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The District Office is located at 721 Cliff Drive, Santa Barbara, CA 93109. It is wheelchair accessible. The following services are available when requests are made by 4:00 p.m. of the day before the Board meeting: American Sign Language interpreters or use of a reader during a meeting; large print agenda or minutes in alternative format; assistive listening devices. Please contact the Office of the Superintendent/President at (805) 730-4011 if you need assistance in order to participate in a public meeting or if you need the agenda and public documents modified as required by Section 202 of the American with Disabilities Act.

The Office of the Superintendent/President, Room A110 in the MacDougall Administration Center, is the location where documents that are public records relating to any item under discussion on a Board agenda (including documents distributed with the agenda and those distributed to all or a majority of the members of the Board within 72 hours prior to a Board meeting) are available for public inspection.

Board agendas and supporting documents are also posted on the college website at http://www.sbcc.edu/boardoftrustees/.

1. GENERAL FUNCTIONS

1.1 CALL TO ORDER

President Haslund called the meeting to order.

1.2 ROLL CALL

Members present:
Marty Blum
Marsha Croninger
Peter Haslund, President
Morris Jurkowitz
Joan Livingston
Lisa Macker
Luis Villegas, Vice President

Members absent:
Nicole Ridgell, Student Trustee

Others present for all or a portion of this meeting:
Dr. Andreea M. Serban, Superintendent/President and Secretary of the Board of Trustees
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Aguilera, Argelia, Cont Ed
Alarcon, Ignacio, Pres Academic Senate
Alsheimer, Cornelia, IA
Dr. Arellano, Ofelia, VP Cont Ed
Armaz, Lourdes, Guest
Auchincloss, Liz, President CSEA
Bahena, Norman, Cont. Ed.
Bailey, Jack, Cont Ed Director
Dr. Bishop, Paul, VP IT
Butler, Patricia, Adelante Charter Sch
Carreon, Juan, Guest
Ehrlich, Sue, VP HRLA
Figueroa, Victor, ESL Student
Dr. Friedlander, Jack EVP Ed Prgrms
Galvan, Joan, PIO
Grover, Emma, Student Sustain. Coal.
Hammerly, Johan, the Channels
Harper, Andrew, Cont Ed Director
Harris, Ken, Cont Ed Director

Limon, Ruby ASB President
McCammon, Cathie, ACES
McIntire, Mark, Faculty Philosophy
McLellan, Keith, Dean Ed Prgrms
Medina, Raul, CEC
Moore, Biana, Student Sustain Coal.
O’Connor, Kathy, Department Chair PE
Dr. Partee, Ben, Dean Ed Programs
Pickering, Dottie & David, Cont. Ed.
Students
Ramos, Guadalupe, ASFSDR
Saenger, Sally, PE/CE, Co-President CEIA
Stagat, Arleen, Student Development
Dr. Stark, Lynne, President IA
Uribe, Karla, CED
Vasquez, Laurie, DSPS
Woods, Lauren, The Channels

1.3 WELCOME

President Haslund extended a cordial welcome to all.

President Haslund reported that two items were going to be taken out of order at this time:
1. Item 2.2 Measure V Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committee Annual Report
2. Item 3.1 Classified Longevity Career Increments

1.4 HEARING OF CITIZENS

Lourdes Armaz spoke on the Marine Tech Program and the issues she has had with the program. Requested assistance from the Board to take a look at her request and to clarify the ambiguity and would like something in writing as to what her programs requirements will be to complete this program. Would be glad to answer any questions the Board members may have after they review the packets she provided them.

See Item 5.2 for Hearing of Citizen’s comments on this item.

1.5 MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF JANUARY 27, 2011

Upon motion by Trustee Macker, seconded by Trustee Blum, the Board approved the minutes of the regular meeting of January 27, 2011.

1.6 COMMUNICATIONS

The following reports were presented to the Board of Trustees about various matters involving the District. No action was taken unless listed on a subsequent agenda.

a. REPORT BY ACADEMIC SENATE — Ignacio Alarcón

Mr. Alarcón reported on the following: The Academic Senate recently received recommendations from the Planning and Resources Committee and the Instructional Technologies Committee about ranking of program review requests. All concurred that this round was the best so far, as they have learned how to separate requests for new resources as opposed to what is routine and periodic non-routine. The Instructors’ Association President and other members of the Executive Board of the IA attended the
Academic Senate meeting to start a dialogue with department chairs who are very concerned about the contract negotiations of Article 12 on the reassignment rights of adjunct faculty. It was a lengthy discussion and the outcome was that there is going to be a workgroup of department chairs that would work with the Instructors' Association Executive Board and it is hoped that the outcome is that if there is any negotiation on this item, it would be based on the input that the Instructors' Association gets from the department chairs. A word about the recent Board meetings, especially those regarding budget development. Wants to remind the Board members that whether they decide on hiring a consultant or not, they do have to consult with the Academic Senate. The area of Processes for Institutional Planning and Budget Development is Number 10 of the 10+1, this is part of the Education Code. The Planning and Resources Committee has scheduled a meeting for March 11 and faculty will be invited to express their priorities in this budget cycle. This committee also reviewed and supported the document that the Superintendent/President and the Executive Committee provided. It was noted that the handout provided was not the official position of the Academic Senate but was something that would be discussed at the forum and would discuss official recommendations by March 23. Some ideas that were thrown around by Board members in yesterday's Study Session meeting made him and other faculty members of the College Planning Council very nervous about what can happen about the atmosphere of trust that we currently have in our budget development. Hopes the Board is not too hasty to change something that works very well and reiterated that any changes need to go through the consultation process. Dr. Mike Young will be having his faculty lecture on March 17 at 2:30 pm, “Molecular Motion Mania = M³, it promises to be quite a lecture.

b. REPORT BY ASSOCIATED STUDENTS — Ruby Limon

Ms. Limon reported on the following Student Senate accomplishments: The Student Senate unanimously approved BP 5120 and AP 5120 regarding Dual (Concurrent) Enrollment. The positions of VP of Senate Affairs and VP of External Affairs have been filled and there are two new Senators with more applications being reviewed. On Valentine’s Day the Student Senate resumed the McKinley School Outstanding Student Recognition Program that provides lunches and a guided campus tour for 12 students, two from each class 1st through 6th grade, this outstanding program will show students at a young age that higher education is a viable option and this recognition will encourage them to continue to work hard academically. Hosted Club Day on the East Campus, it was an enormous success, to date they have 30 active clubs. An SBCC Student Senate blog has been created to enable students to communicate their concerns and allow Student Senators to research ways to address their issues.

c. REPORT ON CLASSIFIED EMPLOYEES — Liz Auchincloss

Ms. Auchincloss reported on the following: The Consultation Group met this week and went over the program review resource rankings from both Planning and Resources and ITC and expressed to the group the hard work that the committees had done. Discussed the plan proposed from administration and they all came to an agreement that the proposed phase in approach proposed by the administration would be a good idea. Spoke on the Reclassification agenda item and explained the process to the new Board members.

d. REPORT ON CURRENT EVENTS — Joan Galvan

Ms. Galvan reported on the following: The Atkinson Gallery will have an exhibition of works by artist Jenchi Wu and the exhibit will run through March 25. The Scheinfeld Center’s Enlightened Entrepreneurship Series will host Eric Greenspan, who is co-founder and CEO of the IT service company called Make It Work. March 4 the Photography Department will open their new gallery in the Occupational Education Building, Room 184 with a display of works by their current faculty and staff. March 23, the Luria Library will host its highly
popular third annual Edible Books Festival. Participants are invited to make edible entries with book-related themes, and there are always fascinating creations. Noted various articles that have appeared in the local media.

