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Trustees were present.

1) Policy
   a. Board Self Evaluation Policy and Procedure

   In response to the Accreditation Team recommendation, President Romo recommended that the board begin the development of a Board Evaluation process. As background for this process, President Romo distributed materials developed by CCLC.

   The Board accepted President Romo's recommendation and appointed Board members Des O'Neill and Joan Livingston to work with the President on the development of a board evaluation process.

   In addition, the Board asked that David Viar, CCLC Director, be invited to SBCC to provide a workshop on characteristics of effective boards.

2) Educational Program
   a. Community Media Access Center at SBCC

   Jack Friedlander presented a preliminary proposal to relocate the Community Media Access Center at Santa Barbara City College. This would include a facility, which would house both educational, and public access centers. It was noted that SBCC is on the non-profit Board that would review programs submitted for airing. Discussion took place with regards to this item and the Board supported continuing discussion and requested to be kept informed.

   b. Teleweb and Video Courses

   Jack Friedlander presented information on providing Tele Courses. In-Tele-Com is a consortium that has been in business for 30 years, it's a non-profit organization and colleges contribute money to help purchase programming. SBCC has been approached to join the consortium so that the college would be
able to have access to the programming. SBCC could broadcast the programming, for example on Channel 21; students can rent the videos and/or purchase the videos for that programming. This is a form of providing a more powerful way of delivering education than just on-line courses. A presentation was made to faculty and staff. Colleges currently using this system have been contacted and there is a high degree of satisfaction. This was brought to the Board because it’s a new way of delivering instruction and it was important to bring this discussion forward. If there is enough faculty interest between now and the time the college needs to join the consortium, the college will proceed with this concept. Board requested periodic updates on this project.

c. Oracle Student System Update

Bill Hamre reported on the two areas of focus with regard to the Oracle Student System. One was the Campus Room Pilot and the other was a brief update on the meeting, which took place here on campus with individuals from Oracle.

The implementation team, which consists of 12 individuals ranging from student financial aid, admissions and records, outreach and recruitment, and information resources, has been meeting weekly to work on the implementation plan. About 70% of the process has been completed and in some areas over 85% of the work has been done. Over the next two months the team will actually get into examining the software in terms of functionality. These individuals are working very diligently at set up, configuration, and testing. We expect by the November-December time frame to have completed a thorough testing of the system.

To assure that the college is getting the right resources and support from Oracle to help with the implementation/evaluation, meetings were held with three individuals from Oracle on November 6. The individuals included John Wookey, VP of Development for their Higher Education Systems, the Corporate VP for Sales for the Higher Education Marketplace, and the Western Regional VP for Consulting. These individuals met with the implementation team and then met with President Romo, Dr. Friedlander and Bill Hamre to discuss the implementation. President Romo reported that it was made clear to the Oracle representatives that they are expected to deliver the fullest to make this work. Also it was made clear that between now and February the college will be facing a difficult decision as to whether to continue with this system, or look for other options. The Oracle representatives were informed that the college would not accept a mediocre product. The Oracle staff reiterated their commitment to the delivery of an excellent product.

3) Housing

a. Faculty and Staff Housing

President Romo and Dr. MacDougall met with Dr. Richard Rush, President of CSUCI and were given a tour of the construction site where they are building and almost completing the first phase of what eventually will be 900 housing units for
Faculty/Staff. They are financing the renovation of all the existing building that were the state hospital plus all new construction out of the money made from the sale of the units. President Rush noted that they are also building a shopping center and a school, which will be part of their school of education. Dr. Rush said that they would not need all of the 900 housing units and they would be making some available to their educational partners, which SBCC is considered to be. This would be an alternative solution for affordable housing for Faculty and Staff. Dr. Rush will be visiting our campus next week and further discussion on this option would take place. The Board will be advised of the outcome.

**Carpinteria:** The college has engaged an attorney who is currently working on two sets of due diligence. Should have a response for the Board in a month or so.

**MTD:** With regard to the development of their property off of Turnpike, three developers have been chosen to present proposals for this site. Two of the developers will include City College in their plans.

**Wake Center:** President Romo wanted to get input from the Board with regard to a discussion that took place last year regarding the possibility of building Faculty/Staff housing on the lower lot of the Wake Center. In the discussion that followed the Board strongly agreed that they want the Wake Center to be used for educational purposes only. The Board agreed to put this item on hold and requested that all off-site possibilities be researched before considering recommendations regarding the use of the Wake Center for housing.

b. **Student Housing**

The college has received information that UCSB plans to purchase Francisco Torres and renovate the facility for use by their students. Jack Friedlander reviewed with the Board three options the college has considered to accommodate the potential loss of the 300 beds at FT. Dr. Friendlander recommended that the college proceed with option two: SBCC establishes an affiliate relationship with Tropicana and Fountainbleu the residence halls. This option would designate the residence halls as SBCC affiliates in exchange for having them set aside a fixed number of beds for SBCC students. There would be no financial commitment on the part of SBCC; however, the halls would require that SBCC extended campus jurisdiction for its students. Extending campus jurisdiction would be limited to SBCC students involved in serious crimes to people and property. The college will be researching the legal ramifications of having the extended campus jurisdiction and will report back to the Board. The Board suggested proceeding with the research on this option with the understanding that there would be no financial obligation on the part of the college.

4) **Facilities**

a. Parking Update
Surface Parking Alternatives and Parking Structure on the West Campus:

Brian Fahnestock handed out drawings from Penfield and Smith for review by the Board. The plans provided various options for adding additional surface parking. The variation of plans for surface parking using the various locations on campus would provide between 119 to 175 additional parking spaces.

The Board discussed whether to proceed with plans for expansion of West Campus parking. After much discussion the Board deferred a final decision on West campus parking until the next study session. Brian, Jack and Andreea will collect data and make a presentation to help the Board come to a final decision on this item.

Due to time constraints the following items were not discussed at this Study Session, and will be placed on the agenda for the next Study Session.

b. New Beginnings Church
c. Stadium and Gymnasium Renovation Projects
d. Earth and Biological Science Building Landscaping
e. Saint Anthony’s Seminary

5) Governance

a. California Master Plan Recommendations on Community College Governance.

President Romo handed out the CCLC’s position on the California Master Plan for Education recommendations related to Community College governance. CCLC’s position is that recommendations from the Joint Legislative Committee do maintain local authority, local control. The recommendations would restructure the community college system as a “public trust” similar to the UC and CSU. President Romo distributed a detailed analysis of recommendations related to Community College governance.

Due to time constraints the following items were not discussed at this Study Session, and will be placed on the agenda for the next Study Session.

b. College Consultation Processes

6) College Finances

a. Budget Principles
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