REGULAR MEETING OF BOARD OF TRUSTEES
SANTA BARBARA JUNIOR COLLEGE DISTRICT

January 25, 1968 - 4:00 p.m. - Board Room

1. GENERAL FUNCTIONS

1.1 Call to Order

A regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara
Junior College District was called to order by President Wells on
Thursday, January 25, 1968 at 4:00 p.m. in the Board Room at Santa
Barbara City College, Santa Barbara, California.

1.2 Roll Call

Members present:
Mrs. Kathryn O. Alexander
Mr. Wilbur L. Fillippini
Mr. Sidney R. Frank
Mr. James R. Garvin
Mrs. Dorothy N. Meigs
Mr. Benjamin P. J. Wells

Members absent:
None

NOTE: Mrs. Winifred H. Lancaster arrived at the meeting following
acceptance of her resignation from the Board of Trustees, in item
1.6-a, and took a place in the audience as a citizen.

Others present for all or a portion of the meeting included:
Dr. Robert C. Rockwell, Superintendent-President and Secretary-
Clerk to the Board of Trustees
Mr. Lorenzo Dall'Armi, Administrative Dean, Business Services
Mr. M. L. (Pat) Huglin, Administrative Dean, Instruction
Mrs. Marie Lantagne, Administrative Dean, Student Personnel
Mr. Selmer O. Wake, Director-Administrative Dean, Adult Education
Mr. Spencer Blickenstaff, Assistant Dean, Evening College
Mr. Lisle C. Bresslin, Assistant Dean, Admissions and Records
Mr. James E. Foxx, Assistant Dean, Technical/Vocational
Mr. Gary Mouck, Administrative Assistant, Research and Development
Mr. Charles F. Rheinschmidt, Assistant Dean, Student Activities
Mr. Paul Ash, Coordinator, Adult Education
Mr. Maurice E. Ryan, Coordinator, Adult Education
Mr. Henry H. Bagish, President, Academic Senate
Mr. Calvin Reynolds, President, SBCC Instructors' Association
Mr. Theron Barnes, Representative of Chapter 289, California School
Employees' Association, and Audio-Visual Assistant
Mrs. Louise H. Thornton, Secretary to Superintendent
Mrs. Katherine McCloskey, Santa Barbara News-Press staff writer
Mr. Wells declared that a quorum was present and ordered that the Board proceed with the regular order of business.

1.3 Welcome to Guests

Mr. Wells welcomed guests and staff members present and invited comments from the audience during the course of the meeting.

1.4 Minutes

It was moved by Mr. Frank, seconded by Mr. Garvin, and unanimously carried, to approve the minutes for the regular meeting of January 11, 1968.

1.5 Hearing of Citizens and Petitions

None.

1.6 Communications

a. Letter of Resignation from Mrs. Winifred H. Lancaster; Resolution No. 12, Commending Her Services; Appointment of Committee to Screen Candidates to Fill Board Vacancy

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mrs. Alexander, and unanimously carried, to accept the resignation of Mrs. Winifred H. Lancaster from the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara Junior College District, effective immediately, as requested by her in a letter received by the Superintendent on January 24, 1968. Included in the motion was a request that a letter of recognition of this honor and of appreciation for her services be sent to Mrs. Lancaster. It was noted that the reason for her resignation was her appointment to the new California Board of Governors for Community Colleges and that the local Board is proud that one of its members was chosen for this position. Other Board members concurred with Mr. Frank's suggestion that this be written in the form of a resolution to be sent to Mrs. Lancaster and to be inserted in the minutes. Therefore, the following resolution has been mailed to Mrs. Lancaster and is hereby inserted in these minutes:
Resolution No. 12
1967-68

COMMENDATION OF MRS. LANCASTER FOR SERVICES RENDERED TO THE
SANTA BARBARA JUNIOR COLLEGE DISTRICT

WHEREAS, Mrs. Winifred H. Lancaster was elected to membership on the Board
of Trustees of the Santa Barbara Junior College District for a four-year
term, beginning July 1, 1965; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Lancaster has been accorded high honor by being appointed to
membership on the new California Board of Governors for Community Colleges
by Governor Reagan; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Lancaster has served conscientiously as a trustee of the
District through its first two and one-half years of operation,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the members of the Board of Trustees
record, in the official minutes of this meeting, recognition of the
contributions made by Mrs. Lancaster to the development and support of
the Santa Barbara Junior College District, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the members of the Board of Trustees wish her
well in her new position.