e. REPORT FROM SUPERINTENDENT/PRESIDENT – Dr. Andreea M. Serban

Superintendent/President Serban reported on the following:
1. The main topic that consumes all of our attention continues to be our preparation to handle the massive budget cuts that are expected. The preliminary recommendations that have been given to the Board have quickly become part of the conversation of our participatory governance processes and wanted to commend the exceptional work of the governance committees. As Ignacio Alarcón noted, SBCC has been a model across the state for many years for an extraordinary participatory governance structure and many colleges look at us as a model to be followed. Once again this participatory governance structure proves its effectiveness and following these processes will ensure that all constituencies have their voice included and heard at the right time through the proper channels and we will arrive at the best conclusion by using these processes that have worked so well for so many years and for which we were commended over and over again by accreditation teams visiting us every six years. Thanked the Planning and Resources Committee and its chair, Kim Monda for their jump starting these conversations, appreciates the thoroughness of the conversation, the engagement, looking ahead and this will also serves us well moving forward.
2. The scholarships for fee-based Continuing Education courses are available; this is the third term that these scholarships have been offered. To date only 12 applications have been received and encouraged all members of the public interested in taking fee-based Continuing Education courses that have a demonstrated financial need to apply for a scholarship.
3. Looking forward to the joint meeting with the City Council of Santa Barbara on March 2. This will be an open meeting and all interested in attending should check our website for details and location.
4. The Gourmet Dining Room Reception was held February 23 and wanted to thank donors Chad and Ginny Drier and Robert and Barbara MacLean for their generosity in helping fund this remodel.
5. Thanked Ed Heron and the Citizens’ Oversight Committee both outgoing and new members, this committee has worked really well and it’s a pleasure to have this kind of involvement from our community.
6. The Luria family once again came through with a generous donation for the Friends of the Luria Library, Kandy and Lee Luria’s continued support for the College is extraordinary.
7. We have continued to submit grants for very innovative projects and the latest submission is one called Human Presence Learning Environment that was a pre-application process at the national level and 47 finalists were selected out of 600 proposals for this grant competition “The Next Generation Learning Challenge”. Thanked Dr. Doug Hersh, Dean of Educational Programs and our consultant Cheryl Detrick for their hard work on this grant.
8. The SBCC Lunch Break Jazz band has been accepted as one of the top five bands in the university/college division to participate in the prestigious Monterey Jazz Festival in September.
9. Congratulated student Lauren Takayesu for being selected as one of the members of the 2010 California Community College Athletic Association Scholar Athlete Honor Roll.
10. Recruitment for faculty positions will begin soon, this year we will seek to fill 8 tenure track positions. These are not new positions, they are vacancies due to either retirement or resignation of tenured faculty. These positions need to be filled not only because of the contribution the faculty make to our learning environment but also because the College has an obligation to meet the full-time faculty obligation number.
set by the State Chancellor's Office
11. Seconded what Liz Auchincloss said regarding the Reclassification Study and thanked Pat English, Director of Human Resources, as well as Liz Auchincloss, who worked in the most collaborative way possible to make this happen.
12. Thanked Lorrie Belletti (15 years), Josephina Tapia (10 years) and Tina Villegas (30 years) for their years of service at the College.
13. In closing, I want to say that this is a time of great engagement for our College and in spite of the challenges of this budget, I have to say that the synergy that I'm feeling in the work we are doing with our faculty, classified staff and administration has never been more positive and energized by the common goal which is the success of our students. And I know that with the ground work that we have done, with the recommendations that we are bringing, with the work of the Board as a whole, with thoughtful consideration of all the options we will do the right thing for the College and we will continue to be the best community college in California.

f. REPORT FROM BOARD MEMBERS

President Haslund stated that it was now their practice to have an office hour on Fridays at the Luria Library and the purpose was to continue the dialogue. It is open to the members of the community and by that he meant the entire community not just faculty and staff of the college. It is not a complaint department, but an open forum where they could continue the discussion. Trustee Livingston asked since when this has become practice. Discussion took place between President Haslund and Trustee Livingston regarding the clarification on this issue. Trustee Livingston said that it should be noted that this was neither the practice, nor a policy of all the Board members or something that has been discussed by the entire Board. It needed to be identified that these are just four individual trustees that have chosen to do this. Trustee Livingston requested that she be disassociated from this activity. Trustee Jurkowitz reported that one thing he does remember from attending the recent Trustee Workshop in Sacramento was that they were advised not to have an office on campus, doesn't know if that was good advice or bad advice, wanted to report back what they were told. Trustee Blum noted that they do not have an office on campus, they have office hours. She wanted to report that when they have their joint meeting with the City Council they will be talking about issues of mutual concern and interest and the meeting will take place at 630 Garden St, at the Planning Department at 4:00 pm and that it will be televised.

2. GOVERNING BOARD

2.1 BOARD POLICIES

2.1.1 BP 5120 Dual (Concurrent) Enrollment

Upon motion by Trustee Macker, seconded by Trustee Jurkowitz, the Board approved Board Policy 5120 Dual (Concurrent) Enrollment, as contained in the agenda and attachment.

2.1.2 BP 2365 Recording

Upon motion by Trustee Croninger, seconded by Trustee Macker, the Board approved Board Policy 2365 Recording, as contained in the agenda and attachment.

2.1.3 BP 2015 Student Member(s)

Upon motion by Trustee Macker, seconded by Trustee Jurkowitz, the Board approved Board policy 2015 Student Member(s). Trustee Villegas voted no on this item.
2.2 MEASURE V CITIZENS' BOND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE ANNUAL REPORT

Mr. Ed Heron, Chair of the Measure V Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee presented the 2010 Measure V Annual Report to the Board of Trustees. Mr. Heron read the Letter from the Chair. In 2010 there were three meetings held at which all aspects of upcoming and on-going projects were presented that included budget and expenditures for all of them. The Committee is excited about the progress made to date and looks forward to successful completion of the projects currently underway. Mr. Heron thanked former committee members, Tim Tremblay (Vice Chair), Elvira Gomez de Tafoya, and Marshall Rose for their participation on the committee for the last two years. Welcomed the new members of the committee, Sally Green, Joe Bailey, and Lee Moldaver and thanked Nicole Ridgell, Lanny Ebenstein, and Mark Levine for their continued membership on the committee. Mr. Heron reported on the outcome of the audit that was performed by Glen, Burdette, Phillips & Bryson. The committee has been an active participant overseeing Measure V expenditures and activities and they have regularly reviewed bond expenditures, as well as the financial and performance audits.

Upon motion by Trustee Croninger, seconded by Trustee Blum, the Board approved accepting the Measure V Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee's Annual Report, as contained in the agenda and attachment.

