MINUTES
REGULAR MEETING OF BOARD OF TRUSTEES
SANTA BARBARA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
March 25, 1982
4:00 p.m. - Room A-211
Administration Building
Santa Barbara City College
Santa Barbara, California

1. GENERAL FUNCTIONS
1.1 CALL TO ORDER

The regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara Community College District was called to order by President Eli Luria at 4:15 p.m. on Thursday, March 25, 1982 in Room A-211, Administration Building, Santa Barbara City College, Santa Barbara, California.

1.1 ROLL CALL

Members present:

Mr. Eli Luria, President
Mr. Benjamin P.J. Wells, Vice-President
Mrs. Kathryn O. Alexander
Dr. Joe W. Dobbs
Mr. Sidney R. Frank
Mrs. Joyce H. Powell
Mr. Guy Parvex, Student Member

Member absent:

Mr. Gary R. Ricks

Others present for all or a portion of the meeting:

Dr. Peter R. MacDougall, Superintendent/President and Secretary/
Clerk to the Board of Trustees
Mr. Charles Hanson, Business Manager and Assistant Secretary/
Clerk to the Board of Trustees
Dr. Martin Bobgan, Administrative Dean, Continuing Education
Mr. M. L. Huglin, Administrative Dean, Instruction
Mrs. Lynda Rodrigues, Administrative Dean, Student Services
Ms. Melissa Levine, THE CHANNELS Reporter
Dr. Barbara Lindemann, Academic Senate President
Mr. Robert Malone, Associated Student Body President
Mr. Jim Williams, College Information Officer
Mr. George Aldana, Associated Student Body Treasurer
Dr. Joseph A. Bagnall, Assistant Dean, Continuing Education
Dr. Abelino M. Bailon, Assistant Dean, Continuing Education
Ms. Shirley Conklin, Assistant Dean, Health Technologies
Mr. John Dunn, Associate Professor, Hotel & Restaurant Management
Ms. Laura Krawczyk, Associate Professor, Radiology
Mr. Ken Kessler, Student Senator
1. GENERAL FUNCTIONS - continued:
   1.2 ROLL CALL - continued:

   Mr. Richard La Paglia, Advisor, Student Activities
   Mr. George Lewis, Associate Professor, Radiology
   Mr. Dan Oroz, Director, Personnel/Affirmative Action Officer
   Mr. Sean Steingraeber, Student Senator
   Ms. Dorothy Taylor, SBCC Allotment Garden
   Ms. Margaret Taylor, Neighbor
   Mr. John Tobin, Personnel Specialist, Personnel
   Mr. Lucas Tomasso, Textbook Buyer, Bookstore
   Mrs. Helen Wilden, Bookstore Cashier Appointee
   Mrs. Simone Woodcock, C.S.E.A. Representative (for Ms. Trish Dupart, absent)

   Mrs. Elsie Brandt, Secretary to Superintendent/Board of Trustees

1.3 WELCOME

   Mr. Luria extended a cordial welcome to all in the audience.

1.4 CLOSED SESSION

   None scheduled or held.

1.5 MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF MARCH 11, 1982

   Upon motion of Mr. Frank, seconded by Mrs. Powell, the minutes of the
   regular meeting of March 11, 1982 were approved by the Board of Trustees
   as delivered.

1.6 HEARING OF CITIZENS

   None

1.7 COMMUNICATIONS

   a. REPORT BY ACADEMIC SENATE - Dr. Barbara Lindemann

      1) Sabbatical Leave Committee is more active this year; now looking
         for better ways to implement current policy; no changes at this
         time; committee meets frequently, all hard workers, divided tasks
         up among themselves, working with funding - at Representative
         Council, some favor the previous system of no cost; such a policy
         discourages those who can't afford to go; faculty concerned to
         have applications that benefit whole campus; still struggling
         with the same kind of issues; needs to be discussed by all this
         year.

      2) Evaluation policy has been sent to administration; will come to
         board subcommittee soon.
1. GENERAL FUNCTIONS - continued;

1.7 COMMUNICATIONS - continued:

a. REPORT BY ACADEMIC SENATE - continued:

3) Academic Senate having a regional meeting on campus tomorrow; representatives coming from surrounding campuses.

