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1. GENERAL FUNCTIONS

1.1 CALL TO ORDER

President Haslund called the meeting to order.

1.2 ROLL CALL

Members present:
Marty Blum
Marsha Croninger
Peter Haslund, President
Morris Jurkowitz
Joan Livingston
Lisa Macker
Luis Villegas, Vice President
Nicole Ridgell, Student Trustee

Others present for all or a portion of this meeting:
Dr. Andreea M. Serban, Superintendent/President and Secretary of the Board of Trustees
1.3 WELCOME

President Haslund extended a cordial welcome to all.

1.4 HEARING OF CITIZENS

**MR. ERIC TOGAMI SBCC credit student:** Mr. Togami attended Santa Barbara City College since this last fall, is 19-year-old honor student majoring in history, and with a 4.0 GPA. He praised the outstanding school that SBCC is. He plans to transfer to another college this fall. He speaks on behalf of future students who do not have the opportunity to have their voices heard at this critical juncture in time. He closely followed this last election cycle. On November 2nd, in the midst of a progressively bleak economic situation, a typical voting bloc ousted the members of the Board who had for years allowed the administrators on campus to do their jobs to an exceptionally effective degree, allowing the faculty to teach the students to learn and thereby the best learning environment possible. During the campaign, it was proposed that there was somehow a problem with the college, generally creating what Mr. Togami perceives to be a false sense that it wasn't being run very well, and that is a problem. Mr. Togami thinks that during the times of fiscal uncertainty people tend to blame the status quo for their problems, not realizing there are factors outside the control of any one college or any one individual that caused the instability. Mr. Togami believes that it is this exploitation of fear that leads us to our current predicament. There is no person more qualified, more dedicated to do their work than President/Superintendent Serban. Since her arrival at SBCC, she has worked tirelessly to include and engage the various constituencies on campus into the decision-making process, which has resulted in an exceptionally enriched collegial atmosphere. What Mr. Togami believes the new
members of the Board overlook consistently is her instrumental leadership in the accreditation process, succeeding where other community colleges have failed, with no fewer than nine commendations for excellence. While President/Superintendent Serban’s accomplishments and contributions to this college are far more numerous to discuss in their entirety than it can be done at this meeting. During the campaign, one of the biggest points of contention was the situation regarding the reserves specifically that the college was cutting programs instead of expending money. In economic times such as we find ourselves, nearly unprecedented in terms of graveness, it is naive to expect that the State will always come through with the funding required in the right time frame. As we saw earlier this year, the budget wasn't approved until months after its deadline, tying up funds that otherwise would not have come to the college. What would we have done without putting aside enough money to sustain our monthly burn rate? Sure, if there's enough money left over to devote to secondary goals like Adult Education, by all means spend it, but we should never endeavor to tap into our reserves to fund programs that aren't really consistent with the primary mission of the California community colleges as defined by the California Education Code.

Another major criticism Mr. Togami heard is that she hasn't done enough to Foster innovation in educational programs. Nothing could be further from the truth. Mr. Togami thinks that it is truly a credit to her dedication to this end that we have been able to not only stay fiscally solvent but continue to lead the field in innovation of developing technology for teaching and learning in the classroom and online. Mr. Togami cited Commendation 7 of the nine commendations for the accreditation process “proactive commitment to both technology in both instructional and administrative areas.” Mr. Togami urged all members of the college, be they administrators, faculty or students, to look around and keep in mind that we are the only community college in California that hasn't had to resort to major layoffs, hasn't had to resort to major furloughs, hasn't had to cut whole departments of learning, hasn't had to undertake the disruptive measures other colleges that have had to undertake, and has respected a program review process that has allowed every department equal opportunity to request funding for their needs. He believes that we have a President/Superintendent Serban to thank for that. It is in the presence of such credentials that he thinks demonstrates, at best, the profound lack of thought and, at worst, misguided political zeal on the part of the new Board members who he feels have hindered the operation of the college through micromanagement and direct subversion of institutional channels. The hiring of outside legal counsel and the new Board members’ affinity for closed sessions leads him to believe that they may be embarking on a course of action to remove the president. The discovery of this news is what really brought him here today. It deeply saddens him to think that the college he selected not only for its affordability but its reputation for being the jewel among the California community colleges will not be the same school that he leaves behind in just a year later this fall. It deeply saddens him to think that the political ambition would hinder fostering of a great collegial atmosphere led by President/Superintendent Serban. He asked the new members of the Board to tell him that they don't have a politically-motivated agenda to remove President/Superintendent Serban and that they believe, like he does, that you cannot have a great Santa Barbara City College without a great President/superintendent like Dr. Andreea Serban. He thanked the President for continuing to ensure that his education is of the foremost quality and that the rest of the Board members and Trustee Ridgell for their time.

MRS. JOYCE POWELL, former SBCC Trustee for 32 years: Ms. Powell has words of advice for the four new Board members. City College is facing budgetary resource allocation issues. This is not a surprise, it happens every year, but it's going to be really hard for the four new Board members to budget this year because they were elected as reform candidates, so they are on the hot spot. Ms. Powell advises them to practice shared governance; to let the college faculty, the staff, the administrators do their work. That's what they are good at and that's what they are paid for. They will develop solutions, and they will follow their own protocols. These protocols didn't just spring up overnight, they developed over time, and the new Board members have to respect them and what the staff does. As Board members, they cannot get too involved in the planning process too early, because if they do, it leaves them no place to go when segments of the college disagree. There are segments of the college naming the credit program and Continuing Ed are just two of them. Santa Barbara City College isn’t monolithic, the Board has to be fair to all of these people, and if Board
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members get involved in the planning processes in the early stage, they have no place to go politically or any other ways when these segments start disagreeing. New Board members have to practice learning how to share governance. It can be really useful to the Board because Trustees don't want to create problems with staff morale and set up animosities between people. The new Trustees probably don't realize yet that everything they say and do on this campus gets talked about; it gets reacted to, not always in the way they intended it. It's easy to be misunderstood. And Santa Barbara's a small town. Anything you say here, it will be out there. Ms. Powell noted that new Board members have to remember the campus staff who are pros. The Board members are amateurs, they represent the public, they are still an amateur, and they will always will be. It took Ms. Powell some time to learn that, but she did learn it in the 32 years that she was on the Board. It doesn't mean that Trustees are giving up their authority; it means the Board can run more efficiently because Trustees are letting the staff work more efficiently. She asked the new Board members to value their superintendent/president who is wonderful. Ms. Powell questioned why would the new Trustees even think about replacing someone who is in her most productive career years, someone who is respected in the community and on the campus, someone who is recognized at the state level for her work, somebody who has a background in technology, someone who already owns a house in Santa Barbara. Ms. Powell worked with four different superintendent/presidents. They all had different styles, but they all were pretty dedicated to the institution. And she was chastised once thoroughly maybe, by the second one that she worked with, because she used the phrase "winners and losers" in a Board meeting, an open Board meeting. This was not a smart thing to do. So, afterwards he said to Ms. Powell, "You know, at Santa Barbara City College, after a negotiation," he said, "We don't talk about winners and losers" he says, "We talk about people who are a little bit unhappy and then there are other people who are a little more unhappy." They were very wise words. Now, the college has a long-term and a well-deserved reputation for stability, fiscal prudence and practicality. Ms. Powell asked the new Board to not mess that up. Ms. Powell thank the Board for their time.