2.3 REQUEST TO RETAIN OUTSIDE LEGAL COUNSEL TO ADVISE THE BOARD

Motion was made by Trustee Croninger who asked that the start date be modified to February 25, and the motion was seconded by Trustee Blum. Discussion did follow the motion. Trustee Livingston continued in her objection to this, the one budget that we do get to micromanage is the board expense budget, so what I would like to request, should this pass, is that we keep a running total of these costs as a board item not to have them just within the legal expenses for the College. My main objection is that this is a redundant position, because we do have district legal advice, a concern being when this arose, I don't believe that a request was even made of district counsel about the validity of application by somebody on the Board to use a Brown Act exception and if we're not even using our own in house counsel, I don't see why we would have any need to hire, at an extra cost, outside counsel to advise the Board. Now if Mr. Price is just one of the stable of lawyers that's available, that is something else, but I'm getting the impression that this is someone that the Board now will chose to go to instead of our district counsel, also the hiring agreement, sounds like the Board President on his own initiative may solicit legal advice without having any input from the Board and that someone can just solicit his advice, there is no process on how we trigger a request for outside legal counsel. So I would have objections to that, according to the contract as it was presented, basically it's a blank check and I'm thinking that, if we as a Board, decide we want to solicit outside, at cost, legal counsel that we should have a little more formality on how this is requested rather than just simply your decision or some vague process that someone, anyone could solicit this. Trustee Croninger said that it's her understanding that Mr. Price would be one of a number of lawyers that the College has at its disposal and it's not unusual for the choices to be made to ask questions of different lawyers, depending on who seems suitable by the College President, or by the President of the Board or by the Board as a whole in any of those instances and so your question about whether he would be one of many, yes he would be one of many and it would be the same legal budget that we already have. Trustee Livingston said she would like to see it as a board items, since it's a new item and I would also like to see the process of hiring him, if it's going to be just simply a request of a single board member I would like to have more formality in the hiring of this person. Now if we have activities where the administration is in need for specific tasks, that's an administration decision, but if it's the Board itself soliciting outside counsel we don't have a process that's a Board process to do that, we just simply have an individual board trustee being able to do the solicitation, so I think it's something we should think about before we give a blank check to the Board President on his own to do it, or a single board member to solicit this on their
own. Whether we need a majority of the Board to solicit this or some other process, I think it's appropriate at a time when we're trying to control costs, I just don't think it's a good policy that a single board member can go out and incur costs for projects that we don't even know what the project is. There's no review, there's no process, there's no checks and balances, and it's just simply a blank check for an individual board member. Trustee Croninger: I think we have probably beaten this one to death for four meetings now and I looked at the contracts for our other lawyers and it appears as far as I can tell, none of them even came to the Board for approval, so it's a bit of a mystery to me why this one is getting so much attention. Craig Price is a local lawyer, he will not charge us for coming from Los Angeles, or El Segundo, that saves us money, he has extraordinary experience in areas of the law that are important to this Board, all of the lawyers that work for the College work for the Board, that's not unique to Craig and he's just extremely well qualified, he has nine years more experience than our most experienced lawyer currently, he's top rated by his peers, our current lawyers are not rated by their peers at all in Martindale Hubble which is a common place for people to look for this sort of thing and Craig's expertise, we're frankly fortunate to have someone in our community with his kind of experience to draw on for the appropriate matters. So I would just say we've certainly have talked about it a lot. Trustee Villegas: I would just like to reiterate my comments throughout the four meetings that we've beaten this thing to death, I'm concerned with the process, I think it should be an open, transparent process and a competitive process. While Mr. Price has a ton of experience, as its indicated, to me it looks like it's all K-12, not community college. I'm concerned about engaging additional expense because whether he's here in town or not, if we call him for his advice and/or to be with us at every closed session, which it appears that might be required, then that is additional incurred expense that I don't think that we need, especially because what we're looking for is an interpretation of Brown Act as we move forward, not necessarily the subject, it's the interpretation of the Brown Act which I have state before to make sure we are in compliance. So I'm concerned about the process that was used in recommending Mr. Price which is a personal recommendation it appears and I'm concerned about the additional expense. Trustee Jurkowitz: I don't see the need to do this at this time, so I would have to agree with what has been said by Trustee Livingston and Trustee Villegas, we've talked about it a lot and after further digesting everything I don't just see the need for this. Trustee Mack: In the multiple discussions we've had about this, I think we've all, those of us who are encouraging having a local attorney as part of our stable of attorneys, I think we've all made a point that this isn't going to be someone who's at every closed session and I just don't want to have the potential for expense exaggerated. I see us perhaps using this individual in this identical situation where we would use another outside attorney and pay. I would like to concur with Trustee Croninger, I've looked at the other agreements for the other attorneys and they didn't go through all of this process so I'm also wondering why there's such a big deal being made about this and last thing I liked to say about this is that we have discussed it a multiple meetings and we suggested that other board members, on their own could also make some recommendations of alternative local attorneys who would fulfill the same need that some of us board members see and no names have come forward. Trustee Livingston: I offered the name of Sue Ehrlich who is our District counsel who we're already paying to have these legal services provided to the District. Trustee Mack: I'm not quite done and the last thing was that part of this discussion as been the fact that some of us are concerned that there will be situations that arise that are a conflict of interest to have our in house counsel addressing some issues, I'm uncomfortable with even a perception of a conflict of interest with some legal matters and for that reason than I want to have available to us an alternative legal source. Trustee Livingston: I would like to respond to that if that situation comes up because I think we're in a situation where we're in conflict with our own district attorney who has made the statement that she doesn't represent any particular individual, we need to approach that as a Board, that we as a Board act to get separate counsel at that time, so to have a blanket contract with someone, item 1.c attendance at meetings upon request, request by whom, under what criteria, this person can be coming at request of anyone. I could end up asking him to come in; I don't think that's a healthy process. Item 1.a advice of counsel regarding issues requested by client's President. I'm assuming that's the Board President, the Board of Trustees, ok I can go with that but let's say a majority of the Board or individual's or
other authorized official, so this is extremely loose if we’re going to be having a contract that is going to incur district expense. Now is there any offer to amend those two particular items of the contract to give a little more authority, than just simply an individual to make a request to show up at this meeting. Trustee Croninger: No there is not an offer and neither are the other contracts, the other contracts are quite similar, so I don't see a reason. Trustee Livingston: so to me it’s an irresponsible legal contract. Trustee Croninger: Well, then so are the ones we already have then. Trustee Livingston: I don’t think we’ve ever had a contract that allows a single individual trustee to go out and solicit these services. Trustee Haslund: I can assure you that this President of this Board of Trustees is not going to do anything on his own. Trustee Livingston: I don’t care what a verbal reassurance is when we have a contract, then you use a contract and I can hire a lawyer to tell you that. We either put it in writing and that’s our operational agreement because that’s what the operational agreement is and I would also request a roll call vote on this. Trustee Jurkowitz: I would say let’s wait until the conflict of interest arises then we can go into who we should hire to handle the conflict of interest, in the mean time let’s deal with it like we have for all of these many years and use Sue Ehrlich to advise us. What is wrong with that? Trustee Croninger: I would say we already have a conflict of interest that has arisen, so we’ve gotten there. President Haslund suggested that this item has been exhausted and asked for a vote. President Trustee Livingston requested that a roll call vote take place on this item. The vote was as follows:

Ayes: Trustee Blum, Trustee Croninger, Trustee Haslund, Trustee Macker,

Noes: Trustee Jurkowitz, Trustee Livingston, Trustee Villegas

Absent: Nicole Ridgell, Student Trustee

The ayes have it and this item was approved.