4) Instructional organization is moving along; being reviewed in every detail; will be workable.

b. REPORT ON COMING EVENTS - Mr. Jim Williams

Mr. Williams was at the Klinger Estate working with a News Press photographer on publicity for the forthcoming April 18 event.

Copies were distributed of his report on activities scheduled by Santa Barbara City College and its Continuing Education Division and ongoing campus activities for the period March 25 through April 8, 1982.

In particular, Dr. MacDougall noted the Job Fair on March 31, and Easter Relays this coming week end.

c. REPORT ON CURRENT ISSUES - Dr. Peter R. MacDougall

1) April 18 event - a major fund raising affair from 1:00 to 4:00 p.m.; international cuisine for a brunch arrangement; benefit for ongoing operation of the Foundation; to be held at the Klinger Estate (Mrs. Klinger is a member of the Board of Directors of the Foundation).

Mr. Luria added that attendance will be limited to 1,000 people; tickets are expected to be sold out in advance of the event.

2) Letter from the Chancellor: Good news - $22 million cut for current year does not appear to materialize; as of today (March 25) current budget is safe. Bad news - the Governor's Budget called for a 5% cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) for community colleges - that adjustment will not be effective until October 1, 1982. Therefore, rather than a 5% COLA is a 3.75%; between now and June when budget is approved, it is likely the 3.75% could be further eroded. The planning budget process is proceeding at zero COLA.

3) Attended a meeting last Tuesday in Sacramento of Chancellor, presidents of other colleges, three members each of assembly and senate; very informal; listened to legislators and their concerns; important for all is the fact that legislators are looking for ways that we can be more effective in describing what we are doing; how many students do we transfer; how many obtain jobs; how many go on to advanced education; seeking answers to justify level of expenditures by providing a legitimate challenge; colleges not geared at this point with that information but it will provide greater credibility.
1. GENERAL FUNCTIONS - continued:

1.7 COMMUNICATIONS - continued:

c. REPORT ON CURRENT ISSUES - continued:

4) College Mission Statement - forming a committee to be chaired by Mr. Henry Bagish, three community persons, three faculty, and other staff members; hope to get a better sense of whole direction; most effective to achieve our purposes; their recommendations will be reviewed with the Educational Policies Subcommittee.

ADDED:

Dr. Dobbs reported on attendance at the Association of Community College Trustees (ACCT) Regional Seminar last week in Arizona; no action on by-laws; nominating committee met - four openings exist - election this coming fall.

Attended by 150 people with largest contingent from California; subject of most workshops was money, budget cuts, productivity, concerns about new Federalism, financial aid cuts.

Emphasis on: a) we lose sight of students as main purpose, b) Trustees must listen to students; c) Trustee is the only one who feels pulse of community, d) Trustee must be knowledgeable about campus, e) Trustee should not feel superior to college people, f) have good rapport with legislators - local and national - not only in crisis situation.

Mrs. Alexander indicated she heard one way to solve funding problem is to close some colleges.

Dr. MacDougall responded that there is no discussion underway of closing any community colleges; there is one district in serious fiscal difficulty; should be understood that money used to support campus in trouble is money that is not available to other districts.

Dr. Dobbs indicated that conditions are worse in the State of Washington where they have had a "cap" for years; trustees are appointed by the governor resulting in no continuity; their enrollment is going up but not being funded by their state.

Dr. MacDougall added that assembly concurrent resolution by Gary Hart directed CPEC to look at issue involved with fees; that report is due the end of April; clear from experience this year have to keep some options open as we don't know when cuts will be made; last year of non-credit policy; consequences for this district are well known.

Mr. Luria concluded with the statement that education is tied in with the economy.