MR. IGNACIO ALARCÓN, faculty credit: Mr. Alarcon used public comments because he is speaking not on behalf of the Academic Senate position. The Senate has not taken a position yet. As a member of the faculty, he is very worried about some possible options that have been talked about for the building of the budget 2011-2012. We have very healthy reserves at this college because of several years of hard work and sacrifices from all of us. It is a relief that we can use some of them to weather this crisis. However, to kick the can on next year would be reckless and irresponsible. He hopes that as a whole the Board will not follow the course of simply saying no reductions until next fiscal year. Some hard choices will need to be made so that we ultimately save the very programs we don't want to hurt. Not acting now would paint us into a much more difficult corner where even more painful decisions will have to be made. He thanked the Board.

MR. DEAN NEVINS, faculty credit: Mr. Nevins noted that he was there to present 730 signatures from community members, including Lee Luria, Léni FéBland, Leslie Ridley-Tree, students, staff and faculty who express support for and appreciation of President Serban. Mr. Nevins gave the packet consisting of the letter and the signatures to the Board president to share with the entire Board, but Mr. Nevins noted that he was happy to make copies for any additional Board member who would like to have one. For the record, this is the letter that the people signed.

March 7, 2011, to the Santa Barbara City College Board of Trustees:

We, the people of Santa Barbara City College and the community it serves, including representatives of the student body, faculty classified staff and managers, hereby affirm our support for the Superintendent/President Andreea M. Serban and urge you to provide her, as soon as possible but no later than April 1st, 2011, the satisfactory evaluation she merits for the 2010-11 academic year thereby adding an additional year to her contract.

Among the many reasons Superintendent/President Serban should be positively evaluated include: An extremely positive ACCJC accreditation visit with, as mentioned earlier, nine commendations praising the college and administration for promoting dialogue, successful fiscal management, inclusiveness in decision-making, community service programs, use of state-of-the-art technology and focusing on student achievement and success. This would not have occurred without President Serban’s leadership.
Item two, outstanding fiscal management. Unlike many of our counterpart California community colleges, we have tackled successfully three difficult years with no layoffs or furloughs of regular employees. With many budgets augmented from the general fund, with additional funding for tutors and restored funding for readers, with additional funding for all priority equipment and technology requests, with solid reserves and no need for borrowing, State cuts to all categorical programs have been offset in order to fund their essential needs and ensure the support for the success of our neediest students. Processes that President Serban has put into place, including the program review, have ensured a campus-like dialogue that links planning to budgeting to evaluation in a manner that increases consultation and consensus across the entire institution.

Number three, Title V Federal Grant. In February 2009, SBCC gained the status of Hispanic-serving institution, which, among many other benefits, made us eligible to apply for federal grants here towards strengthening institutional capacity and building programs to increase the success of unrepresented students. In September 2010, for the first time in the history of the college, we received a three-million-dollar Title V Grant. Not only is this our first federal Title V Grant, but this is the first grant of this level of magnitude in the history of the college. This funding allows the college to develop, express its success basic skills education.

Number four, support for numerous student success initiatives including the Partnership for Student Success, Human Presence Institutes, Express to Success, Accelerated Transfer and Degree Initiatives, Early Advantage Partnership with Brandman University, partnership with CSU Channel Islands to offer a bachelor of science business program at SBCC and many others.

Number five, Measure V Rollout. Dr. Serban provided excellent leadership for the preparation needed to obtain higher rating by Standard & Poor's and the subsequent successful sale of our first issuance of bonds for the 47-million-dollar, dialogue, prioritization and completion of any projects, an effective re-budgeting of Measure V funds in light of lack of matching state funds compared to the original bond.

Item six, Effective and important engagement at the state level in the community to develop or enhance existing programs and promote the college. Dr. Serban's been very effective -- has very effective relationships with the leaders of our local K12 and higher education institutions, both the businesses and key local and state organizations.

Number seven, Centennial Celebration. Dr. Serban has led the work to celebrate our Centennial anniversary. The events and centennial book have further enhanced the legacy of SBCC and our exceptional reputation as a premier community college.

Item number eight, Leadership in times of unprecedented fiscal crisis that has allowed SBCC not only to survive but to thrive through new technology, new programs, numerous grants, new ways for students to complete courses of programs, joint programs, collaborations and partnerships with academic institutions, civic organizations and private companies.

In addition to the many successes our college has experienced since the beginning of Dr. Serban's presidency, in June 2008, Dr. Serban possesses and articulates a clear vision for the future that we embraced. She engaged in ongoing dialogue with the college community through forums, the faculty, students, staff and other college committees, open sessions and meets with the faculty, staff and students to discuss issues.

We, the People of Santa Barbara City College and the community it serves, therefore, urge you to affirm your support for Superintendent/President Serban and add another year to her existing contract as soon as possible before she is lured away by another community college. Behind every community college is a great president and now more than we ever we need Dr. Serban at SBCC.

