofit of existing HID and Fluorescent technology fixtures to Light Emitting Diode (LED) lighting technology which is highly energy efficient and lasts up to 70,000 hours or more. In order to be compliant with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) the project has been determined to be exempt since the project will not result any new habitable or storage structures. A Notice of Exemption will be filed by the college with the state Office of Planning and Research (OPR) and the County Clerk upon Board action.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended the Board of Trustees approve the Notice of Exemption for the LED Retrofit of Exterior Lighting project.

Administrator Initiating Item: Julie Hendricks, Director – Facilities & Campus Development

Approved by: Joseph E. Sullivan, Vice President Business Services
NOTICE OF EXEMPTION

To: Office of Planning and Research
P.O. Box 3044, Room 212
Sacramento, CA  95812-3044

County Clerk
County of Santa Barbara
105 East Anapamu Street
Santa Barbara, CA  93101

From: Board of Trustees
Santa Barbara City College
721 Cliff Drive
Santa Barbara, CA  93109

Project Title: Humanities Building Modernization

Project Location:

The proposed project is located within Santa Barbara City College East and West Main Campuses in the City of Santa Barbara, the Schott Community Education Center at 310 West Padre Street, in the City of Santa Barbara, and the Wake Community Education Center at 300 North Turnpike Road, in the County of Santa Barbara.

Project Description:

The proposed project will involve retrofit of the existing High-Intensity Discharge (HID) and Fluorescent lighting fixtures to Light-Emitting Diode (LED) lighting technology, including interior and exterior lighting fixtures. Parking lot fixture replacement will be considered and undertaken as considered feasible. Approximately 2000 fixtures may be subject to retrofit, resulting in between 50 and 85 percent energy savings over existing demand.

Retrofitting activity is anticipated to begin January, 2013 and extend five months to June, 2013. Any outdoor construction activity will occur Monday through Friday, from 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. No outdoor construction activity will occur on federal holidays (i.e., Memorial Day, Independence Day, and Labor Day).

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: Santa Barbara City College
Exempt Status: Categorical Exemption 15301(e)(1) Existing Facilities

Reason Why Project is Exempt: The proposed retrofit of HID and Fluorescent lighting technology to LED lighting technology will not result any new habitable or storage structures. Some parking lot fixtures may be replaced and/or relocated, but the central activity is the replacement of existing fixtures to more energy-efficient technology.

Lead Agency Contact Person: Julie Hendricks, SBCC Director-Facilities & Campus Dev.
Area Code/TelephoneNumber: (805) 965-0581 X3547
Signature: ____________________________
Date: 11/26/12

Date received for filing at OPR: ____________________________
Board of Trustees: Organization

We have scheduled a Study Session for December 6 for the purpose of discussing Board structure for the coming year. We are embarking on a new year with a new Board of Trustees, so this is the opportune time to reflect on what we do and how we do it. I'd like to suggest five specific topics:

1. Mission Statement: Why are we here?

2. Committee Structure: For as long as I can remember, the Board of Trustees has had three committees, often called sub-committees:
   - Fiscal
   - Facilities
   - Ed Policies

Two years ago, we added a Community Relations committee to the mix.

My purpose in having another look at our structure is to make doubly sure that these continue to be the areas of interest to the Board and that there is a direct connection between committee activity and the Board.

For me, the central purpose of a committee is to allow a smaller sub-set of the Board to focus on major issues within their subject area so as to allow the Board to have increased confidence that the matter has been thoroughly explored. This brings me to one of my concerns. Though we now have a line on the agenda inviting Committee Chairs to share what happened in their committee, there is no expectation of a routine report to the Board.

When we meet in Study Session on December 6, I would like for us to consider the following as we plan for the future.

1. What should be the function and expectation of our Board committees? What purpose is being served?

2. How do these committees relate to the full Board?

3. What sorts of subject-oriented committees should we have?

4. How often should these committees meet? Here, I would like to consider the impact on our staff.

My guiding principle would be that our committees should serve a meaningful purpose by improving efficiency and effectiveness of the decision making process, consistent with the Board's purpose and the mission of the college.
3. **Board Meetings**: Our current practice is to meet twice monthly, once in regular session, once in study session. During the past couple of years, we have found that there has been a need for more decision-making time, causing us to craft a complicated agenda that allows us to do both tasks. Hence, it was suggested that we talk about regularizing this process by having a two-track agenda twice per month.

Another aspect of our considerations about Board meetings has to do with venue. Are we happy with A-211 or should we consider some other on-campus venue for our meetings? The BC Forum comes first to mind. It is less formal, provides more parking space for community visitors, and would allow for A-211 to be used as a classroom on Tuesdays and Thursdays in the late afternoon.

4. **Board Leadership**: We will need to elect a new President and Vice-President for the coming year. We may want to talk about this in Study Session simply to identify who would be interested in these two jobs. We might also discuss possible Chairs of committees. The decisions about these positions would be postponed until our regular Board meeting on December 13.

5. **Brown Act Training**: (to be conducted December 13, 2012) California's Brown Act focuses on a simple principle: those of us elected to public office for the purpose of spending public resources are responsible for doing this in OPEN MEETINGS. This law derives from a time when major decisions were made in smoke-filled rooms where the doors were always closed to the public. This act is in the public interest and I take it seriously. Our General Counsel, Craig Price, is an expert in this aspect of the law, so he will lead us in a careful overview of what this law means to us.