Mrs. Powell joined the meeting at 6:00 p.m. All other Board Members and the Student Trustee were present. In addition, Vice Presidents Friedlander and Hanson were also present for major parts of the Study Session.

A. Facilities Issues

The Board reviewed a number of campus facilities' projects presently under consideration. These projects are significant in maintaining the College's capacity to carry out its mission well into the future.

1. New Buildings

   a. Technology Building

      Architect Jerry Zimmer reviewed the plans he developed for the site west of the Garvin Theatre. Mr. Zimmer's building was 40,000 square feet. Considerable time was spent in reviewing the program considerations for the building and the "fit" of the building on the available land space. Primary consideration was the building's footprint and going beyond the frontage of the Drama/Music Building.

      Mr. Zimmer outlined how he had visited a technology building at DeAnza College and identified the unique features that support a structure emphasizing technology instruction. Of particular importance is the capacity to accommodate the substantial rate of change that is expected to continue in this area.

      After considerable discussion, it was agreed that the size of the structure could be accommodated in the space allocated.

   b. International Programs Building

      Architects Steve Metsch and Roger Phillips reviewed two plan options they had prepared for the Board. The focus was on an International Programs Building in the area north of the Campus Center and east of the Physical Science Building. One option was for a structure with 12 classrooms. The other was for a structure with 9 classrooms.

      The 12-classroom structure was not enthusiastically received. Of primary concern was in regard to its balance within the space allocated and how the layout would not fit with the Physical Science, Campus Center and Humanities Buildings in the vicinity. The Board consensus was in support of the 9-classroom structure.

   c. HRC Addition to the Campus Center

      Questions were answered regarding plans for the Hotel/Restaurant/ Culinary Program and its development as a regional program of excellence.
Also discussed was an option of utilizing other space in the Campus Center to respond to some of the program requirements for HRC. Some Board Members felt that the addition, though not aesthetically outstanding, was warranted given the important program objectives which would be obtained. After discussion on this topic, the conclusion reached was that the addition is not in keeping with the campus development principles and should not be viewed as an alternative to accommodate any HRC program development.

d. Possible Campus Building in the Quadrangle in Front of the Student Services Building

The Superintendent/President stated that as we are looking ahead to 10, 15, and 20 years, his conclusion is that it would be appropriate to develop the next phase of the College’s long range development plan. In that plan would be included the Gymnasium Addition that had been submitted to the State, the Technology Building, the building for international programs and a general purpose classroom/office building to be considered for construction in the area near the Student Services Center.

The development of the LRDP will require an environmental impact report dealing with issues such as increased growth, parking, environmental consequences, etc. There was concurrence that as a principle the bluff areas on both the West and East Campuses should be maintained as open areas.

In order to consider the area in front of the Student Services Building for a possible new building of 30,000 to 40,000 square feet, a site drawing needs to be prepared. This will be achieved and presented to the Facilities Committee. As follow up to this discussion on new facilities, the Superintendent/President will work with Dr. Hanson in developing a new Master Plan (LRDP) and begin the process of having appropriate reviews.

2. Major Remodels/Renovations

a. Gymnasium

Reviewed with the Board were the three phases of the Gymnasium project. Specifically, the modification for the Women’s Team/Locker Room, the Human Performance Lab and the Entry to the Weight Room and those facilities. Architect Steve Metsch presented a proposal with an approximate cost of $95,000. Phases two and three, which would have to be completed simultaneously, involved the placement of an elevator in the structure that would provide access to all three levels, modification of the Weight Room and the development of approximately four classrooms.

There was concurrence on phase one. The staff will confirm that the plan has been fully endorsed and supported by the Athletic and Physical Education staff of the College and advances compliance with Title IX. If so, designation from the Construction Fund will be explored to move ahead on that project. There is support for phases two and three, but recognition that we cannot move ahead on those project components until funds became available.

b. Schott Center

The discussions that the Superintendent/President had with Dr. Michael Caston, Superintendent of Santa Barbara School District, regarding a potential exchange
with the School District were reviewed. The Board strongly concurred that no exchange could take place unless it was for a facility of comparable size, quality and functionality as the Schott Center. Upon the advice from the Board, the Superintendent/President will contact Dr. Caston and within the next few months either reach concurrence that an exchange is possible or agree there will be no exchange and we will proceed to enhance the Schott Center.

**Enhancement of the Schott Center.** The Board concurred that the enhancement of both Continuaing Education properties, the Schott Center and Wake Center, was in order. Further, as time and funding permits, it would be appropriate to retain the services of an architect to define the improvements needed in both centers. The focus of such a review would be as outlined in the Enhancement Plan presented to the Board in the agenda attachment.

The Superintendent/President stated he would schedule a meeting involving Vice Presidents Romo and Hanson, and Mr. Luria with project architect Don Ziemer. This meeting will define the outcomes for Mr. Ziemer's work and confirm the price range for the plan to be completed.

**Schott Center Roof:** Dr. Hanson presented an overview of the specs. He felt the full roof might not have to be replaced. The specs would be prepared and bids released in time for the Board to accept a recommendation at the October meeting.

c.  
**Administration and LSG Remodels & Plans for Relocating Temporary Buildings**

Board Members visited the site and viewed the alternative locations. There was concurrence that the best site was as noted; i.e., the bulk of the temporaries being placed at the east end of the practice field in front of the Campus Center. Dr. Hanson will proceed with implementation of the plan as reviewed.

d.  
**Interdisciplinary Center Need for Air Conditioning**

Architect Steve Metzsch presented a proposal for examining the air conditioning for the IDC Building. The cost for placing a main unit on that facility and extending it to the offices and classrooms was felt to be an excessive expenditure.

Staff was directed to work with the architect to look at an alternative means for air conditioning the five classrooms. The five classrooms are the source of major need for a cooling system. The objective is to identify a solution that is in keeping with appropriate cost levels.

e.  
**Interdisciplinary Center/LRC Faculty Offices**

There was not time to fully present this option to the Board. The need for additional offices given the recent hiring is understood. A proposal will have to be fully developed and presented to the Facilities Committee.

B.  
**Foundation for Santa Barbara City College**

1.  
**Gift Processing, Priority Setting, Recent Gifts and Focus 1997-98 and 1997-98 Business Plan**

Materials pertaining to the above four points were distributed to the Board Members. General discussion was held regarding the relationship between the Foundation Board
of Directors and the District's Board of Trustees. The check points that exist for the operation of the Foundation to coincide with the general direction of the Trustees were outlined. For example, our master agreement, the College gift policy, the submission annually of an audit of the Foundation to the Trustees, and service of College Trustees and President on the Foundation Board. It was recognized that in any gift being given to the College, it would be consistent with College goals and objectives. If at any time a gift was not, it would be the responsibility of the Superintendent/President to review that item with the Trustees.

Questions were raised regarding the endowment and how decisions would be reached in regard to allocation of the funds from the endowment. Support for Foundation operations, dealing with college priorities as communicated to the Trustees from the College President, and other alternatives were discussed.

It was agreed that more time was needed to be spent on this matter and at the next Study Session additional discussion will take place. Board Members were asked to review the materials and be prepared for a discussion at the next Study Session.

C. Co-Sponsored Campus Programs (Credit and Noncredit) - Materials were received.

D. Fifteen Questions to Ask About Your Board (From Dr. Dobbs) - Materials were received.

E. Board Matters - Deferred
   1. E-Mail Practices - Discussion (J. Livingston)
   2. Redesign of Board Operations (J. Livingston)

The meeting adjourned at approximately 9:00 p.m.
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Peter R. MacDougall
Superintendent/President
Secretary to the Board of Trustees