Signed 730 people.
MR. CARLOS MARTINEZ, Cont Ed Student, President of the Continuing Education Student Council: (Interpreted through Carlos Cerecedo, Certified Interpreter.) Mr. Martinez noted that the letter that he will read will also be sent to the national organizations who defend the human rights and the rights of immigrants, such as MALDEF, the Mexican/American Legal Defense and Education Fund; CHIRLA, Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights of Los Angeles; NAELEO, National Association of Latino Elected and Appointed Officials; the offices of civil rights and other organizations that I'm sure would be interested in the subject that I will expose to you this afternoon. The first issue has to do with racism and discrimination, which is being felt with a great deal of strength against the Latin community.

In Arroyo Grande, the FBI's investigated a cross that was set on fire at the yard of a family -- a black family a few days ago. At city hall here in Santa Barbara a sign of graffiti was just painted with a Nazi symbol sending a signal of feeling with racial connotations. In the state of Kansas, the republican representative Virgil Peck has publicly said as follows, quote, "It looks to me if shooting immigrating feral hogs works, maybe we have found a solution for our illegal immigration problem," end quote. Quote, "We are extremely disappointed in what has taken place, the takeover of the Wake Center as a trade school for undocumented immigrants. It is quite apparent that the Adult Ed classes are being systematically eliminated in favor of the immigrant classes that are funded by the State." This now from the Independent newspaper." The Latin community has not seen yet any new classes in the year of vocational careers at Wake Center. But what we are uncomfortable with is the way in which the Latin students are being referred to, and that it is racial profiling unless Mrs. White there has to ask for birth certificates or passports for all adults who attend the Wake Center. Mr. Martinez is not sure if it will be very pleasant for the people that are taking jewelry classes or the persons that are taking MindSupermind to have presented their American passport before being allowed to enter the classes. Last March 15 in the newspaper The Daily Sound, Betty White writes as follows, quote, "I'm really concerned that Adult Ed is being dismantled and turned into a classic trade school for immigrants. Are most of these students here legally?" Quote, "We don't need more gardeners, health care workers, et cetera, especially if they are here illegally. A number of seniors supplement their retirement by making jewelry, painting and sewing items to sell. Many seniors need these classes for socialization with their peers," unquote. This last note leaves me breathless. "I wasn't aware that our public schools in Santa Barbara were meant just for that. But even though we are not against it, we simply ask for equity in the class offerings." What we have just heard is many of the tactics that are day by day are being done based in racism sentiments with intentions to discriminate in color and ethnicity. This cannot be tolerated by our institution. Therefore, in the name of my classmates of the student council and of the immigrant community without importance of their nationality, without care for their nationality, Mr. Martinez will ask these Board of Trustees to make a resolution or a policy that says in explicit manner that the Santa Barbara City College does not tolerate racism nor discrimination, and that's why we are asking as follows, quote, "Zero tolerance policy against racism and discrimination," end quote. In the same note, Mrs. White says as follows, "Dr. Arellano, through promoting the Hispanics to showing up at the meetings promotes more antagonism," unquote. Mr. Martinez would like to apologize to Ms. Arellano because she has nothing to do with our group. We, the students, have our own agenda, our own interests, and our own rights to promote. Besides we do have people that are well-prepared and very competent. But in response to the fact that we, Hispanics, are participating in these gatherings we create antagonism, the articles of this are the ones who really create antagonism. To participate and to offer opinions and viewpoints in front of this respectful Board of Trustees is the right that any person that lives in our school district and is not only for those who feel somehow privileged. From here on we will be present at these meetings. And another subject that you are going to be discussing today, the Latin community asks if you please are going to televise these meetings, in the spirit of all inclusivity, we will appreciate it will be translated into Spanish so that our families, including our children that someday are going to be at this college, could listen and learn how this institution functions. And lastly, Mr. Martinez, on behalf of the Student Council, respectfully requested that the Board evaluate in a favorable manner the president of the college, Dr. Serban, as soon as possible and that the Board grant her the contract so she will continue working in our institution without interruptions.

Mr. Martinez requested three items from the Board: Zero-tolerance policy against racism discrimination at Santa Barbara City College. That the meetings of this Board of Trustees be translated in Spanish for television; a quick, favorable evaluation and approval to the contract of the
Mr. McIntire read: We resolve to set aside any personality antagonisms that may impede a unified college leadership. We resolve to sincerely collaborate with each other in decisions without any preconditions or premeditations. We resolve to compromise our divergent views on governance for the college for the greater good of the college and the civic community. We resolve to sincerely conciliate any future differences that may impede full and effective campus governance from this point forward. We, the undersigned, ask all persons of good faith in our community to assist us in the adherence of these reasonable principles of conciliation. Mr. McIntire hoped the Board will consider something like that, because he thinks that the unity of the Board with the president is probably the road we should be going. Thanked the Board.