2.4 CREATION OF A NEW BOARD SUB-COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY RELATIONS

Motion was made by Trustee Macker and seconded by Trustee Croninger. Discussion followed the motion. Trustee Livingston: Yes I’d like to again register an objection to this committee, I don’t think the scope of it is even anything that is appropriate at this particular point for this Board to get into and I'd like to also say that the Continuing Education Citizens’ Advisory committee already has a community relations subcommittee and they also have a fundraising committee of which Trustee Blum is a member of it. But they have a community relations subcommittee and certainly the dialogue with Continuing Education is probably one of the more problematic ones that we have within the community right now. I don't know of any need to create this committee that isn’t being met by a lot of other in house departments already in this College, however, the dialogue regarding Continuing Education is one that has been problematic with the parent child groups and certainly the ceramics and jewelry students and various instructors, so we have a committee that is already in existence and at the last advisory committee they were begging to have more members, so you’ve made mention you’ve got good energy, you want to devote yourselves to this task and I don’t see any reason to create another board committee, more meetings, more staff work for a mission that really right now is concentrated within Continuing Education, a committee already exists and it’s in need of members, so I don’t see any need to create another subcommittee. Trustee Villegas: I also would like to express my vote against this recommended subcommittee, because in our discussion, which I believe was only at one meeting surprisingly, quite frankly it seemed like there was a lot of duplication of what is being done already and, quite frankly, I don't think the Board has any expertise in public relations that we don’t already have and what their contribution would be I’m not quite sure of. I wasn’t real convinced with the discussion we had in our study session about the purpose of this committee and, as Trustee Livingston expressed, and as you all know I’m certainly not in favor of any more meetings. Trustee Jurkowitz: I would like to chime in that I don’t see the need for this additional committee too. Eli Luria who was a trustee here for many years and did a lot of great things I
remember before he retired he says, there’s just too many meetings and if he were around now, he really would know what he meant by that. So I think the way its working now would work out fine and I don’t see the need for another committee. Trustee Blum: I just wanted to say that I think I’m probably the swing vote here, it looks like because I was not convinced that this would be something that we need in the long run because I think we’re all ambassadors of the College that’s our jobs, we have Joan Galvan, we have lots of people who do get out there and talk about the College and that sort of thing, but here’s what the elephant in the room is, we’re not on television, we’re not televised, if somebody is at home working on their lesson plans for City College, bless them, but they don’t get to see what we’re talking about and I really believe, you can go on the web and get a streaming audio, but have you ever tried to listen to a streaming audio without looking at a face it is very hard. So I think that is probably the most important thing that this committee could do right away, I think the system here where you introduce an item, which we did, but you can’t talk about it because it has to go to a study session and then the study session you talk to death about it but you can’t vote on it, so then you come back here and by the time I’m back here I’ve already talked about it to death, I don’t feel like talking so the whole thing is bizarre to me so, I would love to have procedures looked at so that we can be more answering to the public more, so we can be more transparent. I know to the people in here right now we’re being very transparent, but there are a lot of people out there who are very interested in hiring attorneys, very interested in Continuing Education, very interested in all kinds of things that City College talks about and it’s not happening, so I will vote for it and know that in December it’s our organizing meeting, we can look at it again and see if it still needs to continue. The first thing I think it needs to do is to address the televising. Trustee Croninger: I’m enthusiastic about this committee, I think that there is a great deal that it can do and this frankly ties in with many of the reasons that I ran for this Board. I think that we as Board members have an obligation to reach out to our community and to facilitate our community’s involvement in this College in support of the College particularly in these budget times. We need the community and the community we hope is supporting us. So I’m enthusiastic about this committee and I think that just the discussion at study session was at the end that the committee itself would discuss its mission, we would not just rely on the description we had before us, but we would brainstorm as well about and talk with Dr. Serban and among ourselves about that mission, because I think there’s a long way to go for us in addition to what’s already being done to bring greater community involvement, greater ease of community involvement and transparency to our activities here at the College. Trustee Villegas: Just a clarification, because Trustee Blum brought it up right now, I, like her, don’t remember what the discussion was at the study session. What is prohibiting us from televising these meetings, not that a whole ton of people are clamoring to watch us on TV. Superintendent/President Serban: I want to mention that aside from the committee, you don’t need a committee to televise the meetings. As I already mentioned to you at several occasions in public meetings, we had Paul Bishop and Doug Hersh work on an analysis that we will bring to the next study session, televising can really start right away, it is very simple as Trustee Blum knows, we have already talked to the City, so you don’t need a committee to do that. Sure, it would cost us some money but it’s your decision to spend the money, so the point is that the analysis is done, it’s coming, and so that part the committee doesn’t need to address. In addition, I would like to say as a reminder of procedure, a committee can only recommend to the Board as a whole and it’s the Board as a whole that takes direction, so it would be the Board as a whole to decide what you would like to do, but the point is the committee doesn’t need to be formed just to discuss televising the meetings. President Haslund: I think there is a description of a number of different issues that such a committee can take up and you’re quite right that this committee would be an advisory committee to the Board. Its function is to focus its attention for an extended period of time on specific issues and make recommendations. Trustee Blum: I just to point out that we’re talking about having this come to the study session where we can’t vote on it, so we’ll talk about it and I appreciate the work that’s being done right now, so we’ll talk about it in March and then at the end of March we’ll vote on it, I guess, so by April. I have problems with bureaucracy, there it is that is what I have problems with and I want to cut out the bureaucracy, I wish we could have voted on it in January, early on. Trustee Macker: I just want to throw my comments in, think we do have a lot of energy and
excitement about this right now and I in particular am anxious to serve on this committee and I think we have lot of work to do and lot of energy that can be generated among the private sector in our community, in working with the schools and one more round of going out there and connecting with our community, I don’t see this at all as tied in to, I mean Continuing Ed would be a part of it, but I don’t see us working under that committee at all, I see us as something much bigger, I’m perfectly willing to look at it as an ad hoc committee, I’m perfectly willing to have it go for a year and then maybe after coming up with some policies, just studying the ideas as board members and looking at what policies we can come up with or rejuvenate that address this College’s relationship with the community. I just want to make sure that those ties are there, that they’re going to be strengthened and that they are going to continue. I’m not sure that anyone has reviewed all those policies in the last few years and that’s what I would see the role of this committee and ad hoc take it for a year is fine with me. Trustee Villegas: Actually Trustee Blum said til December. Trustee Jurkowitz: I sort of like the study session we have because we can banter around back and forth and think about it and we can take a lot of time on that, whereas here we may not have the time and after we discuss that then when we come here to take a vote we can let everyone know why we’re taking that position. My mind likes to deal with it in a study session rather than make a decision out here in a quicker manner. Trustee Livingston: then coordinating this committee with the Continuing Education, would you be willing to try and incorporate what they’re trying to accomplish because they have a huge challenge with community relations, I’m is there going to be difficulty. President Haslund: I had a conversation the other day with the incoming Chair of the Development Committee for the Foundation and I thought well maybe we could have a joint meeting and work with. I’m not interested in working in isolation; I want to work with people. Trustee Livingston requested that a roll call vote take place on this item. The vote was as follows:

Ayes: Trustee Blum, Trustee Croninger, Trustee Haslund, Trustee Macker,

Noes: Trustee Jurkowitz, Trustee Livingston, Trustee Villegas

Absent: Nicole Ridgell, Student Trustee

The ayes have it and this item was approved.

