ADJOURNED MEETING OF BOARD OF TRUSTEES

SANTA BARBARA JUNIOR COLLEGE DISTRICT

March 26, 1968 - 4:00 p.m. - Board Room

1. GENERAL FUNCTIONS

1.1 Call to Order

An adjourned meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara Junior College District was called to order by President Wells on Tuesday, March 26, 1968 at 4:00 p.m. in the Board Room at Santa Barbara City College, Santa Barbara, California.

1.2 Roll Call

Members present:
Mrs. Kathryn O. Alexander (entered meeting during item 2.3)
Mr. Wilbur L. Fillippini
Mr. James R. Garvin
Mrs. Ann Gutshall
Mrs. Dorothy N. Meigs
Mr. Benjamin P. J. Wells

Members absent:
Mr. Frank

Others present for all or a portion of the meeting included:
Dr. Robert C. Rockwell, Superintendent-President and Secretary-Clerk to the Board of Trustees
Mr. Lorenzo Dall'Armi, Administrative Dean, Business Services
Mr. M. L. (Pat) Huglin, Administrative Dean, Instruction
Mrs. Marie Lantagne, Administrative Dean, Student Personnel
Mr. Spencer Blickenstaff, Assistant Dean, Evening College
Dr. Martin Bobgan, Assistant Dean, Adult Education
Mr. Lisle C. Bressin, Assistant Dean, Admissions and Records
Mr. James E. Foxx, Assistant Dean, Technical-Vocational
Mrs. Margaret F. McGillin, Assistant Dean, Health Occupations
Mr. Gary Mouck, Administrative Assistant, Research and Development
Mr. Charles F. Rheinschmidt, Assistant Dean, Student Activities
Mr. Paul Ash, Coordinator, Adult Education
Mr. Henry Bagish, President, Academic Senate
Mr. Calvin H. Reynolds, President, SBCC Instructors' Association
Mr. Theron H. Barnes, Audio-Visual Assistant and Representative of Chapter 289, California School Employees' Association
Mrs. Louise H. Thornton, Secretary to Superintendent
Mr. Douglas Gerber, student, tape recorder operator
A number of other SBCC staff members
Mr. Gene W. Patterson, Director of Data Processing, Santa Barbara City Schools
Mr. Thomas Andrews, Supervisor of Systems Engineers for IBM
Mr. Walker Tompkins, staff writer, Santa Barbara News-Press

Mr. Wells declared that a quorum was present and ordered that the Board proceed with the regular order of business.

1.3 Welcome to Guests

Mr. Wells welcomed guests and staff members present and invited comments from the audience during the course of the meeting.

1.4 Minutes

It was moved by Mr. Garvin, seconded by Mrs. Meigs, and carried unanimously, to approve the minutes for the regular meeting of March 14, 1968.

1.5 Hearing of Citizens and Petitions

None.

1.6 Communications

a. Letters from Chabot College and Grossmont College regarding State Support for Junior Colleges

Referring to attachment 1.6-a, Dr. Rockwell said these letters had been included in the agenda because they are representative of a number of such expressions of concern being received from various junior college district with regard to a proposal introduced in the 1967 Legislative Session to provide full support for the operation of junior colleges by the State. Chabot and Grossmont colleges' letters urge that immediate action be taken to reject all proposals of this kind.

Dr. Rockwell suggested that, as a matter of policy, no resolution be adopted by the Board at this time; rather, it would seem advisable to wait because the California Junior College Association's Legislative Committee will take a formal stand and will contact the Board's legislative representative. This procedure will assure
more united action. Mr. Wells added that the California School Boards Association will probably do the same.

b. **Letter from Mr. Caspar W. Weinberger, Director of Finance, Department of Finance, State of California**

This letter (please see attachment 1.6-b) calls attention to an erroneous estimate of the costs on Assembly Bill No. 272 adopted by the Legislature in the 1967 Session. It is possible, Mr. Weinberger says, that the error may approach $82,000,000 more in General Fund money than the revenue provided for the fiscal year 1968-69. Thus, although every effort will be made to pay for the increases in AB 272, it is essential that school districts realize that this will mean in effect a 6.7 per cent decrease from State aid being received this school year. He concludes by saying that, in planning budgets for the 1968-69 school year, school districts should consider these deficits in support.

Mr. Garvin stated that the Board is aware of the gravity of this error; nothing can be done now and the Board will have to be very concerned in its budgeting. Dr. Rockwell said he had just received a letter from Mr. Leroy F. Greene, Chairman, Assembly Committee on Education, which states that the State Constitution does not permit that the state school fund be in deficit because of a problem in the General Fund. He opines that the shortage of $82,000,000 is in the General Fund, not in the school fund; that it is regrettable that the school boards must be faced with the uncertainties caused by Mr. Weinberger's letter; and that he will extend every effort to see that the proposed bill does not pass.