Passed and adopted by the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara Junior
College District, this 25th day of January 1968, by the following votes:

Ayes: 
  Mrs. Kathryn O. Alexander
  Mr. Wilbur L. Fillippini
  Mr. Sidney R. Frank
  Mr. James R. Garvin
  Mrs. Dorothy N. Meigs
  Mr. Benjamin P. J. Wells

Nays: None

Not voting: None

Absent: None

Benjamin P. J. Wells, President
Board of Trustees
Santa Barbara Junior College District

ATTEST:

Robert C. Rockwell, Secretary-Clerk
to the Board of Trustees
Mr. Wells then appointed the following committee to compile and study a list of any names that may be suggested to the Board as candidates to fill Mrs. Lancaster's unexpired term (which runs through June 30, 1969): Mr. Frank (chairman), Mrs. Meigs, Mr. Fillippini.

Under the provisions set forth in the Education Code, the Board has 60 days in which to fill the vacancy. Candidates for the position must reside in Area 3, the geographical area encompassed by the Santa Barbara Elementary District.

Mrs. Lancaster, who had entered the meeting at this point and had taken a place in the audience, expressed her enjoyment at working with the Board and said she was sorry to leave the group.

Mr. Frank, speaking as chairman of the newly-appointed screening committee, said that it would be a difficult job to find someone with as excellent qualifications as Mrs. Lancaster's for the position. He said the Board would consider this a non-partisan post; criteria will be candidates' interest in the furtherance and improvement of the District, without regard to political affiliation, race, creed, or color.

b. Letter from Edwin Burke, President of the Santa Barbara County School Boards Association

Mr. Burke's letter reminded Board members of the Association's dinner meeting on March 1, 1968 at the Santa Maria Club. Mr. Wells added that among the speakers would be Dr. Van de Water and Mr. Winton. Those planning to attend should make their reservations through the Superintendent's office not later than February 21, 1968.

Mr. Burke's letter also requested that the Board appoint (1) a legislative representative to serve on the County Association's Legislative Committee and to represent the Board in dealing with the California School Boards Association's Legislative Relations Unit;
and (2) a representative to the County Association's Salary Study Committee. It was moved by Mr. Frank, seconded by Mrs. Alexander, and carried unanimously, that Mr. Garvin be named the Board's legislative representative. It was moved by Mr. Frank, seconded by Mr. Garvin, and carried unanimously, that Mr. Wells serve as the Board's representative on the County Association's Salary Study Committee.

2. PERSONNEL

2.1 Certificated Personnel Assignments

It was moved by Mr. Garvin, seconded by Mrs. Meigs, and carried unanimously, to approve personnel assignments for certificated staff as recommended in attachment 2.1 and the addenda, correcting Mr. Elias' assignment to "Physical Science" rather than "Social Science" as shown in the addenda.

2.2 Classified Personnel Assignments

It was moved by Mr. Garvin, seconded by Mr. Fillippini, and carried unanimously, to approve personnel assignments for classified staff as recommended in attachment 2.2 and the addenda.

3. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION

No report.

4. COLLEGE PLANTS AND PROPERTY

4.1 Board's Subcommittee on Planning Requested to Report at February 8, 1968 Meeting on Proposal for Complying with Assembly Bill 450; Appointment of Mr. Wells to Fill Vacancy on Subcommittee

It was moved by Mrs. Alexander, seconded by Mr. Garvin, and carried unanimously, that the Board's Subcommittee on Planning report at the February 8, 1968 Board meeting on a proposal for complying with Assembly Bill 450. The bill requires certain action by governing boards with respect to inspection of and/or rehabilitation or replacement of pre-Field Act school buildings. Since several of the Adult
Education buildings were constructed prior to the time of the Field Act, it will be necessary for the Board to select one or more of the alternatives spelled out in AB 450.