3. HUMAN RESOURCES & LEGAL AFFAIRS – Ms. Sue Ehrlich, Vice President Human Resources & Legal Affairs

3.1 HUMAN RESOURCES & LEGAL AFFAIRS CONSENT ITEMS

The Custodian position for Facilities was removed from this agenda.

Upon motion by Trustee Livingston, seconded by Trustee Jurkowitz, the Board approved the Human Resources & Legal Affairs consent items, as contained in the agenda and attachment. Trustee Villegas abstained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BREEDON, Deborah Wake Center</td>
<td>Administrative Assistant II</td>
<td>26/6</td>
<td>3/14/11</td>
<td>½ T – 12 Mo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Repl: K Robles

CLASSIFIED LONGEVITY CAREER INCREMENT
Mindy Mass, Dept. Chair of COMAP spoke on the 15 years of service of Lorrie Belletti, Laboratory Teaching Assistant. Lorrie Belletti received a certificate of appreciation on behalf of the Board and the College community.

Maria Morales, Co-Chair of Counseling spoke on the 10 years of service of Josephine Tapia, Sr. Office Assistant. Josephine Tapia received a certificate of appreciation on behalf of the Board and the College community.

3.2 HUMAN RESOURCES & LEGAL AFFAIRS ACTION ITEMS

a. RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF AUTHORIZATION TO APPOINT FACULTY AND EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATORS

Upon motion by Trustee Livingston, seconded by Trustee Blum, the Board approved that the Superintendent/President have the authority to confirm appointments for Faculty and Educational Administrator positions prior to Board meetings throughout the Spring Semester recruitment process, as contained in the agenda.

4. EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS – Dr. Jack Friedlander, Executive Vice President, Educational Programs

4.1 RECOMMEND ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION NO. 29 (2011-12) AUTHORIZING ALLAN HANCOCK COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT TO OFFER FIRE SCIENCE COURSES THAT ARE PART OF THE STATE CERTIFIED FIRE FIGHTER ACADEMY IN SANTA BARBARA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT’S SERVICE AREA.

Upon motion by Trustee Blum, seconded by Trustee Livingston, the Board approved Resolution No. 29 (2011-12) authorizing Allan Hancock Community College District to offer Fire Science Courses, as contained in the agenda and attachment. The vote follows:

Ayes: Trustee Blum, Trustee Croninger, Trustee Haslund, Trustee Jurkowitz, Trustee Livingston, Trustee Macker, Trustee Villegas

Noes: None

Absent: Ms. Ridgell, Student Trustee

Concur: None

5. CONTINUING EDUCATION – Dr. Ofelia Arellano, Vice President Continuing Education

5.1 RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF NEW COURSES AND/OR COURSE MODIFICATIONS, CONTINUING EDUCATION DIVISION

Upon motion by Trustee Macker, seconded by Trustee Villegas, the Board approved the new Continuing Education courses and/or course modifications, as contained in the agenda and attachment.

5.2 RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF RESTORATION OF A DIRECTOR OF CONTINUING EDUCATION POSITION

Dr. Ofelia Arellano, Vice President of Continuing Education, read the agenda item as it was stated in the agenda so that the audience could understand a little bit of the background on this item and it was also noted that attachment 5.2 provided an extensive overview of the needs for this position and the job duties for this position. Dr. Arellano noted that the state continues to remind them of their priorities in terms of course offerings, basic skills, career technical education and transfer. Dr. Arellano provided information on the priorities that would fall under this director and they include workforce preparation, educational programs for individuals with substantial disabilities, and short-term vocational programs with high employment potential.
Andy Harper, Director for Continuing Education, noted areas affected by this decision: the main issue is that the people who are primarily affected by this decision are the students, rather than the staff; the quality of the instruction and the quantity of classes; the development of new classes in all areas, but especially in workforce development and short-term vocational; compliance with state requirements; and accreditation compliance. Noted that there were many more staff members previously in management positions in Continuing Education in the past and even with all of those staff members they were still not able to complete staff evaluations, student learning outcome assessments, or writing course outlines. Need this position restored in the best interest of the students and the community and Continuing Education as a whole.

Kendall Harris, Director for Continuing Education: This position is about our future, we all agree we have an outstanding Continuing Education program. Students have asked that Continuing Education seek creative solutions to budget realignments. Our goal is to move what we have now into what it must become and currently our future is less certain and filled with challenges, as the state has directed a set of mandates that need to be our new priority. Our students have expressed a need for enhanced funding programs such as ESL, Basic Education, Vocational Technical and Workforce Preparation and we want to respond. Our community is requesting community education conversions, redefinition of programs into new funding categories and the creation of new programs and certificates. To make smart decisions, that are in the best interest of our students, we need the resources to create solutions, we need to change what needs changing, while maintaining the essence of the program. This position will enhance our ability to react and positively respond to change; we’ve invested too much to jeopardize our future success.

Jack Bailey, Director for Continuing Education: Seconded everything that was said by my colleagues. We are in a position where we have serious threats to our current FTES a number of courses that we are able to offer are state supported. In my humble estimates I see as many as a 1,000 or more FTES at risk in the coming years for numerous factors of which I would be happy to enumerate at a future study session, a few examples are potential loss of repeatability, loss of apportionment categories like PE and music, potential loss of courses funded under older adult courses. As you know, virtually all of our courses, our entire catalog of state supported courses have to be reaproved by the State, we have just begun the process and quite honestly some of them may not be approved. Community Education is a reality and some of our courses we cannot fund as state supported, they will be converted to fee-based if possible, but those still need to be managed. Continuing Education will be in a transitional phase with directives from the Chancellor’s Office; it’s obvious that things will be changing in the way we do business and we’re all realists, but we need the administrative staff to be able to communicate, to liaison with our students, with our teachers and with the public. The loss of the ability to communicate with these people has resulted in the loss of our credibility with these people.

HEARING OF CITIZENS

Carlos Martinez is President of Continuing Education Student Council: The Council recommends and supports the restoration of the position of Continuing Education director. The Council must say yes to Vocational education, yes to the greater support of the directors, who are working under difficult conditions and yes to the priorities of the State. This Council has said yes to the certificate programs, vocational technical careers, basic education and all of the programs that will help them obtain better employment. This director position also supports classes for older adults, programs for people with disabilities, and other areas that could possibly impact their families and the community. Asked the Board to approve this position of director and not to would result negatively on the Continuing Education division. Thanked Telemundo and the Periodico Latino for their interest in attending this meeting and their interest in community education.

Enoc Cortez is a member of Continuing Education Student Council: Thanked the Board for the opportunity being provided to allow them to bring their suggestions and positions concerning the
budget cuts and vocational education. Mr. Cortez spoke on the current difficult economic times and how when adjustments need to be made education is the most sensitive area that suffers during these adjustments or cuts. As planning continues in Continuing Education in terms of the priorities received from the State of California, the Council supports the inclusion of certificate programs, vocational technical career programs, basic education and training. In order to carry out this task the appointment of a director who can take on these tasks needs to be approved. Thanked the Board for their work and commitment to Continuing Education.