Dr. Rockwell also read from the March 18, 1968 edition of the *Sacramento Education Legislative Letter*, mailed from the School
Administrators' Legislative Office by Mr. Gordon H. Winton, Jr., and containing comments on this situation. Mr. Garvin said he had read similar information in the CSBA publication, *Sacramento Report*.

Mrs. Meigs inquired where the cutting was supposed to be done as far as junior colleges were concerned. Dr. Rockwell replied that, although it wasn't positive that junior colleges would be affected, it would doubtless be in the area of ADA apportionments.

2. **PERSONNEL**

2.1 **Certificated Personnel Assignments**

It was moved by Mr. Garvin, seconded by Mr. Fillippini, and carried unanimously, to approve personnel assignments for certificated staff as recommended in attachment 2.1 and the addenda.

2.2 **Classified Personnel Assignments**

It was moved by Mr. Garvin, seconded by Mrs. Meigs, and unanimously carried, to approve personnel assignments for classified staff as recommended in attachment 2.2 and the addenda.

2.3 **Approval of Change in Sabbatical Leave Policy**

Senate Bill 1107 authorized school boards to reduce from seven to six the number of years of service required to become eligible for a sabbatical leave. The Academic Senate submitted to the Superintendent its recommendation that this change be made in the Santa Barbara Junior College District policy, and Dr. Rockwell recommended that the change be approved by the Board of Trustees.

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini and seconded by Mr. Garvin that the Santa Barbara Junior College District's sabbatical leave policy be changed so that the number of years of service required to become eligible for a sabbatical leave be reduced from seven to six.
To Mrs. Meigs' query if this would mean increased expense to the District, Dr. Rockwell said it would not add very much to move everything up one year. Mr. Huglin added that the 5 per cent limit on number of leaves granted per year would be in force in either case.

(Mrs. Alexander entered the meeting at this point.)

Mr. Fillippini's motion was voted on and carried unanimously.

3. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION

3.1 Approval of Installation of Computer on Campus for 1968-69 College Year

The Citizens' Advisory Committee on Data Processing, the Data Processing Committee (faculty), and the Academic Senate Budget Committee, as well as the Business Division of the College, have all recommended that Santa Barbara City College install a computer on campus for the 1968-69 college year, for both instructional and administrative purposes. The Superintendent and administrative staff concur with this recommendation. Attachment 3.1 outlines the proposal from a financial and service standpoint.

At President Wells' request, Mr. Huglin spoke on some points contained in the recommendation. He emphasized the fine cooperation given by the data processing staff of the City Schools but pointed out that the latter simply does not have the added time that would be required for instructional use of the equipment for the College's students, over and above the present institutional use of the services. It will be possible to continue use of the City Schools' equipment for admissions records, payroll, accounting, and purchasing services; however, the College also wishes to utilize a computer for scheduling of classes, and streamlining the student personnel, business, and library services on campus.
By fully enclosing the three-walled sheltered space atop the present science building and using it for a classroom, a relocatable building could then be freed and converted to housing for the computer, Mr. Huglin added.

It was moved by Mr. Garvin and seconded by Mr. Fillippini, to install a computer on the Santa Barbara City College campus, as outlined in attachment 3.1, for the 1968-69 college year, at such time as funding is assured.

Mr. Fillippini then asked when said funding might be available. Dr. Rockwell said that the picture had changed somewhat with the new situation regarding State support; those making the study feel it can be funded, but Dr. Rockwell suggested caution about going all out.

Answering Mrs. Meigs' question as to whether the computer would be purchased outright or leased, Dr. Rockwell said it would be leased; Mr. Dall'Armi explained that it would be a discounted lease arrangement because of IBM's generosity with respect to use of the computer for instructional purposes. Dr. Rockwell told the Board that the District could purchase the computer, but computers change so rapidly that it would appear more efficient to lease.

Mr. Fillippini asked if leasing the proposed computer would reduce costs with the City Schools, taking into consideration the administrative use. Mr. Dall'Armi said this was true; the District would have to continue utilizing the City Schools' computer as long as the District contracts for payroll, accounting, and purchasing services only, but the admissions and instruction functions could be moved on campus. The District would probably reduce by three-fourths or four-fifths its present computer expenses with the City Schools.