He expressed appreciation for the mike system sounding real good today.
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2. PERSONNEL

2.1 CERTIFICATED PERSONNEL

a. ROUTINE

Upon motion of Mrs. Alexander, seconded by Mr. Wells, it was passed by the board to approve of the following routine Certificated Personnel items as described in the attachments:

(1) APPROVAL OF ADDITIONAL TEMPORARY, HOURLY CERTIFICATED PERSONNEL, SPRING 1982 SEMESTER, Attachment 2.1-a(1)

(2) RATIFICATION OF TEMPORARY, CONTRACTUAL APPOINTMENT: CYNTHIA QUARRE, INSTRUCTOR, LIFE SCIENCE (REPLACING J. MEYER) FEBRUARY 1 - JUNE 17, 1982, Attachment 2.1-a(2)

(3) APPROVAL OF HOURLY INSTRUCTORS, SUBSTITUTE, CONSULTANTS (LECTURERS), CONTINUING EDUCATION DIVISION, SPRING 1982 TERM, Attachment 2.1-a(3)

(4) AUTHORIZATION OF TRAVEL AND CONFERENCE, Attachment 2.1-a(4)


b. NON ROUTINE

No items.

ADDED:

Mr. Oroz acknowledged Dr. Bobgan who requested to speak in recognition of Dr. Joseph Bagnall, who is retiring in July.

At the last board meeting, Dr. Bagnall was not present but a very descriptive summary (written by a creative person, Becky Ballmer) had been presented noting Dr. Bagnall's many years of service to the district.

In the 12 years of being Dr. Bagnall's supervisor, Dr. Bobgan stated he found him a very sweet person to interact with "as he only gets angry once every 20 years." Dr. Bagnall's program has been well-known for great variety and quality of speakers. Overall, compared to other districts, his has been the best in the state.

Board members wished him well in his new career as a rancher and stated that he would be greatly missed here.

Dr. Bagnall responded that "he will miss all a great deal - but would be back at times."
2. PERSONNEL - continued:

2.2 CLASSIFIED PERSONNEL

a. ROUTINE

It was moved by Dr. Dobbs, seconded by Mrs. Alexander, and passed by the board to approve of the following routine Classified Personnel items, as described in the attachments:

(1) APPROVAL OF HOURLY APPOINTMENTS (NON-CLASSIFIED SERVICE EMPLOYEES), Attachment 2.2-a(1)

(2) APPROVAL OF ANNUAL SALARY INCREMENTS, Attachment 2.2-a(2)

(3) APPROVAL OF STUDENT APPOINTMENTS: COLLEGE WORK STUDY (ON- AND OFF-CAMPUS) AND TUTORIAL PROGRAMS, Attachment 2.2-a(3)

(4) AUTHORIZATION OF TRAVEL AND CONFERENCE, Attachment 2.2-a(4)

b. NON ROUTINE

(1) APPROVAL OF APPOINTMENT: HELEN WILDEN, BOOKSTORE CASHIER, BOOKSTORE (REPLACING L. WHITTAKER), EFF: MARCH 26, 1982, Attachment 2.2-b(1)

Mr. Oroz indicated that this appointee has been an hourly worker in the Bookstore.

It was moved by Dr. Dobbs, seconded by Mr. Wells, and passed by the board to approve of the appointment of Helen Wilden, Bookstore Cashier, (replacing L. Whittaker), effective March 26, 1982.

Mrs. Wilden was present and introduced to the board.

Mr. Luria welcomed her to the staff.

2.3 GENERAL PERSONNEL

a. ROUTINE

It was moved by Mrs. Alexander, seconded by Mr. Frank, and passed by the board to approve of the following routine General Personnel item, as described in the attachment:

(1) APPROVAL OF PROFESSIONAL VOLUNTEER STATUS: REBECCA ALLEN, ADN PROGRAM, SPRING 1982 SEMESTER, Attachment 2.3-a(1)

b. NON ROUTINE

No items.
3. STUDENT SERVICES

3.1 REPORT BY ASSOCIATED STUDENTS

Mr. Parvex reported on:
-- Search For Talent Show
-- April Film Festival
-- Senate working with Counseling regarding space in student lounge
-- Senate printing a newsletter of items CHANNELS may not have space for
-- informing students about the proposed Financial Aid cuts
-- George Aldana going back to Washington tomorrow at his own expense on another fact-finding trip

3.2 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION No. 16 (1981-82 REGARDING) FEDERAL REDUCTIONS IN STUDENT FINANCIAL AID FUNDING, Attachment 3.2

This item was deferred at the last board meeting.