MS. CORNELIA ALSHEIMER, Chief Negotiator, Instructors’ Association: She noted Latin one sentence, a statement traced back to the year 222 made by Gnaeus Domitius Annius Ulpianus, a lawyer and chief advisor to the Roman emperor, a statement which is used to this day and hasn't lost any of its importance, pacta sunt servanda, "Agreements must be kept." Pacta sunt servanda. The agreement she would like to apply this to is the contract between the Instructors’ Association and the college district represented by the Board. Ms. Alsheimer referred to items that will be presented in closed session regarding the current status of negotiations between the IA and the District. There are a variety of aspects where both teams have reached agreement on. In our numerous meetings, we had a number of good debates, sometimes a bit heated, but good. As always, negotiations are a give and take on both sides. We are not done yet and there are also still a number of items from our original proposal in discussion. Ms. Alsheimer wanted talk about the process about what to do if our contract is not kept, what to do if one of the two parties to this contract believes that this agreement has been violated. Those things do not happen too often, but they do happen, and so we need to have a process on how to deal with it. Currently, this process is laid out in Article 6 of the contract in the form of our grievance procedure. This procedure has four steps; and for the newer Board members on the Board, the Board of Trustees is the last step in this process. If the IA or an individual faculty member sees a clause of this contract violated and files a grievance, then your word is final and binding. After the Board, the association or the faculty member is left to sue the college and let the court system decide this matter, a process which is expensive, time-consuming and oftentimes has only one group of winners, the attorneys. Therefore, the IA has proposed to extend this grievance procedure with binding arbitration. A proposal, (a) costs no money but is intended to save some, (b) has been practiced by other colleges and other public entities for decades; and (c) would be a win-win agreement for both sides. The IA believe that this proposal is a good one. We
strongly believe that the benefits of binding arbitration should be considered more seriously. This is not about having an arbitrator on campus every week. In good faith, the district and the IA negotiate and sign a contract with the intent that this contract will be kept and honored. After all, pacta sunt servanda. However, in situations where there is a serious problem, why not try to solve such a matter outside of the courtroom. Please take this under consideration. This said, the second item Ms. Alsheimer would like to discuss is a proposal, which in January was brought to the negotiation table by the district, the district proposal in reference to Article 12 of the contract. Article 12 formulates those very modest, the appointment priorities the college has in place for our part-time faculty; and more specifically, this new proposal relates to section 12.7, which covers the question of what happens if an adjunct faculty member feels his or her right, according to Article 12 being violated? Currently, Article 12 can be grieved. However, this grievance is not allowed to go over all four steps of the current grievance procedure, according to Article 6. And this is unique in our contract - adjunct faculty are expressly limited to only grieve a violation of their reappointment rights up to step two of this fourth step grievance procedure. With this new proposal, we are now asked to completely disconnect our modest adjunct reappointment rights from the contractual grievance process. No grievance allowed whatsoever. Instead, we're offered a replacement process, which looks very similar, but I assure you it is not. What is proposed is a disconnection of the adjunct faculty reappointment rights from the protection of the contractual grievance procedure, and this is not acceptable. In these days, part-time faculty need rather more and not less protection. Ms. Alsheimer does not understand why reappointment rights for part-time faculty deserve less protection than, for example, salary class transfer or reduced workload programs. She is asking to remove all limitations of Section 2.7 of this contract for adjunct faculty. Thanked the Board.

1.5 MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF FEBRUARY 24, 2011

Discussion took place between the Trustees regarding the length of the minutes. Trustees Livingston, Villegas and Jurkowitz indicated that they prefer the longer version of the minutes. Other trustees disagreed. Trustee Villegas recommended that this discussion take place at a study session. Superintendent/President Serban asked that the minutes be approved.

Upon motion by Trustee Livingston, seconded by Trustee Villegas, the Board approved the minutes of the regular meeting of February 24, 2011. Trustee Croninger voted no on this item.

1.6 COMMUNICATIONS

The following reports were presented to the Board of Trustees about various matters involving the District. No action was taken unless listed on a subsequent agenda.

a. REPORT BY ACADEMIC SENATE – Ignacio Alarcon

Mr. Alarcon reported on the following: The Planning and Resources Committee of the Academic Senate organized a faculty forum of the college priorities for building the budget 2011-2012. This forum was facilitated by Emeritus Professor John Kay, it was very well attended. The faculty lecture of Dr. Mike Young was held on March 17, hoped everyone enjoyed Mike's enthusiasm and passion for his discipline and for teaching it. At the Academic Senate meeting yesterday, Joe Sullivan spoke about the budget's recent history and scenarios based on the possible assumptions. Thanked Joe for taking the time and being such a good sport. Most seem to agree that the best-case scenario is fading away quickly, but the more ominous scenarios are more likely. On April 6, the Academic Senate will have an extraordinary meeting, and Dr. Ofelia Arellano will come to the Senate to discuss the landscape of Continuing Ed. At this meeting, the Academic Senate will also discuss the possible criteria to be considered for reduction of class offerings. By April 13, it is expected that the Academic Senate will act on recommendations about the budget development in preparation of the College Planning Council's meeting on April 19. Wasn't going to mention Article 12, but since Ms. Alsheimer brought it up, wanted to remind the Board that this was where the faculty and the Academic Senate have asked the IA to consult with department chairs. There will be a very important meeting coming up with several of the department chairs this coming Friday to do precisely that with IA executive board representatives.
b. REPORT BY ASSOCIATED STUDENTS – Ruby Limon

This has been a busy and engaging month for the Student Senate. On March 14, we joined other community colleges and four-year institutions at the capitol for the annual "March in March." A group of 20 students from EOPS, DSPS, Phi Theta Kappa and the Student Senate along with Dr. Partee traveled to Sacramento to protest against cuts to higher education. The Student Senate has reinstated "Coffee with the Senators," where students meet Senators over coffee and bagels to discuss concerns and/or ideas that will improve our campus. The Student Senate, Phi Theta Kappa and International Student Ambassadors have come together to raise money to send to Japan. Their goal is to raise $10,000 and with only five days of tabling, they have raised $5,105. The Student Senate is also organizing its Slip 'n Slide event to create Student Senate awareness. The event will be on April 21 on the west campus. A $1.00 donation will be asked per student and funds will go towards Hope for Japan. During spring break, eight senators with Dr. Partee will travel to Sacramento to attend the Statewide Student Senate Spring General Assembly. This is a three-day conference where they participate in different breakout sessions, where they learn about the Brown Act, parliamentary procedures and effective leadership. On April 17, Santa Barbara City College students will be participating in the Foundation for California Community Colleges, "Hands Across California." The launch of an intense media outreach will take place in the next few weeks, and Vice-President of Senate Affairs, Ola Smith, is an active intern with the Foundation for California Community Colleges and is Santa Barbara City College’s contact person.

c. REPORT ON CLASSIFIED EMPLOYEES – Liz Auchincloss

Ms. Auchincloss reported on the following: Reported on negotiations. They have concluded their negotiations, Article 6, reopening of their contract. They had two very cordial meetings with the district and they came to a tentative agreement that their chapter ratified unanimously. Urged the Board to also ratify it today, it is item 2.3 on the agenda. The consultation group has talked about the budget, and won't report on that right now because the budget forum hasn't occurred yet. The classified budget forum will be held next Tuesday, and then we should get more of a comprehensive view of how the staff feels about what's going on with the budget, but these are hard times, so whatever we do, we need to come together, and it's important. I mean, if we are going to have cuts of, maybe, ten, $11 million, we have to do it as a team. And the last thing to report on, today, thanks to our new risk manager, Lorenzo Zwaal, we had an emergency preparedness training session for security, some facilities, people, some grounds people and members of the safety committee. Bill Harz, who works in the geology department, brought his truck, the Wooly II, and trained a lot of people on how to put it together and take it apart as a resource in case we ever needed it on campus in an emergency situation. It's a really magnificent operation that he's put together over the years. Thanked Lorenzo and Joe Sullivan for arranging the training.

