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Introduction:

The Campus Center (HRM) Addition and Bookstore Relocation projects were included in the Santa Barbara City College Master Plan Environmental Impact Report approved in April, 1975. The Notice of Determination was filed May 1, 1975. The Campus Center (HRM) Addition at that time (1974) included a two story 10,050 square foot new structure with 3,327 square feet of renovated area. The Bookstore Relocation was a part of a five building relocation and renovation project.

District staff, in accordance with procedures adopted by the Board of Trustees on May 23, 1974, have prepared the following analysis of the change of scope of these projects to determine whether an EIR or Negative Declaration must be prepared.

Description:

Campus Center (HRM) Addition - - - - - This project has been reduced from 10,050 square feet of new structure and 3,327 square feet of renovation to 5,054 square feet of new structure with no renovation. The current project is a one story wood frame and stucco construction designed to meet the "Energy Conservation Standards" required by the California Administrative Code, Title 24, Part 6, Division T-20, dated June 30, 1977. The structure includes teaching laboratory space for the existing Hotel/Restaurant Management program. Laboratory and service space include:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dining Lab</td>
<td>1,160 ASF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catering Lab</td>
<td>500 ASF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gourmet Lab</td>
<td>700 ASF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dishwashing</td>
<td>220 ASF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refrigeration</td>
<td>70 ASF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freezer</td>
<td>70 ASF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstration Kitchen</td>
<td>1,120 ASF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All lab service areas support the demonstration kitchen and are operated by the students as an integral part of the instructional program. The three dining labs provide instruction in a variety of serving methods from coffee shop, to catering, to gourmet. The project is located immediately adjacent to the lower level Campus Center and is connected to the second level Campus Center by an elevator, which is required to meet handicapped standards. The project will be constructed on the site of the existing Relocatable Bookstore which will be moved.
Bookstore Relocation - - - - This project relocates the existing Relocatable Bookstore approximately 110 feet southwest of its present location into an existing parking lot. An addition of 960 ASF will be added to the existing structure.

Both projects will house existing programs currently operating on campus in crowded facilities.

Determining Significant Effect:

The attached environmental checklist form (Appendix I) indicates the scope change of the two projects under consideration do not have a significant effect on the environment beyond the program considered in the Environmental Impact Report of the Santa Barbara City College Master Plan approved in April 1975. See attached Notice of Determination dated May 1, 1975. The scope changes have reduced the program and subsequently reduced any previous environmental concerns.

Items on the checklist noted with an asterisk (*) are further clarified herein.

General - - - - The projects will be constructed or relocated on existing sites that house structures or parking lots and therefore will have no effect on land forms, drainage, plants, animal life, archeology or historical considerations.

Item 3 h. Water use - - - The Campus Center (HRM) Addition being a new structure will require additional water over current use. The project will not have restroom facilities and will use water conservation devices on equipment. Water demand will be below amounts considered in the previous 1975 proposal. The Bookstore Relocation project will not require water service. Water service is existing on campus and adequate for project needs.

Item 13 b. Existing parking - - - The Bookstore Relocation project will eliminate 25 parking spaces on the East Campus Mesa area. The District recently (1976) constructed a new 372 car parking facility on the West Campus Mesa area, which added to existing parking facilities is adequate for current college needs. The East Campus Mesa parking is restricted to faculty, staff, and handicapped. The East Mesa parking requirements can be met with the additional new staff parking on the West Campus and the existing overflow lot at the intersection of Cliff Drive and Loma Alta.

Item 13 d. Circulation patterns - - - Pedestrian patterns will be slightly modified by the configuration of these two projects. The change is beneficial however. Existing circulation patterns will be expanded and entries for the Campus Center Addition and Bookstore will open into a common courtyard creating an identifiable meeting/resting area. Existing delivery patterns will not be changed as a result of the projects.
Item 14 a. Fire protection - - - Access to the new or existing facilities will not be impeded by these projects. The addition of this 5,010 square foot project to the campus should not require any need for new services.

Item 15 a. Fuel or energy use - - - The Campus Center (HRM) Addition will require additional use of natural gas and electricity. The additional demand is to be mitigated by current conservation measures by District maintenance in load shedding and limiting the use of facilities.

Item 16 a. Natural gas - - - Conservation measures listed in item 15 a are anticipated to reduce natural gas demand so the amounts will be insignificant. No new systems or services will be required.

Item 16 c. - - - Water consumption will be mitigated by selection of equipment that will conserve water. There will be no additional demand for landscape sprinkling.

Item 16 d. Sewer - - - Existing sewer capacity is adequate for this project.

Item 16 F. Solid waste - - - A slight increase of solid waste will occur but should not be significant.

Item 18 Aesthetics - - - The Campus Center (HRM) Addition will be consistent with the master plan architectural vocabulary previously approved. Exterior walls will be textured plaster with limited use of mission roof tile. The simple lines will compliment the existing Campus Center. - - - The Bookstore is an existing relocatable structure and will maintain its present character. The siting of these facilities will not adversely affect the view of any area surrounding the campus.
APPENDIX I

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM
(To be completed by Lead Agency)

I. BACKGROUND

1. Name of Proponent: Santa Barbara Community College District
2. Address and Phone Number of Proponent:
   721 Cliff Drive
   Santa Barbara, California 93109
   (805) 965-0681
3. Date of Checklist Submitted: November 22, 1977
4. Agency Requiring Checklist: Santa Barbara Community College District
5. Name of Proposal, if applicable: CAMPUS CENTER (HRM) ADDITION AND BOOKSTORE RELOCATION

II. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS
(Explanations of all "yes" and "maybe" answers are required on attached sheets.)