Mrs. Alexander, committee chairperson, stated that the resolution was reworded and checked with all members of the Educational Policies Subcommittee and Mr. Ricks. It is a strong resolution and conveys the district's concern about the students on this campus.

Mr. Luria expressed feeling more comfortable with this version.

Mr. Frank commented that this kind of aid helps people get into the working area of society.

It was moved by Mrs. Alexander, seconded by Dr. Dobbs, and passed by the board to adopt Resolution No. 16 (1981-82) regarding federal reductions in student financial aid funding. Upon poll of the members, the vote was as follows:

Ayes: Mr. Luria, Mr. Wells, Mrs. Alexander, Dr. Dobbs, Mr. Frank, Mrs. Powell
Noes: None
Absent: Mr. Ricks

3.3 RECOMMENDATIONS OF AD HOC COMMITTEE ON IMPLEMENTATION OF OPTIONAL PROVISIONS OF AB 1369

Mr. Luria acknowledged Mr. Parvex's request for comments on this item.

Mr. Parvex stated that this issue goes back to 1968. The current portion has to do with implementation of AB 1369 which was passed last September. A precedent is being set for the future. Students are very concerned and a few were present to make their own comments.
3. STUDENT SERVICES - continued:

3.3 continued:

Mr. Sean Steingraeber and Mr. Robert Malone of SBCOE spoke;

-- understand first three items are acceptable, speak to students' right to make and second motions,

-- reason is one primary function to get people into job market - in this rapidly changing market,

-- sound logic exists for parliamentary procedure,

-- urged consideration as student would be given parliamentary precedent for entire campus,

-- discussed role of decision-making,

-- has been concern on Senate level for 2 semesters, involving them more on campus,

-- college has a lot of re-entry students; looking for ways to tap that source,

-- have heard and read some opinions about the seat itself; not being elective,

-- students over age of 18 voted Guy Parvex into his position; consider on that basis; he is effectively speaking for 10,000 students,

-- would like to have that be a credible position,

-- would like to work together to make this a total position,

-- encourage the board to realize the position as a responsible one.

Miss Carey Christensen, Student Trustee from the Ventura District spoke;

-- urged board to consider carefully; enacting AB 1369 with positive vote would move student trustee toward a better trustee position,

-- his position would be taken more seriously; more involved,

-- she has encountered this in her district; since January of this year her students do not feel she is just a token,

-- she has more leverage to voice their opinion rather than her own; she has the right to make motions and seconds;

-- news media began to write her actions up in the paper,

-- 3 to 0 vote with one abstention to enact this statute; students wanted it; Senate President thought it was long overdue, and Presidents of three colleges in her district supported her; members of her board support her,

-- she asked that this courtesy be extended.
3. STUDENT SERVICES - continued:

3.3 continued:

Mr. Parvex continued that a survey among colleges showed that of 42 responses (from 76 districts) 29 have taken no action; 12 of the remaining have allowed motions and seconds and they represent 22 schools.

He added that by giving right to move and second would demonstrate trust that he really represents the college; he could feel the pulse of the campus; he is accountable to ASB officers; talk to students and Superintendent regarding what he is doing; by making a motion would make more discussion - beginning of parliamentary process.

Board members comments/responses included:

--- this is a beginning, could have a right to reappear in a year,
--- valuable to discuss here, other students can hear,
--- value is student trustee can bring items of business before the board, everyone knows these items are on an agenda, but student may bring other items up which is an advantage,
--- not suggesting vote but would be in favor of considering motions and seconds and advisory vote, but it would not count,
--- not sure of value of motion/second without the vote,
--- do allow student to initiate discussion,
--- can't make motion of anything not on the agenda,
--- opinion of attorney general on this, CCCT took position against having the vote; also for that factor they would not be in the parliamentary process; making and seconding motions is part of that; sensitive to that,
--- as elected people, we represent the entire community; everyone has a voice as to who their representatives are,
--- student trustee is elected from a small segment, less than 5% overall,
--- should be very hesitant to initiate any policy to get student into official policy-making vote, don't think the community would feel that it is being represented,
--- reason for having student trustee is to give recognition to his position on issues,
--- very proud of board that they have always maintained close liaison with students at all board meetings,
--- with districts up and down the state not many do that,
--- interested in report by Ventura student trustee,
--- the first three items in the recommendations will go a long way - that is some action being taken,
3. STUDENT SERVICES - continued:

3.3 continued:

-- what is controversial is #4, implies legislative action; does not refer to the person in the position,

-- it encourages other students to work on committees; first three items address the question,

-- trustees feel very sensitive with implication of legislative angle; concern also of other trustees in the state,

-- student trustee was elected from a different constituency; don't take it personally,

-- discussion reminds of collective bargaining going in circles; thoroughly discussed at length in committee; student understands viewpoint of the board members, and now brought to full board and public meeting,

-- think philosophy of motion/second is part of process that should include the vote; see no value to have just part of it with no vote; you can make yourself known, everything you state here is recorded in the minutes; all has been said over and over twice in committee;

-- all board members have been cognizant of students; the initial bill passed was against students having the vote,

-- in this district board has subcommittees - not general procedure in other places, request is being met, participation in those meetings is welcomed, that is where the action is,

-- none of comments or discussion were intended personally against the incumbent,

-- all of this has been gone through so many times.

Mr. Parvex interjected his appreciation of the comments; student trustee is elected and has close contact with other students; he did not know how close the board members were to their constituencies. He expressed thanks for the opportunity to speak and have the other students make presentations.

Mrs. Alexander requested the two issues be separated. She wanted to make a motion on items 1, 2 and 3, and address item #4 as a separate issue.

Mrs. Alexander then addressed what the board's intent was - to have full participation by the student trustee on the board; encourage other students to participate in committees; to have students present; give student trustee higher degree of visibility and more support in the community. By taking this position, this board will be doing something that the majority of the boards in the state have not done - "we will be among the minority of boards doing this." She added that the reason she moved on items 1, 2 and 3 is because she felt this is something this board is united on, the 4th item can be seen as a split on this board. Survey conducted said 12 districts voted for it versus 58 districts who have not.
3. STUDENT SERVICES - continued:

3.3 continued:

She preferred the board to go ahead on this as maybe not a closed issue - not vote to say 'no' or 'yes.' She felt the quality of participation of the student member would depend more on who the person is rather than on this issue; would not want to do anything that would make the incumbent feel slapped down or don't want you to participate; would like to see someone next year of the same quality who would build on the present experience and explore avenues that are present in the system for bringing in real participation.

A motion was then made by Mrs. Alexander, seconded by Mrs. Powell, and passed by the board on a vote of 6 to 0 that:

1) Student member of the board should receive copies of all agendas and minutes of all board subcommittee meetings.

2) Student board member would receive compensation for attending off-campus meetings pertaining to student affairs and which involve participation of the student board member. This could include CCJCA activities and those of other community college groups.

3) The board will invite the student to participate in CLOSED SESSIONS on matters other than personnel items which directly concern students.

Mr. Luria congratulated the students on their presentations and arguments - they were clear, concise and well done.

After the vote, Mr. Luria asked if the board needed to give further consideration to Item 4 in the committee. Mrs. Powell asked if the issue of advisory vote recommended by the students had been discussed in committee.

Ad hoc committee had discussed and felt it was part of the parliamentary process.

Dr. Dobbs indicated that Mr. Parvex had not pursued that strongly during committee, as discussions were more concentrated on the motion/second issue. Mr. Parvex agreed that the vital issue was for gaining the right to make motions and seconds, but he would like consideration of an advisory vote.

Dr. Dobbs also commended the students and the visiting student from Ventura on their presentations. He was very impressed to the point that he wished to table this issue, rather than make it a dead issue, and come back to committee.

Mrs. Powell thanked the Ad Hoc Committee for their efforts and time spent.