Mr. Garvin's motion was then voted on and carried unanimously.
3.2 Adoption of Resolution No. 13 - Request for Renewal of Credential for Mr. Fulignot

The State Division of Credentials requires that renewal be approved for the granting of an Adult Education credential to Mr. Guido Fulignot on the basis of his "outstanding eminence", in a resolution similar to that passed by the Board last year; the Superintendent recommends approval.

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini and seconded by Mrs. Alexander to adopt Resolution No. 13, granting the Standard Designated Subjects Teaching Credential to Mr. Guido Fulignot on the basis of his being "a Person of Outstanding Eminence." Roll call vote was taken, as follows:

Ayes:  Mrs. Alexander  
       Mr. Fillippini  
       Mr. Garvin  
       Mrs. Gutshall  
       Mrs. Meigs  
       Mr. Wells  

Nays:   None.

Not voting: None.

Absent: Mr. Frank.

Mr. Garvin commented that the District was fortunate to have such a fine, nationally-acclaimed artist on its staff.

4. COLLEGE PLANTS AND PROPERTY

4.1 Report of Board Subcommittee on Planning; Approval of Recommended Priority Schedule for Building

Mr. Fillippini, Chairman of the Board's Subcommittee on Planning, reported that, after lengthy study, the Committee found itself in agreement with the Mesa Campus building priorities for the future, as presented in attachment 4.1 compiled by the administrative staff and division chairmen. This recommended priority schedule is submitted on
the basis of two assumptions: (a) the District will be able to proceed with obtaining bids for the Life Science-Geology Building; and (b) the Legislature will allow Senate Bill No. 691 (passed in the last session) to remain unchanged.

He said that the Committee is approving the schedule in order to give direction to the administration to proceed, with the understanding that the Board may change it if desired or necessary. For instance, he continued, if grants to finance the Building Trades Building became available, then that building could be moved forward on the Committee's list of recommendations for adoption.

Mr. Garvin moved that the Mesa Campus building priorities be adopted as presented in attachment 4.1, subject to the following limitations: (a) that the District will be able to proceed with obtaining bids for the Life Science-Geology Building, and (b) that the Legislature will allow Senate Bill No. 691 (passed in the last session) to remain unchanged; said adoption being an amendment to the District's 10-year plan and master plan submitted earlier and including establishing priorities for planning of the program.

Mrs. Alexander recalled her statement, made at a previous meeting, that how and where the money comes from is vitally connected with what the money goes for. She said she did not believe the Board knew enough yet about where the money is coming from to set priorities.

Mr. Fillippini commented that the Board members were making a commitment only to themselves in planning; they were not necessarily "giving the green light" to drawings and contracts. Each of these steps must be done into individually.

Mrs. Alexander further remarked that she felt if this report
represented orderly planning and the total amount were available, she
would accept this report; but she was not willing to accept it now if
she should want to turn down the first building on the list when it
came time to make a choice.

Mr. Garvin noted that the schedule was necessary to keep the
District's 10-year plan up to date from year to year.

Mr. Dall'Armi said that the request for setting priorities came
from the State Department of Education after the 10-year plan was
set up and that that Department has indicated it would not give money
to construct all the buildings at one time. Automatically, the Board
is in a position to decide priorities later and will be able to
interchange without delay. Whatever is offered, the Board must weigh
the amount of state and federal grants and matching funds against
what is most needed on campus.

Mr. Fillippini said if the District gets past the preliminary
planning, it would still have to make decisions on individual buildings
and would take separate action in each case. The Board may set aside
one building originally planned for a certain date and fill a more
immediate need that has arisen in the meantime.

Mr. Dall'Armi explained that this adoption would be an amendment
to what has been sent in already to the State; this will continue to
be the case and the State expects it. Completion of even the first
phase would be contingent upon the $65,000,000 statewide bond election
in June 1968 and upon other funding.

Dr. Rockwell stated that the Board will have a problem in authorizing
a permissive tax, regardless of what building is under consideration.
He said he felt that the $65,000,000 would be spread so thin that the
District would not receive a great deal of it. Therefore, the Board
doubtless will have the option of selecting certain buildings.
Mr. Fillippini commented that he was impressed by the tremendous amount of work put into all the planning. He said he had expressed the concern of many citizens who are unhappy about the Building Trades Building priority but he felt that administratively its position on the schedule was justified.

Mr. Fillippini then seconded Mr. Garvin's motion which was voted upon and carried unanimously.