d. REPORT ON CURRENT EVENTS (INCLUDED IN SUPERINTENDENT/PRESIDENT'S REPORT) – Joan Galvan on vacation

e. REPORT FROM SUPERINTENDENT/PRESIDENT – Dr. Andreea M. Serban

1. Thanked staff, faculty, students and community members for their support.
2. The news on the state budget is grimmer every day. The latest communication received today makes it seem very unlikely that there will be a June election, and the governor this morning spoke of, quote-unquote, "initiatives" during a conference of the California State Association of Counties, leading many to believe that a November playbook is a distinct possibility. At the same time, a new poll by the Public Policy Institute of California found only 46 percent of California residents were inclined to vote yes on the governor's tax extension. This is the worst polling to date on this topic and there's no reason June versus November will make a difference. So we are quickly approaching that “all cuts” budget scenario which for SBCC means an ongoing cut in our general fund revenues of at least $6.8 million to as much as possibly $10.5 million starting year 2011-12. Appreciates the
work of all of our staff and faculty and thanked Ignacio Alarcon and Liz Auchincloss, for their leadership for the faculty and staff, and this is indeed a time to fully come together and take the action needed to preserve the core mission of this college and the employment of our permanent employees' to whom we are fully committed.

3. On March 25 we will host our first Human Presence Institute Symposium in which our faculty will demonstrate some of the technology techniques they learned in their area workshops, Twitters, Skype, Flip Cams, and other technology designed to teach our students in formats with which they are familiar and comfortable. The State Chancellor's Office informed us that our human presence learning environment was awarded the Technology Focus Award for 2010. This is a statewide award that recognizes projects that have been identified and solved significant problems to the benefit of students, faculty and staff. Congratulated Dr. Doug Hersh, Dr. Dave Wong and the staff who have made this initiative a reality.

4. March 23 the Luria Library hosted its highly-popular third annual Edible Books Festival, and once again, the entries were all creative and delicious.

5. Thanked Dr. Mike Young for a really fascinating faculty lecture. Understands why students who take his classes learn to really enjoy physics.

6. Was very pleased to hear that the SBCC students who attended the "March in March" in Sacramento on March 14 learned so much about the political process by attending this event. Our students' voices are so important for the legislature to see and hear when they consider all the budget cuts. Thanked Ruby Limon for her leadership and Dr. Ben Partee and Marsha Wright for serving as advisors and chaperones.

7. Phi Theta Kappa inducted 125 new members on March 4, is proud of these students not only for their academic achievement but for all of their outreach efforts.

8. The Community College League hosted the luncheon awards event for the Phi Theta Kappa, All California Academic Team on March 21. Students Hanna Stromgren and Patience Ncube achieved this high status and were among the honorees last month. Senator Lowenthal introduced all the PTK team members on the senate floor of Monday's session. Scott Lay announced that USA Today will publish an article about this event and all of the students who received this high status will be noted in the April 11 issue.

9. The joint meeting held with the Santa Barbara City Council on March 2 went very well. Thanked Randy Bublitz and Marsha Wright for excellent presentation on our SBCC programs and also thanked all of the staff members who prepared materials that were included as part of the agenda.

10. Congratulated Kathy Molloy for being named the 2011 Stanback-Stroud Diversity award recipient by the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges, this is a tremendous recognition at the State level. Ms. Molloy joins Dr. Eskandari and Dr. Arnold who were 2002 and 2010 recipients, respectively.

11. Congratulated the employees who are on the agenda for recognition of their years of service. We always appreciate the employees who are here a long time, because it speaks well for our great work environment and our commitment to our employees.

12. Superintendent/President Serban has been officially appointed to the U.S. National Commission for UNESCO. This appointment is made by the U.S. Secretary of State based on extensive screening of nominations received. This is truly a prestigious appointment. But the reason it is important for her is because the U.S. National Commission for UNESCO, which stands for United Nations Educational, Scientific, Culture Organization, it's looking at the global perspective, and it supports humanitarian development and values in terms of contribution of the United States to the world. We are a global institution, and we need to be aware and instill in our students global values. And feels really honored to be the only community college representative in this prestigious group, and certainly, will represent Santa Barbara City College in the best possible way.

13. Superintendent/President Serban was selected for the third year in a row, as one of the Top 50 Women in Business by the Pacific Coast Business Times.

14. The Board of Directors of the National Association of Professional Women has selected Superintendent/President Serban as the 2010-11 Professional Woman of the Year.

15. Superintendent/President Serban reported on the various events she attended in the community that were positive and reinforce the strength of higher education in our community:
February 26; Inauguration of Dr. Nancy Ledford as the President of Antioch University.
March 4; Attended the Westmont College breakfast where former Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice gave a very inspiring speech.
March 4; Hosted a dinner theater for our donors and truly enjoyed Tartuffe, our theater arts department gave a great performance.

16. Superintendent/President Serban reported on upcoming events;
   - March 26 we will be hosting the Easter Relays.
   - April 18 the annual Rotary Club of Montecito will hold their scholarship award luncheon in the GDR. The Montecito Rotary Club has been an extraordinary supporter; they have endowed a number of scholarships for our career technical vocation programs.
   - April 15 the Student Annual Art show will have its opening in the Atkinson Gallery.

17. Superintendent/President Serban reported on the passing of a couple of members of the college community:
   - Continuing Education instructor Kathy Lear passed away on February 28. She worked for 15 years in Continuing Education teaching computer classes.
   - SBCC student Richard Barassi passed away on March 5. He was an automotive technology major and in his honor, a flag-lowering ceremony was hosted on March 17.

f. REPORT FROM BOARD MEMBERS

   Trustee Livingston noted that Liz’s report about emergency preparedness reminded her that there’s a state requirement for Board members to be certified in emergency procedures and didn’t know if the new Board members had been scheduled for this. Superintendent/President Serban noted that the new Board members will need to have the training and she will look into scheduling it.