Dr. MacDougall concluded that by tabling the item, it will automatically go back to committee for further consideration.

(At this point, 5:30 pm, the agenda was moved up to Item 5.2-b due to attendance of the architect in the audience.)
4. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION

4.1 PRESENTATION OF SLIDE SHOW ON RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM

Dr. MacDougall acknowledged two faculty members, Laura Krawczyk and George Lewis, who have waited (now 6:05 pm) to present their slide show.

Mrs. Krawczyk expressed appreciation for assistance of Jack Shandroff in the AV Department in compiling the show. Purpose of exhibiting to high school students is for awareness of offerings at SBCC.

The slide presentation was shown on the screen. It was in three parts: visual, shadow shuttle program, and visit to hospital. It is hoped that interest will be generated to attract qualified candidates.

Mr. Luria, on behalf of the board, expressed appreciation for the opportunity to view the film, and for the amount of work put into the production. He thanked the faculty members for the presentation.

4.2 APPROVAL OF PROPOSED NEW CLASSES FOR SPRING 1982 TERM, CONTINUING EDUCATION DIVISION, Attachment 4.2

Dr. Bobgan indicated that during the Fall 1981 term and present Winter Term some non-credit classes were offered as credit classes. In the new Spring 1982 Term, there will not be any credit classes.

Of the 500 classes proposed for the Spring Term, about 100 will carry a fee charge. There will be two dinner meetings, one with Admiral Rickover as the speaker on May 22.

In addition to the classes listed on the attachment, there was a handout distributed of eight more classes.

It was moved by Mr. Wells, seconded by Mrs. Alexander, and passed by the board to approve of the proposed new classes for the Spring 1982 Term, Continuing Education Division, as listed on Attachment 4.2 and including the following additional classes:

WORLD, NATIONAL & LOCAL:
  ADMIRAL HYMAN G. RICKOVER
  VISIONS OF UTOPIA
UNDERSTANDING OURSELVES:
  BETWEEN MEN & WOMEN: THE ART OF NOURISHING LOVE
  MARRIAGE & DIVORCE LAWS
  CHOOSING A DEEPER COMMITMENT
  STRESS MANAGEMENT
BUSINESS:
  PLANNING YOUR FINANCIAL FUTURE
SEWING:
  BASIC SEWING SKILLS

5. BUSINESS SERVICES

5.1 ROUTINE

It was moved by Mr. Frank, seconded by Mr. Wells, and passed by the board to approve of the following routine Business Services items, as described in the attachments:
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5. BUSINESS SERVICES - continued:

5.1 ROUTINE - continued:

a. AUTHORIZATION AND/OR RATIFICATION OF PURCHASE ORDERS P12-1786
   THROUGH AND INCLUDING P12-1833 FOR SUPPLIES, EQUIPMENT AND
   SERVICES, Attachment 5.1-a

b. AUTHORIZATION AND/OR RATIFICATION OF PAYMENT OF CLAIMS AS LISTED
   ON Attachment 5.1-b AND SUCH OTHER CLAIMS AS MAY ARISE PRIOR TO
   THE MEETING

c. ACCEPTANCE OF GIFTS ON BEHALF OF THE FOUNDATION FOR SANTA BARBARA
   CITY COLLEGE, Attachment 5.1-c

d. APPROVAL OF APPROPRIATION TRANSFERS #82-022, 045, 046, 048, 055,
   056, 057, 062, 066 and 067 FOR INTERNAL ACTIONS, Attachment 5.1-d

e. APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO 1981-82 MILEAGE ALLOWANCE LIST, WORK
   EXPERIENCE INSTRUCTORS/COORDINATOR FOR 1982 SPRING SEMESTER,
   Attachment 5.1-e

5.2 NON-ROUTINE

a. AUTHORIZATION FOR SALE OF EXISTING INSTRUCTIONAL DATA PROCESSING
   SYSTEM AND APPROVAL TO PREPARE FOR PURCHASE OF A NEW SYSTEM,
   Enclosure #1

   Dr. MacDougall indicated that this was a very important item. It had
   been reviewed by the College Planning Council (CPC) for
   needs due to increased enrollment in the Computer Science Program
   and recommended acquisition of new equipment. That recommendation
   of Option No. 2 (Enclosure #1) was reviewed and endorsed by the
   Board Finance Subcommittee.