1. Earth. Will the proposal result in:
   a. Unstable earth conditions or changes in geologic substructures? _______ MAYBE _______ NO X
   b. Disruptions, displacements, compaction or overcovering of the soil? _______ MAYBE _______ NO X
   c. Change in topography or ground surface relief features? _______ MAYBE _______ NO X
   d. The destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic or physical features? _______ MAYBE _______ NO X
   e. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site? _______ MAYBE _______ NO X
   f. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet or lake? _______ MAYBE _______ NO X
2. **Air.** Will the proposal result in:

   a. Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?  
      [YES] [MAYBE] [NO]  
      [X] 

   b. The creation of objectionable odors?  
      [YES] [MAYBE] [NO]  
      [X] 

   c. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?  
      [YES] [MAYBE] [NO]  
      [X] 

3. **Water.** Will the proposal result in:

   a. Changes in currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?  
      [YES] [MAYBE] [NO]  
      [X] 

   b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?  
      [YES] [MAYBE] [NO]  
      [X] 

   c. Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?  
      [YES] [MAYBE] [NO]  
      [X] 

   d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?  
      [YES] [MAYBE] [NO]  
      [X] 

   e. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity?  
      [YES] [MAYBE] [NO]  
      [X] 

   f. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters?  
      [YES] [MAYBE] [NO]  
      [X] 

   g. Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?  
      [YES] [MAYBE] [NO]  
      [X]
h. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?

1. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal waves?

4. Plant Life. Will the proposal result in:

a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?

b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?

c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?

d. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?

5. Animal Life. Will the proposal result in:

a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?

b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?

c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?

d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?
6. **Noise.** Will the proposal result in:
   a. Increases in existing noise levels?  
      
   b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?
      
7. **Light and Glare.** Will the proposal produce new light or glare?
   
8. **Land Use.** Will the proposal result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area?
   
9. **Natural Resources.** Will the proposal result in:
   a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?
      
   b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource?
      
10. **Risk of Upset.** Does the proposal involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?
   
11. **Population.** Will the proposal alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of an area?
   
12. **Housing.** Will the proposal affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?
   
13. **Transportation/Circulation.** Will the proposal result in:
   a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?
b. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?

- YES
- MAYBE
- NO X

c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?

- YES
- MAYBE
- NO X

d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?

- YES
- MAYBE
- NO X

e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?

- YES
- MAYBE
- NO X

f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians?

- YES
- MAYBE
- NO X

14. Public Services. Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:

* a. Fire protection?

- YES
- MAYBE
- NO X

b. Police protection?

- YES
- MAYBE
- NO X

c. Schools?

- YES
- MAYBE
- NO X

d. Parks or other recreational facilities?

- YES
- MAYBE
- NO X

e. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?

- YES
- MAYBE
- NO X

f. Other governmental services?

- YES
- MAYBE
- NO X

15. Energy. Will the proposal result in:

* a. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?

- YES
- MAYBE
- NO X

b. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy?

- YES
- MAYBE
- NO X
16. **Utilities.** Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>MAYBE</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Power or natural gas?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Communications systems?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Water?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Sewer or septic tanks?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Storm water drainage?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Solid waste and disposal?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. **Human Health.** Will the proposal result in:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>MAYBE</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Exposure of people to potential health hazards?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18. **Aesthetics.** Will the proposal result in the obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>MAYBE</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19. **Recreation.** Will the proposal result in an impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>MAYBE</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20. **Archeological/Historical.** Will the proposal result in an alteration of a significant archeological or historical site, structure, object or building?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>MAYBE</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
21. **Mandatory Findings of Significance.**

(a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

   [ ]

   [ ]

   [X]

b. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)

   [ ]

   [X]

c. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the environment is significant.)

   [X]

d. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

   [X]

---

**III. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION**
IV. DETERMINATION
(To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

☑ I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

☐ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described on an attached sheet have been added to the project. A NEGATIVE DECLARATION WILL BE PREPARED.

☐ I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

Date December 1, 1977

Dr. D. K. Sorsabal
Assistant Secretary/Clerk

(Signature)

Board of Trustees
For Santa Barbara Community College District
NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

TO: Secretary for Resources  
1416 Ninth Street, Room 1311  
Sacramento, California 95814

FROM: (Lead Agency)  
Santa Barbara Community College District  
721 Cliff Drive  
Santa Barbara, California 93109

County Clerk  
County of Santa Barbara

P.O. Drawer CC  
Santa Barbara, California 93102

SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21103 or 21152 of the Public Resources Code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Santa Barbara City College Master Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Clearinghouse Number (If submitted to State Clearinghouse)</td>
<td>95031809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Person</td>
<td>Telephone Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Trent</td>
<td>(805) 965-0581 Ext. 295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Location</td>
<td>721 Cliff Drive - Santa Barbara, California 93109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Project Description: The SBCC Master Plan is for the development of facilities necessary to meet District educational goals and objectives for existing and projected enrollment. The rate of development of the multi-phased plan will reflect actual enrollment needs of the community. Development will be accommodated on the existing 42.69 acre campus and 33.47 acre adjacent site recently purchased by the District.

This is to advise that the Santa Barbara Community College District (Lead Agency) has made the following determinations regarding the above described project:

1. The project has been approved by the Lead Agency.

2. The project will have a significant effect on the environment.

3. An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA. A copy of the Negative Declaration is attached.

Date Received for Filing

Signature  Dr. Glenn G. Gooder  
Secretary/Clerk to the Board of Trustees  
Title  May 1, 1975  
Date