Raul Fajardo is a student of Continuing Education. Mr. Fajardo is taking courses through Computers in Our Future, classes to learn how to use various software programs. Mr. Fajardo was nervous about taking courses and felt that he might not be able to learn computers. However, the instructors and staff at Continuing Education encouraged him to stay and he continues to take classes and with the great assistance from the instructors he has been able to obtain 4 certificates. He would have liked for them to have been vocational certificates recognized by the State and he knows that to create more vocational programs is a priority for the state. These certificates fill him and his family with pride. These are difficult economic times and priorities need to be created and to help with these priorities, resources need to be provided, one of them being the director position. Restoring this position would help a lot and he also noted areas where he would like to see more certificate programs offered.

Aracela Placencia is a student of Continuing Education. Ms. Placencia is taking courses in ESL and Bilingual Computers. The computer classes are offered through Computers in our Future and she has learned how to use various software programs. Ms. Placencia arrived in Santa Barbara a year ago and her goal was to learn English and computers. It has been difficult; however, she has been able to obtain 4 certificates in her computer classes and has received 2 completion certificates in her English classes. She would like to see more opportunities for short vocational technical career programs, at the moment there are not many. Understands that the economy is not favorable, but understands that the state has as a priority certificate programs, vocational technical career programs, and basic education that would provide better employment. In order to achieve this, resources are needed and hopes that the Board will consider approving the director position and upon finalizing the budget, hopes the Board will consider providing resources for the programs mentioned.

Carla Uribe is a student of Continuing Education. Ms. Uribe has benefited from the various bilingual programs offered in Continuing Education that have helped in her personal life and her professional life. However, more bilingual classes are lacking that could be offered to the Latin community, above all the classes where a certificate can be obtained and help the student to get better employment, therefore, it is very important and requested from the Board the restoration of the director position that would take charge of this educational area and to implement new initiatives in the areas of interest for the students, such as vocational technical careers and bilingual education, all of this to help the students obtain better employment and obviously a better salary. Thanked the Board of Trustees for their work to provide education to those who need it the most.

Patrick Butler is President of the Parent, Teacher, Student, Staff Organization at Adelante Charter School. Mr. Butler has daily contact with parents who have benefited and are grateful for the Continuing Education program. Unfortunately, also has contact with others who are in urgent need of re-tooling for future employment. The bridge, short-term vocational classes could offer to the most vulnerable in our community something that has never been more needed. Hopes that the Board will restore the director position.

Beatrice Silva is an instructor in the program Computers in Our Future. Ms. Silva’s request of the Board was to offer more classes for the Hispanic community and to approve more vocational certificates. The community needs more certificates to increase their work place technology skills and the certificates would increase the student’s employability. Understands the current economic hardships and that there is not enough money to approve every request, but wants the Board to think more about the neediest, about the students who many times cannot even afford public transportation but they arrive at class. The state has stated that their funding priorities are
in areas that can lead to better employment opportunities, such as short term vocational, basic education and workforce preparation. In order to get this done, resources are needed and wants the Board to consider approving the restoration of the director position.

Raul Medina is a student in ESL. Mr. Medina is in favor of restoring the director position for Continuing Education, who would be in charge of vocational education for adults in Spanish. Mr. Medina mentioned various classes and programs that the community would like to see implemented as short-term career classes, 1-3 terms that would provide the student with credit and a certificate that would allow the students to compete in the labor market and integrate them with dignity in the community. Because this would result in more work for staff, he supports the restoration of the director position to comply with these functions that will benefit the community.