   Mr. John Romo stated briefly that it was an issue of how to
   meet the heavy demand. Technology has changed dramatically since
   the proposal that was submitted two years ago. Today's proposal
   can provide a more cost-effective operation.

   Dr. MacDougall added that there is a good possibility to sell
   the existing equipment for an appropriate current market value of
   $80,000. The total project is for $148,000 leaving a balance of
   $68,000. Vendors will be asked to give figures for separate options.
   Final decision will be presented to the board for consideration at
   a future meeting.

   It was moved by Mrs. Alexander, seconded by Dr. Dobbs, and
   passed by the board to authorize staff to sell the existing
   instructional Data Processing system and to approve of development
   of a Request for Proposal (RFP) for a new system.
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5. BUSINESS SERVICES - continued:

5.2 NON-ROUTINE - continued:

TAKEN OUT OF ORDER:

b. APPROVAL OF CONCEPTUAL PLANS, CAMPUS CENTER RENOVATION,
Attachment 5.2-b

Mr. Hanson indicated this item proposes improving the serving area and the kitchen and creating a new Snack Bar area. The architectural firm, who did the previous projects has prepared preliminary plans for presentation today. This was discussed in subcommittee meeting.

Mr. Steve Metsch stated he has met with staff to gather input and facts. Usually a firm project is identified but, in this case, a general area was viewed and discussed. First looked where Snack Bar should be determined by the flow of traffic. The lounge area had been suggested; it is central, has visibility and traffic. Because of the amount of traffic, using that area would be a liability. Secondly, seemed feasible to make use of area in front of Campus Center where the bike racks are instead of the patio area on the ocean side of the dining area. This location would give people a choice when the inside cafeteria is over-crowded. Located outside, the Snack Bar would still be part of the educational process, although Mr. Metsch emphasized that he is only addressing location of the Snack Bar. Also, he is not trying to address the flow of traffic inside or how it changes the program itself. Rather than try to buck the flow of traffic, the outside location would help to flow with traffic and encourage students to use the lawn area out in front. Originally, years ago, the intent was to use the outside area as an eating place.

Mr. Metsch stated that in looking at renovating the serving area in the kitchen, several violations of the current health code (new code since original building was erected) were found. Current code would mean small changes that cost lots of dollars. In any phase of renovation, he thought there would be a need to deal with the code. He was specifically asked if that was his thinking or it would have to be done, as the board is concerned about safety. He responded that the college is a public institution and as such has certain rights. He acknowledged that the kitchen area is the "cleanest" he has seen of commercial kitchens; to his best opinion, dealing with 90 percentile, such as floor tiles—if redone, should put in drains to replace floor sinks.

Another area was the lobby—to put up a partition to shield vending machines. Art work would be on partition—strictly a cosmetic. If lounge is closed down, needs to be a place somewhere for students to sit down.

Mrs. Powell interjected that relocating the vending machines had been discussed with John Dunn. The machines are a money-making

continued on next page ...
MINUTES - Regular Meeting
SBCCD Board of Trustees
March 25, 1982

5. BUSINESS SERVICES - continued:
   5.2 NON-ROUTINE - continued:
      b. continued:

function. If re-located would still produce funds but would be inconvenient for the users. (One place mentioned was the gym.) The members of the Facilities Subcommittee were interested in improving the esthetics of the lobby area.

Guy Parvex commented that Student Senate is reviewing the use of both the student lounge and the lobby area and will be forwarding their recommendations to the board through the Superintendent/President.

Mr. Frank indicated that the question seems to be on agreement of an outside building. Mr. Luria added that there are lots of points that need to be addressed.

Dr. MacDougall stated that key consideration that came up today that the subcommittee was not apprised of is cost. In the committee meeting the figure of $263,000 was given with no cost estimate for equipment, and today's proposal is in the range of over $500,000. That is way over the funds that are available in the Cafeteria account which will fund this project. It would appear appropriate that the matter needs further deliberation by the subcommittee on how to proceed with cost and the available funds, and some other issues that have risen since the committee met.