2. GOVERNING BOARD

2.1 BALLOTING FOR 2011 ELECTION OF CANDIDATES FOR CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE TRUSTEES (CCCT) BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

Trustee Villegas reported on the intent of the CCCT Board when nominating officers to keep in mind diversity; not only diversity with ethnicity, gender, etc, but also ethnicity in geography. Trustee Villegas provided some information on the applicants that were running for the board of directors. Each Trustee read off the names of the candidates they selected for this board of directors and after totaling the votes the following ballot was submitted for the California Community College Trustees (CCCT) Board of Directors:

- Jim Moreno, Coast CCD
- David Wheeler, Yuba CCD
- Laura Casas Friar, Foothill-DeAnaza CCD
- Nancy Chadwick, Palomar CCD
- Mary Figueroa, Riverside CCD
- Robert Jones, Los Rios CCD
- Paul Gomez, Chaffey CCD
- Pauline Lara, Kern CCD

2.2 TELEVISING REGULAR BOARD MEETINGS STARTING WITH THE APRIL 28, 2011 MEETING

Upon motion by Trustee Blum, seconded by Trustee Croninger, the Board approved televising regular Board meetings starting with the April 28, 2011 meeting. Trustee Villegas asked staff to take into consideration the request that was made by the citizens in terms of the Spanish translation. Superintendent/President Serban noted that the cost for that was not included in this proposal. However, staff will look into it.
2.3 MODIFICATION OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT BETWEEN SANTA BARBARA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT and CALIFORNIA SCHOOL EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION (CSEA) and its CHAPTER 289.

President Haslund reported that this item would be voted on after the Board came out of closed session.

Upon motion by Trustee Livingston, seconded by Trustee Blum, the Board approved the modification of Collective Bargaining Agreement between Santa Barbara Community College District and California School Employees Association (CSEA) and its Chapter 289.

2.4 CLARIFYING BOARD PROCESS RELATED TO CEO EVALUATION

Mr. Craig Price, with the firm of Griffith and Thornburgh, provided the legal opinion regarding the CEO evaluation to the Board of Trustees. He will be present to introduce the opinion and to respond to questions.

Mr. Price introduced himself, talked about his background and involvement in the community. He noted that he was there to represent the Board as a whole not individual Board members. He further discussed how he would follow direction from the Board in a variety of situations. He talked about the Brown Act noting that there are 50 or 60 different sections spelled out in the Government Code that make up the Brown Act. He discussed the many interpretations of the Brown Act.

Trustee Livingston requested the opportunity for discussion before going into the substance of what Mr. Price provided. Trustee Villegas did not think that this meeting is the correct forum to get into a big discussion. Suggested this should be brought to the study session and perhaps Mr. Price can join that study session, because this is a study session topic, open discussion about the report. Trustee Villegas thought the questions provided to Mr. Price were somewhat misleading and maybe just misstated.

Trustee Livingston wanted to put into the record that the minutes that we just approved Trustee Livingston saying, "I don't think we ever had a contract that allows an individual to -- a trustee to go out and solicit services." Trustee Haslund responded saying, "I can assure you, president of this Board, is not going to do anything on his own." And eight days later we have a letter from President Haslund soliciting your legal services with questions that were never vetted through any sort of Board process. So, while Mr. Price may have done a wonderful job answering three questions that were posed, somehow, between President Haslund saying, "I'm never going to do anything on my own," until the letter where he did do something on his own, The questions given by Dr. Haslund to Mr. Price to answer have never come before the Board for discussion. Ms. Livingston noted that there was also lack of clarity regarding the role of the ad-hoc evaluation committee for the position of the Superintendent/President.

There was further discussion and disagreement regarding the role of the ad-hoc evaluation committee. Trustee Villegas noted that the committee was created at the end of the closed session on December 16, 2010 after a long discussion about the process of evaluation for the CEO of the college. The charge of the ad hoc committee was to look at the process, look at other processes that exist and come back to the Board with the recommendation. The ad hoc committee met twice and reviewed a sample of the survey and was going to come back to the Board. Trustee Villegas reiterated that he believes this topic is more appropriate to discuss it in the study session and allow the ad hoc committee to come back to the Board with some recommendations.

Trustee Livingston questioned the use of money for this legal advice and legal opinion which was not discussed before hand with the entire Board. Trustee Villegas commented on the confusion created on January 13 when a closed session was requested by some of the new Board members which in his opinion was not necessary because what we were supposed to discuss was just a
process and a tool. There was no evaluation of the CEO taking place. He thinks that was the Board's error, is putting it on the agenda and making it part of a closed session when it didn't have to be. He asked to table this discussion, review this report back at a study session, so the full Board, after the committee presents to the Board at the study session, and we bring this all into the open. He also noted that the questions given to Mr. Price to respond to were not accurate.

Trustee Croninger requested that the discussion take place then and that Craig Price explain his legal opinion. Trustee Macker concurred.

Trustee Jurkowitz noted that there was a rush to judgment from the new members of the Board to have a closed session. He thinks the evaluation process for the CEO should have been discussed in a study session and tried to form a new evaluation process. That should be for the 2011-12 year. He questioned having a new process at this late point in time for 2010-11. Mr. Craig's letter itself says that the Superintendent/President has a right to be part of this process of how we evaluate her.

Mr. Price commented on his legal opinion. The requirement for the completion of the evaluation by the end of the fiscal year is in the President's contract, and obviously, the evaluation report and the accreditation study recommends that that certainly be continued in the future. He talked about possible options. He referred to the Community College League and the advice they give in their publications regarding CEO evaluations and they have an evaluation instrument. The Board needs to collaborate with the President on what that process is going to look like and hear whether she agrees or disagrees with it and why. He discussed about needs to be discussed in closed session versus what can be discussed in open session if the Board and the President want to do so: the evaluation itself needs to be in closed session; the discussion about the process can be in open session; there isn't any rule against that. There's an obligation to collaborate and make every effort to attempt to reach an agreement with the President on the evaluation process itself that is going to be followed and on the evaluation itself. And as part of that process, the Board needs to work with the Superintendent/President on that, and ordinarily that's going to be in closed session. The law doesn't require it to be in closed session, if the Board voted and the President agreed. The purpose of the personnel exception is to allow things like performance evaluations to be done in closed session to protect both the Board and the employee that's being evaluated.