Trustee Macker: I have a question for Dr. Serban. First, I want to thank all of you for coming this evening and speaking with us and we do hear your concerns. But I have some money and number issues I want to ask the President. When I look at the organization charts and it lists the FTES each area is responsible for, in my quick math that adds up to 1,364 FTES and yet in the recommendation for where we’re going with Continuing Ed we’re talking about lowering these FTES, so at the same time we’re being asked to add this position we’re also being presented with a budget which people were giving consensus or not yesterday about reducing the Continuing Ed program dramatically in terms of FTES. I’m just looking to you maybe kind of help me resolve this conflict where we’re being asked to add this position and at the same time we’re being presented with a budget that shows this dramatic reduction in the students we’re going to serve. Superintendent/President Serban: Sure I can respond and I would like Dr. Arellano to also respond. The proposal that came to you at the February 16 and 23 study sessions relate to a proposed reduction of roughly 200 FTES in non-enhanced, non-credit funding. As you recall from the proposal, the proposal is to maintain the enhanced non-credit at the current level. This position would be responsible, partly for enhanced non-credit, which is not proposed to be reduced. In addition, as the directors have mentioned, the classes that are fee based and if we’re to add more fee based classes, if this proposed reduction in non-enhanced is to be implemented, to be determined through discussion, and the proposal is to then turn more of those classes to fee based, they also need to be managed. In addition, regardless of the FTES generation, we have the requirements that have been well discussed through many meetings, more than four, that relate to meeting accreditation standards, issues of staff evaluations, issues of student learning outcomes that haven’t been addressed that need to be addressed, issues of meeting state compliance, these are there and they are significant in volume regardless. I don’t want to repeat myself because this has been extensively discussed but as we explained to you in great detail, the structure of Continuing Education in terms of management positions has been shrunk to try to manage with fewer management positions and we do that at this College whenever we have an opportunity, we have very few opportunities and this was a unique opportunity because of a number of retirements occurring in a very brief period. That’s how we innovate at this college, people are given the promise that if they try something that could actually save us money, if it’s proven that it’s not working, they are allowed to restore positions, this is one of those cases. Trustee Macker: Like you said, I think we have our new criteria, more than four meetings or less than four meetings for being discussed, so we don’t need to over those things, I think we understand. The follow up question to my inquiry is do you think that with this proposal to reduce to 800 in non-enhanced, from what I heard was up to 1,600 perhaps in 2008. Do you see any workload reduction at all that is going to come as a result of that to your administrative staff, from a 40-50% reduction in FTES? Superintendent/President Serban: No, not at this point, because the things that haven’t been addressed for many years, they still need to be addressed and they still need work, in addition we have new requirements that have emerged since 2005 forward, and new and additional requirements are emerging and the accreditation standards will not change and to be very specific I want to be clear there are 21 accreditation eligibility requirements, one of them that every college must meet is appropriate staffing, at this point I do not believe that we have appropriate staffing in our Continuing Education division, so we are in jeopardy of not meeting an eligibility requirement for accreditation, let alone all of the other work that needs to be done. I do believe as I mentioned to you and I want to mention it again, in terms of being on the record, it’s very important to be on the record with this, as we mentioned on prior occasions, we don’t bring positions for restoration.
easily. There is a lot of discussion, there is a lot of analysis, how can we manage with less, and we have done so, we have explained in great detail, I think it’s imperative that our professional judgment be recognized here. Trustee Croninger: I have a question for Dr. Arellano, as you stand now today, and your best estimates, do you believe that we will at the end of the year have the minimum 2,000 FTES to claim the state funding for the two centers? And for those of you who are not familiar with that, you need at least 1,000 FTES per center which would be Schott and Wake in order to claim the largest amount of state funding that goes directly to our general fund which would be $2.2 million. There are further complications to how that works out, but my question here is are we going to get the 2,000 this year. Dr. Arellano: That is a very good question, in fact I’m working on a report to provide the actual estimations. As you know, we had some situations that were unpredictable in terms of FTES impact: 1) The state no longer funds PE courses, that was a loss of FTES, and I’m putting together the final report for that number, we can no longer claim cooking for apportionment, that was significant reduction to FTES, we have the music courses, glass fusing, so we have a lot of impact, not to mention the parent education courses at the parent child workshops, which projects about 120 FTES lost for this year, so it’s a very difficult question to answer right now, Trustee Croninger: but we’re working on that analysis. Trustee Croninger: So I assume we’ll get that analysis, but as you stand here now, you don’t have a number, you can’t predict. Dr. Arellano: I want to give you an accurate number. Trustee Croninger: If it looks like we’re going to fall short, are we in a position to do what we have done in the past and times and borrow from summer. Superintendent/President Serban: I can answer that, we don’t need to borrow from anything, if you fall short one year you don’t lose the funding. Trustee Croninger: I understand that I know that part that is why I mentioned the complications, but my question is rather than falling short are we in a position to borrow from summer. Superintendent/President Serban: Borrowing from summer is only useful if it’s going to be used for the center status, so those FTES need to be offered at Schott and Wake and we also have 70 FTES that we can actually use from credit classes currently offered at Wake that are currently offered a combination of construction credit courses and professional development center courses that in the past we have not used towards the FTES for the center status because it was not needed, but this year we can certainly use the 70 FTES if there is a need. Second, we’re not going to lose the funding, because you don’t lose the funding if you fall short one year. Trustee Blum: I just have a question for Dr. Arellano. Last time we talked about this I don’t remember which of the many meetings, I’m not trying to rub this in, but we have talked about it a bunch of times, but last time I mentioned a couple of things that I thought could be done to save some time, especially for better management of Continuing Education with the four different directors. Have any of those recommendations been implemented and I have received absolutely no response and no thank you for your suggestions, or anything like that or any indication that any of them have been implemented. So I would just like to put it out there in a public meeting, for you to answer me whether any of my suggestions to save time have been even talked about. Dr. Arellano: are you referring to the recommendation of hiring faculty coordinators? Trustee Blum: That was one of them, but there were several more like not having all four directors attending the review committee. Dr. Arellano: Trustee Blum with all due respect, the operational decisions of what meetings my managers attend is an operational decision and I have to ask for respect of operational decisions that I make. Trustee Blum: I have a tremendous amount of respect for you. Dr. Arellano: For a trustee to tell me whether a director should attend meetings or not I duly request respect for my decision making in terms of deciding what meetings my directors should attend. Trustee Blum: That is your answer and I understand, but my problem is that you’re asking for somebody else to come on board because you’re having trouble with all of the work you have to do, so I’m telling you, that’s a conflict to me and I said in there I believe this position has to be filled, but I also said I think you also have to look at some managerial changes and I truly mean that my father was a manager of a big Fortune 500 company and I do understand managing and I also was the Mayor of this City for 8 years and I know I’m not supposed to say that but I do believe it could be managed better, and I hope you take that with a grain of salt knowing who I am and knowing who you are. Dr. Arellano: I appreciate and respect your recommendation. Trustee Livingston: With all due respect we do operate as a Board; we don’t individually direct subordinate staff to do anything. You asked for a request, you asked for feedback, but this did not come out of a Board decision that would go to the CEO and down so there wasn’t a discussion, now you may have perfectly fine ideas and I’m not criticizing that but I am criticizing the process and when we’re looking for a unifying principle between us because
we’re obviously expressing disagreements on issues which is what was one of the goals of this campaign was not have unanimous votes on anything, so we’ve met one criteria of the campaign to have split votes, but the one unifying principles we should all get behind is how important accreditation is and I really appreciate the fact that you tied your proposal into accreditation. If we look at the warnings that have continued up at Cuesta, San Luis Obispo College District, they were directed by the Accreditation Commission to add administrative positions, because they found there was a lack of adequate administration. So this is something the college didn’t decide, the Accrediting Commission decided that, so when we get a request from a department that so eloquently ties into what our accreditation requirements are, this is something, this is the one thing I hope we all get behind not because they just simply say it, but that we evaluate things in terms of, what is the impact on accreditation? Accreditation really has to be something that is ongoing for six years, it isn’t something that happens every six years, it happens as we move through those six years, so when we tie in what we’re doing and recognizing if we don’t do it, we are making decisions that could put accreditation at risk. I think we should always have that level of analysis when we make decision, if it’s at that level and according to Dr. Arellano, she is raising this particular decision to that level and again one of the other accreditation issues is direction from single trustees, so I think we need, we’ll talk about that because that is on the next agenda, but I think we have to be careful when she’s saying this is a province of hers to explore that isn’t something that an individual trustee should ask a vice president to be accountable for. Trustee Croninger: I would just comment that it is an interesting conundrum because we are being asked to cut the budget, to adjust for reduced state income, we are hoping to have a vigorous and informed discussion among our stakeholders in the community about the issues that surround how we need to construct our budget as we look forward and clearly we’ve already gotten a proposal on the table from the administration to cut Continuing Ed.. We’ve heard from many people this evening who want to increase Continuing Ed and add to those programs. Trustee Blum is talking about issues that relate to efficiency in our programs so we can continue to try to do more with less and we as a Board, a whole Board, Joan, are faced with trying to have a vote on these questions, bearing in mind these conflicting problems. I mean in effect we’re going in two directions at once with this decision; one to add the administration and two to look ahead to subtracting from that very program as a possibility, so it’s not an easy problem and we recognize that there are many conflicting view points, but I think expressing a concern as Trustee Blum has is, as I understand it taken to be an individual comment, which frankly has reflected some of my experiences as well, that we still can do more with less. That is not to say that this isn’t an important request. One more thing quickly on accreditation, we’re not in danger of losing our accreditation over this issue, the worst case scenario it seems to me that Joan just outlined was that the accreditation people would come and decide that we should hire someone else, so I’m uncomfortable with constantly hearing that we might lose accreditation over this or that item, as we discuss it. I certainly support accreditation, but I don’t think it is quite the sky is falling, that it seems to be when I’m hearing about it. Trustee Jurkowitz: I think if we as individual trustees and I think we should be thinking of ways to get everything more efficient, I think probably, I won’t want to go to any individuals in the College, but either go through the College President or the Board, bring it up at a study session and if the Board in general any ideas we have and we think is a good idea to pursue how we pursue it would probably be through the College President. But I mean if we went as individuals to everyone connected with the College we’d have conflicting points of view on lots of things. Trustee Villegas: that it maybe because of the fact that we have so meetings, we forget what we hear and what’s presented to us. I think that it was very clear that in an effort to deal with budget and deal with doing more with less, this position was done away with for two years and it was a two year experiment to see if we could accomplish doing that and basically, due through analysis and what you’ve heard from all the other directors, it was an experiment that didn’t work and has impacted the current staff tremendously and possibly impacted how we could have developed more. The recommendations that have been presented in terms of some of the cuts to adult education doesn’t necessarily mean, I don’t see that as a conflict at all, or as a conundrum. I think that it basically represents that we’re looking at greater budget deficits and how to handle those and again it’s a restoration of a position not the creation of a new position that is adding to the expense. We’ve discussed all this over and over again, agreed to bring it to Ed Policies, agreed that we should move forward with this position and so I find it quite interesting that we continue to discuss and make the same points over and over again. Trustee Croninger: Just quickly, at Ed
Policies we asked what is the big picture and at that point in time there wasn’t a big picture, since then that bigger picture has emerged in the recommendation to take significant cuts in Continuing Ed. We are at a bit down the road from that and I think as Trustee Mackey pointed out the basic issue in part has to do with simultaneously saying we’re cutting a program, significantly from two years ago when the directors were reduced and yet asking to put it back. So that’s the conflict that I think we’re talking about. Trustee Villegas: Well, if the big picture was not understood and clear at the conference we all attended in Sacramento, I don’t know what else was. Trustee Croninger: No, the big picture of this College, the plan for this College and Continuing Ed. Trustee Villegas: Which is part of the big picture for the State of California etc. President Haslund: I want to thank all of the people who spoke today. I thought you were all eloquent and I was particularly struck by a comment by Mr. Cortez who talked about the vulnerability of education at precisely the time when we should be putting more emphasize on that, precisely the time when people need that time of retraining and moving back into or up in the workforce. I wish the Legislature in Sacramento could hear that, that is really true, that is where we need to hear it. Trustee Villegas: Call the question.