Mr. Metsch stated that the proposal includes $227,000 for equipment, that in theory does not need to be put in at this point. This equipment is for the proposed renovated serving and kitchen areas on long-range basis. The Snack Bar equipment will be the same that is in use now.

Dr. MacDougall indicated that the most profitable area out of today's discussion that would give direction for the subcommittee is the concept of and support for the outside location for the Snack Bar, or whether the board feels that is not viable as an alternative. Then the subcommittee can look at details, funds available, and come back with a firm recommendation.

Mr. Luria expressed his opinion that he did not like the building outside; it bothered him esthetically; does not conform to the surroundings.

Mrs. Powell agreed with the architect that the student lounge area was not the place for the Snack Bar, and his facts on traffic flow were very compelling. She had the same concerns expressed by Mr. Luria that the proposed building outside would be in an area that is already congested. She needed answers on how the building was going to be, width between it and the existing building, how much traffic flow will be there, etc. She also felt it should go back to the subcommittee.

continued on next page . . .
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5. BUSINESS SERVICES - continued:

5.2 NON-ROUTINE - continued:

b. continued:

Mrs. Alexander agreed, based on what she had heard today, that the idea is to pull the snack service out of that building. She offered an idea for the Educational Policies Committee consideration of use of Campus Center Cafeteria in the afternoon for senior citizens. She also mentioned the arbor areas used at UCSB for food services as an ideal situation.

Dr. Dobbs commented that he is not prepared to vote on the concept; it is not appropriate at this time to go into details but leave the subcommittee work with it.

Mr. Metsch added that for timeline purposes, the Snack Bar should be completed for September. This was for the inside Snack Bar. If an outside building, the timeline is longer.

Mr. Huglin, speaking for John Dunn who had a previous commitment, paraphrased Mr. Dunn's thoughts in "damn the torpedoes and full speed ahead." Many issues arose today that Mr. Dunn did not hear and probably would not have appreciated. Speaking of an important point, displacement, Mr. Huglin emphasized that the Campus Center facility and the Library were originally built for a student population of 2,500. When you go inside the building, you displace some function; be aware of displacement.

Mr. Luria indicated another problem: the budget which has changed and would have to be part of further discussion.

Mr. Metsch added that the figure of $219,000 is for replacement of aging equipment now in use and to bring the whole project up to "top drawer" in new facility. At this point, it is not necessary to spend that kind of money. He maintained that he had been asked to look at the whole picture. To him it looked roughly like a half-million dollar job, but it could be done without any new equipment.

Dr. MacDougall stated that that is a very critical point. He repeated that Mr. Metsch had said previously that the current Snack Bar equipment could be moved and used. He asked Mr. Metsch if he also meant that the existing equipment would be sufficient to run the interior operation of the cafeteria - that we would not have to invest in new equipment. Mr. Metsch indicated that it is his opinion that "that is very close to being true".

Dr. MacDougall indicated that it is a different picture now -- talking about $219,000 that is an optional expenditure.

Mr. Luria then ended the discussion; thanked Mr. Metsch for his presentation; and referred the item back to the Facilities Subcommittee and also to the Educational Policies Subcommittee.
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5. BUSINESS SERVICES – continued:
   5.2 NON-ROUTINE – continued:
   b. continued:

   In conclusion, Mrs. Powell stated that the Facilities Subcommittee did not feel it was their prerogative to proceed without coming to the full board.

   (The time was now 6:05 pm and the agenda was returned to Item 4.1.)

6. GENERAL INFORMATION
   No items

7. ADJOURNMENT

   A motion was made by Mrs. Alexander, seconded by Dr. Dobbs, and passed by the board to change the board meeting dates for the month of April, as follows:

   Cancel: April 8 and April 22
   Schedule: April 15 and April 29

   Upon motion of Mrs. Powell, seconded by Mrs. Alexander, the Board of Trustees adjourned their regular meeting at 6:32 pm.
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