5. BUSINESS AND FINANCE

5.1 Purchase Order Reports

It was moved by Mr. Garvin, seconded by Mrs. Alexander, and carried unanimously, to authorize and/or ratify the purchase of supplies, equipment, and services on purchase orders 14660 through 14710, and R numbers 2023 through 2025. (Please see attachment 5.1-a.)

5.2 Payment of Claims

It was moved by Mrs. Meigs, seconded by Mr. Fillippini, and/or carried unanimously, to authorize and Ratify the payment of claims as recommended in attachments 5.2-a and 5.2-b and the addenda.

5.3 Report on Investment of District Funds in U. S. Treasury Obligations (Bank of Cooperatives Debentures)

The information presented in agenda item 5.3 was for informational purposes only. Mr. Garvin inquired if there were a chance for an investment period longer than one month; Mr. Dell'Armi said the District will not have Reserve Funds to invest but will continue to invest the balances in the General Fund.

6. STUDENT PERSONNEL

No report.

At this point, Mrs. Alexander said she wished to compliment the staff
and adviser of the student newspaper, *Channels*, on the contents and appearance of the paper. Mr. Huglin said he would convey the message to Mr. Canton, adviser.

7. **GENERAL INFORMATION**

7.1 **Board Visit to Carpinteria**

Dr. Rockwell reported that, during a recent conversation with Dr. Carty, Superintendent of the Carpinteria Unified School District, the latter said any time the Board wished to visit the Carpinteria facilities would be satisfactory; if not everyone could come on the same day, he would be glad to conduct additional tours. The Board agreed to meet at Carpinteria High School, 1400 North Linden Avenue, on Tuesday, April 23, 1968 at noon, for luncheon followed by a tour.

At this point, Mr. Huglin told the Board that Mr. Atkinson had been released from the hospital and was doing very nicely at home.

7.2 **Report by Mr. Garvin on Annexation of Channel Islands**

Mr. Garvin reported on a recent meeting of the County Committee on School District Organization, which he attended in his capacity as the Board's representative on annexation matters. He said three alternatives were mentioned: (a) annexation of the islands to a unified district; (b) formation of a district comprised of the islands themselves (if a college district were formed, a college would have to be built); and (c) no annexation at all. The Committee decided to refer the matter to the State Department of Education and the new California Governing Board for Community Colleges, rather than to the County Board of Supervisors. He added that enabling legislation would have to be passed, and that the islands' development might result in income for school purposes but that
this would probably not make up for the trouble and expense involved in the problems of annexing. In the future, if a school is required on the islands, this topic will be brought up again. No action is required of the local Board, Mr. Garvin said. He then gave the Superintendent copies of legislative bill digests he had received as the Board's legislative representative.

7.3 Proposed Constitution for the California Junior College Association

This item was presented for information only. After two years of study, the Committee on New Directions of the California Junior College Association has drafted a new constitution which will be presented for adoption at the spring conference April 7-9, 1968. (A copy of the proposed new constitution is available for reference in the Superintendent's office.)

Under the new constitution, three segments will be represented: governing boards, teachers, and administrators. Dr. Rockwell said that he, personally, recommended approval; then he asked the Board for its feeling, to guide him in casting the College's institutional vote. Mr. Reynolds, President of the SBCC Instructors' Association, said the constitution had been studied and approved by the faculty.

The current constitution provides for one vote per institution, Dr. Rockwell explained. If the new constitution is approved, member colleges will have three voting delegates, one from each of the three segments, effective July 1, 1968. Between April 9 and June 1, 1968 (if the vote on the new constitution is favorable), each college will elect three representatives to a Delegate Assembly. Each of the nine regions will convene a Delegate Assembly under the jurisdiction of a current member of the CJCA Board of Directors or a convener appointed by the President of CJCA. Each regional Delegate
Assembly will elect members to the Board of Directors.

Mr. Garvin asked if any of this new constitution would be affected by the new California Governing Board for Community Colleges, and Dr. Rockwell replied that CJCA has no connection with the new Governing Board.

Mrs. Meigs said she favored the proposed new constitution; no objections were expressed by the other trustees.

8. ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mr. Garvin, and unanimously carried, to adjourn the meeting at 5:10 p.m. to a meeting on Thursday, April 18, 1968 at 4:00 p.m. in the Board Room of Santa Barbara City College, Santa Barbara, California, instead of to the regular date, April 11, 1968, which falls during the spring vacation period.

Attest:  
Mr. Benjamin P. J. Wells  
President, Board of Trustees  
Santa Barbara Junior College District

Dr. Robert C. Rockwell  
Superintendent-President and Secretary-Clerk to the Board of Trustees  
Santa Barbara Junior College District

Approved by Board of Trustees on April 18, 1968