Upon motion by Trustee Livingston, seconded by Trustee Villegas, the Board approved restoring the Director of Continuing Education position, as contained in the agenda and attachment.

6. BUSINESS SERVICES – Mr. Joe Sullivan, Vice President Business Services

6.1 BUSINESS CONSENT ITEMS

Upon motion by Trustee Jurkowitz, seconded by Trustee Livingston, the Board approved the Business Services consent items, as contained in the agenda and attachments.

a. RATIFICATION OF PURCHASE ORDERS, attachment 6.1-a

b. RATIFICATION OF PAYMENTS AS SUMMARIZED BELOW (Detailed report may be viewed in the Accounting Office, A-130)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Check Ranges</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food Service</td>
<td>328892-9030, 112275-4669, 6246-</td>
<td>$163,003.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Treasury*</td>
<td>8794, 12259,878,31</td>
<td>$12,422,881.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This includes the ACH payments (including direct deposit payments)

c. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT OF QUARTERLY FINANCIAL STATEMENT ENDING 12/31/10, (This item was discussed at the 2/16/11 Board Study Session) attachment 6.1-c

d. AUTHORIZATION TO DECLARE SURPLUS/DISPOSE OF SURPLUS EQUIPMENT:

Sony Television, Model #: KDF-70XBR950, Serial #: 9118521 - Food Services
Diving Bell, Fabricated by Superior Tank & Construction Co in 1965, max working pressure 332 PSI, MFG #: DB 10 - Marine Technologies
1970 Boston Whaler Boat, 2003 Honda 75HP 4-stroke Engine, Type 82, Length 16’ 6”
Hull # 8A1566, VIN #: CF0612XC - Marine Technologies

e. APPROVAL OF LEASE AGREEMENT, CARPENTERIA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT - THE HUTTON FOUNDATION AND SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE CONTINUING EDUCATION, $624.00 PER MONTH, 1/1/11-12/31/11, attachment 6.1-e

f. APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 14, DRAMA/MUSIC MODERNIZATION, BID PACKAGE 1 (CORE AND SHELL), DIANI BUILDING CORP., $290,173.00, (This item was discussed at the 2/14/11 Board Facilities Committee), attachment 6.1-f
g. APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 4, PERSHING PARK SOFTBALL FIELD IMPROVEMENTS, SHAW CONTRACTING, INC., $39,574.54, (This item was discussed at the 2/14/11 Board Facilities Committee), attachment 6.1-g

h. APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 2, TRELLIS REPLACEMENT, Schock Construction, Credit ($19,710.00), (This item was discussed at the 2/14/11 Board Facilities Committee), attachment 6.1-h

i. AUTHORIZATION TO ACCEPT BIDS AND AWARD CONTRACT: INSTALLATION OF NEW CARPET IN STUDENT SERVICES BUILDING, BID # 662, PROJECT # 6682, FLOOR CONNECTION, INC., $21,801.00, (discussed at 2/14/11 Facilities Committee meeting), attachment 6.1-i

j. APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT NO. 3, MATERIALS TESTING AND INSPECTION AGREEMENT, DRAMA/MUSIC MODERNIZATION, TWINING, INC., $88,800.00, (discussed at 2/14/11 Facilities Committee meeting), attachment 6.1-j

k. APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 1, WAKE CENTER PAVING PROJECT, GRANITE CONSTRUCTION, $10,302.71, (discussed at 2/14/11 Facilities Committee meeting), attachment 6.1-k

l. APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 1, GOURMET DINING ROOM REMODEL, SBS CORPORATION, $9,641.87, (discussed at 2/14/11 Facilities Committee meeting), attachment 6.1-l

m. APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT NO. 1, INSPECTION SERVICES AGREEMENT, DRAMA/MUSIC MODERNIZATION, BENTLEY INSPECTION SERVICES, $180,000.00, (discussed at 2/14/11 Facilities Committee meeting), attachment 6.1-m


o. APPROVAL OF 2010-11 MONTHLY CELL PHONE STIPEND AGREEMENT, Lorenzo Zwaal, Director Risk Mgmt & Comm Services $65, Nancy Tolivar, Network Specialist III $35

6.2 BUSINESS ACTION ITEMS

a. ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION NO. 30 (2010-11) AUTHORIZING ROUTINE INTERNAL BUDGET TRANSFERS

Upon motion by Trustee Livingston, seconded by Trustee Jurkowitz, the Board approved Resolution No. 30 (2010-11) authorizing 2010-11 routine internal transfers as contained in the agenda and attachment. The vote follows:

Ayes: Trustee Blum, Trustee Croninger, Trustee Haslund, Trustee Jurkowitz, Trustee Livingston, Trustee Macker, Trustee Villegas

Noes: None

Absent: Ms. Ridgell, Student Trustee

Concur: None

b. ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION NO. 31 (2010-11) PROVIDING FOR 2010-11 BUDGET REVISIONS DUE TO RECEIPT OF UNBUDGETED REVENUE
Upon motion by Trustee Livingston, seconded by Trustee Jurkowitz, the Board approved Resolution No. 31 (2010-11) authorizing 2010-11 budget revisions for unbudgeted revenue as contained in the agenda and attachment. The vote follows:

Ayes: Trustee Blum, Trustee Croninger, Trustee Haslund, Trustee Jurkowitz, Trustee Livingston, Trustee Macker, Trustee Villegas

Noes: None

Absent: Ms. Ridgell, Student Trustee

Concur: None

7. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY—NONE

8. CLOSED SESSION

a. ADJOURN TO CLOSED SESSION

Upon motion by Trustee Villegas, seconded by Trustee Livingston, the Board approved adjourning to closed session.


   District Negotiators: Bruce Barsook, Sue Ehrlich, Joe Sullivan, Pat English

b. RECONVENE TO OPEN SESSION

Upon motion by Trustee Jurkowitz, seconded by Trustee Croninger, the Board approved reconvening to open session.

President Haslund reported that the Board had given direction to the negotiators. There is no reportable action.

9. ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion by Trustee Livingston, seconded by Trustee Macker, the Board approved adjourning this meeting setting the next Regular Meeting of the Board of Trustees on Thursday, March 24, 2011 at 4:00 p.m. in A211.

APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES ON ______March 24_______, 2011

[Signatures]

President, Board of Trustees

Superintendent/President
Secretary/Clerk of the Board