Trustee Blum commented that we should all be pulling in the same direction for the success of our students, and our job is to evaluate the CEO. We just need to move forward and evaluate the CEO with the CEO.

Trustee Livingston noted that it's the Board's decision. What we had was a unilateral choice to create a closed session; there was no Board input; and she is concerned we were getting unilateral decisions to go into this as a closed session. If we choose as a Board that we want to evaluate certain aspects as a closed session, that's a Board decision. The concern that was happening in this process is we had unilateral acts, including a unilateral act to hire Mr. Price to produce a legal opinion after reassurances that Dr. Haslund wouldn't do something like that. We do need to decide as a Board, number one, are we on target with what the charge of the committee was, how do we break down that process, should part of it be closed session evaluation process, should part of it be open session evaluation process. But that's a Board's decision; it isn't a unilateral decision or a subcommittee. It wasn't charged to a subcommittee to come up with those sorts of decisions.

Trustee Macker talked about the evaluation of the CEO is a task of the Board and when the four new members came on the Board that was one of the first things they wanted was to get up to speed on that part of our jobs.

Superintendent/President Serban clarified that there has been an evaluation process that was in place and was used every year. She sent it to all Board members via email. There is an obligation both in Board policy 2435 and 2430 that the evaluation process for her position is to be developed and jointly agreed by the Board and her. If the new Board wants to develop a process, that is
certainly the prerogative of the Board. However, it was of concern that after being in office only 13 days, the new Board members would all of a sudden want to change the process without even considering what the process was. If the prior process wasn’t good enough, there should have been a discussion about it first. For every employee, we do not apply a change in the middle of the fiscal year, because when they started the fiscal year, there was a certain expectation as to what the fiscal year is going to look like.

Trustee Jurkowitz agreed and noted that there was the rush to judgment. The campus was in shock with what was going on. While the Board can consider changing the evaluation process, it is not fair to change it after three quarters of the year has already gone by.

Trustee Macker noted that she did not think there was any intention to change the process for this current year. It was looking forward to the future. Trustee Jurkowitz did not agree that this was the intention.

Trustee Villegas noted again that he would like to move this discussion to a study session. And given what Mr. Price has said, given what Trustee Blum we should dissolve the ad hoc committee and all work as a Board together. Trustee Blum agreed.

Trustee Livingston asked about the cost for Mr. Price. Mr. Price noted that to the extent that is a concern of this Board, he will not charge anything. Trustee Villegas: So moved.

3. HUMAN RESOURCES & LEGAL AFFAIRS – Ms. Sue Ehrlich, Vice President Human Resources & Legal Affairs

3.1 HUMAN RESOURCES & LEGAL AFFAIRS CONSENT ITEMS

Upon motion by Trustee Macker, seconded by Trustee Blum, the Board approved the Human Resources & Legal Affairs consent items, as contained in the agenda and attachment. Trustee Croninger abstained from the vote because her husband is on the list as a volunteer for the Music concert band.

CLASSIFIED LONGEVITY CAREER INCREMENT

Randy Bublitz, Department Chair and Associate Professor in Culinary Arts spoke on the 15 years of service of Isidro Carrillo, Lab Teaching Assistant. Mr. Carrillo received a certificate of appreciation on behalf of the Board and the college community.

Dr. Ben Partee, Dean Educational Programs, spoke on the 10 years of service of Brad Hardison, Director Financial Aid. Mr. Hardison received a certificate of appreciation on behalf of the Board and the college community.

Angel Cardena, Department Chair and Assistant Professor in Computer Network Engineering spoke on the 10 years of service of Behzad Masooman. Mr. Masooman received a certificate of appreciation on behalf of the Board and the college community.

4. EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS – Dr. Jack Friedlander, Executive Vice President, Educational Programs

4.1 RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF NEW COURSES AND COURSE MODIFICATIONS

Upon motion by Trustee Livingston, seconded by Trustee Villegas, the Board approved the Curriculum Advisory Committee recommendations of new courses and course modifications.

5. CONTINUING EDUCATION – Dr. Ofelia Arellano, Vice President Continuing Education

5.1 RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF PROPOSED COURSES TO BE SUBMITTED FOR STATE APPROVAL, CONTINUING EDUCATION DIVISION
Upon motion by Trustee Livingston, seconded by Trustee Villegas, the Board approved the Continuing Education proposed courses to be submitted for state approval.

5.2 RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF NEW OR MODIFIED TUITION FEE-BASED CONTINUING EDUCATION DIVISION COURSES

Upon motion by Trustee Livingston, seconded by Trustee Villegas, the Board approved the new or modified tuition fee based Continuing Education courses.

6. BUSINESS SERVICES – Mr. Joe Sullivan, Vice President Business Services

6.1 BUSINESS CONSENT ITEMS

Upon motion by Trustee Blum, seconded by Trustee Livingston, the Board approved the Business Services consent items, as contained in the agenda and attachments.

a. RATIFICATION OF PURCHASE ORDERS, attachment 6.1-a

b. RATIFICATION OF PAYMENTS AS SUMMARIZED BELOW (Detailed report may be viewed in the Accounting Office, A-130)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check Ranges</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food Service</td>
<td>$237,493.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Treasury*</td>
<td>$6,855,862.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This includes the ACH payments (including direct deposit payments)

c. AUTHORIZATION TO DECLARE SURPLUS/DISPOSE OF SURPLUS EQUIPMENT:


d. ENGAGEMENT OF GLENN, BURDETTE, PHILLIPS & BRYSON FOR DISTRICT AND PARKING AUDIT SERVICES, $30,800.00, attachment 6.1-d

e. ENGAGEMENT AND AGREED-UPON-PROCEDURES OF GLENN, BURDETTE, PHILLIPS & BRYSON FOR MEASURE V AUDIT SERVICES, $6,250.00, attachment 6.1-e

f. APPROVAL OF CONTRACT, BOG CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES CHANCELLOR’S OFFICE, “I CAN AFFORD COLLEGE” STATEWIDE FINANCIAL AID AWARENESS CAMPAIGN, CONTRACT #C09-0104, $2,800,000.00, 4/1/11-3/31/12, attachment 6.1-f

g. AUTHORIZATION TO ACCEPT BIDS AND AWARD CONTRACT: PRINTING OF CLASS SCHEDULES, BID # 664, AMERICAN/FOOTHILL PUBLISHING CO., INC., FALL/SPRING NEWSPRINT $23,720.00, FALL/SPRING ELECTROBRITE $26,993.00, SUMMER NEWSPRINT $2,965.00, SUMMER ELECTROBRITE $3,806.00, attachment 6.1-g

h. AUTHORIZATION TO ACCEPT BIDS AND AWARD CONTRACT: BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES MICROSCOPES, BID # 665, OLYMPUS AMERICA, INC., $126,302.05, attachment 6.1-h

i. RETROACTIVE APPROVAL OF LICENSE AGREEMENT WITH MARJORIE LUKE THEATRE FOR “SBCC LEGENDS OF JAZZ CONCERT”, $1,394.25, PERFORMANCE DATES 3/21/11, attachment 6.1-i
j. APPROVAL OF LICENSE AGREEMENT WITH MARJORIE LUKE THEATRE FOR “SBCC SPRING 2011 CONCERT BAND”, $1,853.75, PERFORMANCE DATES 5/7 & 5/8/11, attachment 6.1-j

k. APPROVAL OF LICENSE AGREEMENT WITH MARJORIE LUKE THEATRE FOR “SBCC SPRING 2011 SYMPHONY CONCERT”, $1,716.25, PERFORMANCE DATES 5/5 & 5/6/11, attachment 6.1-k

l. APPROVAL OF STUDY ABROAD PROGRAMS: INTERCULTURAL COMMUNICATION AND DOCUMENTARY FILM MAKING, GRANADA, SPAIN & MOROCCO, TOUR RESOURCE CONSULTANTS, SUMMER 2011, attachment 6.1-l

m. APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 15, DRAMA/MUSIC MODERNIZATION – BID PACKAGE 1 (CORE AND SHELL), DIANI BUILDING CORPORATION $173,117.00, (discussed at 3/23/11 Facilities Committee meeting), attachment 6.1-m

n. APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 2, DRAMA/MUSIC MODERNIZATION – BID PACKAGE 2 (AUDIO/VISUAL), DIANI BUILDING CORPORATION $6,990.00, (discussed at 3/23/11 Facilities Committee meeting), attachment 6.1-n

o. APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 2, DRAMA/MUSIC MODERNIZATION – BID PACKAGE 3 (THEATER SPECIALTIES), DIANI BUILDING CORPORATION $19,665.00, (discussed at 3/23/11 Facilities Committee meeting), attachment 6.1-o

p. AUTHORIZATION TO ACCEPT BIDS AND AWARD CONTRACT: INSTALLATION OF VIDEO SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM INFRASTRUCTURE AT THE STAIRS AND PATH IN THE OAK RESTORATION AREA, BID# 667, CSI ELECTRIC, INC., $88,780.00, (discussed at 3/23/11 Facilities Committee meeting), attachment 6.1-p

q. AUTHORIZATION TO ACCEPT BIDS AND AWARD CONTRACT: ESL BUILDING ROOFING REPAIRS, BID# 668, CHANNEL ISLANDS ROOFING, $53,737.00, (discussed at 3/23/11 Facilities Committee meeting), attachment 6.1-q

r. AUTHORIZATION TO ACCEPT KRUGER BENSEN ZIEMER ARCHITECTS INC. DESIGN AGREEMENT, LEARNING RESOURCE CENTER (LRC) MODERNIZATION, $76,628, (discussed at 3/23/11 Facilities Committee meeting), attachment 6.1-r

6.2 BUSINESS ACTION ITEMS

a. ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION NO. 32 (2010-11) AUTHORIZING ROUTINE INTERNAL BUDGET TRANSFERS

Upon motion by Trustee Villegas, seconded by Trustee Livingston, the Board approved Resolution No. 32 (2010-11) authorizing 2010-11 routine internal transfers as contained in the agenda and attachment. The vote follows:

Ayes: Trustee Blum, Trustee Croninger, Trustee Haslund, Trustee Jurkowitz, Trustee Livingston, Trustee Macker, Trustee Villegas

Noes: None

Absent: None

Concur: Ms. Ridgell, Student Trustee
b. ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION NO. 33 (2010-11) PROVIDING FOR 2010-11 BUDGET REVISIONS DUE TO RECEIPT OF UNBUDGETED REVENUE

Upon motion by Trustee Villegas, seconded by Trustee Livingston, the Board approved Resolution No. 33 (2010-11) authorizing 2010-11 budget revisions for unbudgeted revenue as contained in the agenda and attachment. The vote follows:

Ayes: Trustee Blum, Trustee Croninger, Trustee Haslund, Trustee Jurkowitz, Trustee Livingston, Trustee Macker, Trustee Villegas

Noes: None

Absent: None

Concur: Ms. Ridgell, Student Trustee

7. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY – NONE

8. CLOSED SESSION

Upon motion by Trustee Villegas, seconded by Trustee Livingston, the Board approved adjourning to closed session.

8.1 Conference with Labor Negotiators pursuant to Govt Code Section 54957.6

   The District negotiators are Bruce Barsook, Jack Friedlander, Joe Sullivan and Sue Ehrlich

   President Haslund reported that the Board had given direction to its negotiators.

b. Employee Organization-California School Employees Association and its Chapter 289.
   The District negotiators are Bruce Barsook, Joe Sullivan, and Sue Ehrlich.

   President Haslund reported that there was no reportable action.

8.2 Conference with Legal Counsel-Anticipated Litigation

Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to Section 54956.9(b)(3)(C) one case

Tort Claim of Jamie Kroll against District for medical expenses, present and future, lost wages and general damages and suffering for injuries allegedly suffered in the SBCC cafeteria on October 20, 2010. The claim for damages was submitted 2/23/11.

President Haslund reported that the Board voted to deny the claim and the vote was unanimous.

Item 2.3 was taken up at this time.

9. ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion by Trustee Jurkowitz, seconded by Trustee Croninger, the Board approved adjourning this meeting setting the next Regular Meeting of the Board of Trustees on Thursday, April 28, 2011 at 4:00 p.m. in A211. A Study Session will be held on April 14, 2011 at 4:00 pm in A218C.