Mr. Dall'Armì gave each Board member a copy of changes in the Education Code; this publication should be of help to the Subcommittee on Planning, in particular, he said.

Mr. Fillippini noted that Mrs. Lancaster's resignation left a vacancy on the Subcommittee on Planning, and recalled that Mr. Wells had indicated an interest in serving on it himself when he appointed the committee on January 11, 1968. It was agreed by the Board that Mr. Wells should fill the vacancy; thus, the Board's new Subcommittee on Planning is composed of Mr. Fillippini (chairman), Mr. Garvin, and Mr. Wells.

4.2 Adoption of Resolutions No. 10 and No. 11, as Required by State Department of Education to Accompany Projects Submitted Under Terms of SB 691

Projects to be submitted for consideration in the first funding phase of SB 691 (planning and construction of junior college facilities) are due in Sacramento shortly after February 1, 1968. Each application must be accompanied by a resolution of the governing board of the district. District projects selected on the basis of lists of buildings tentatively approved by the Board at an earlier meeting were grouped into two categories: (a) Construction (Physical Education Complex), Resolution No. 10; and (b) Planning Through Working Drawings (Foreign Language and Psychology, Social Science Offices, Lecture and Communication Center, Fine Arts, Theater and Music), Resolution No. 11.

Discussion opened with Mrs. Alexander's query as to the consequences of sending in said resolutions. Dr. Rockwell replied that these are still paper compliances, with much freedom for adjustment within them, and are part of the 10-year plan prepared by Mr. Mouck. At Dr. Rockwell's
request, Mr. Mouck reviewed the plan (which must be re-submitted each year). Each of the applications for these projects includes a narrative description of the facility and basis of planning; a series of forms breaking down uses of the space; and space adequacy projections showing student contact hours in each discipline.

Mr. Fillippini asked about including the industrial arts building at this time. Mr. Mouck explained that he had learned that construction was possible for buildings for which there are working drawings; only the life science-geology, health occupations, and physical education facilities were in this category. He said that in 1970-71, the business education and vocational trades buildings could be taken up.

Mr. Fillippini stated that many apprenticeship programs have had to leave the campus to find suitable facilities and are unhappy about having to rent buildings in which to hold classes. Mr. Huglin said he shared Mr. Fillippini's concern and noted that a wing of the upper floor of the administration building will be used for vocational instruction after it is vacated by life science, geology, and health occupations.

Mr. Dall'Armi said that if the $65,000,000 bond issue to be voted on in June 1968 passes, junior colleges would receive funds for planning and construction, on a matching basis, for two or three years. Matching funds would have to be obtained by levying a permissive tax override. If the election fails, it would appear that the legislature would be required to come up with the funding, he continued. The State Department of Finance has said, however, that State funding will not be available and that funds must be secured at the local level. Terming the entire situation as "nebulous", Mr. Dall'Armi said that, because this is the initial stage, there is no way of knowing how much will be approved; but he did know that a school district without working drawings would not be in a position to apply.
Mr. Fillippini asked why the facilities listed in the agenda were being submitted ahead of the trades building and said that facilities are needed to train the builders needed to construct the District's own buildings. He urged that the vocational trades building be added to the current list of projects submitted.

Mr. Dall'Armi asked Mr. Mouck if this could be done, since the deadline for submission was February 1, 1968. Mr. Mouck said it was possible but, to meet the deadline in the short time remaining, he would need much help from staff members and the architects. Dr. Rockwell commented that all the buildings presently on the list are considered in the emergency class and are the most pressing needs at this time. Because the number of students involved is taken into consideration in granting funds, the District must first consider the needs of the greatest number. As it is, the District doesn't even have money for construction of the nursing and medical arts facility mentioned in item 4.3 of the January 25, 1968 agenda, unless it is able to obtain funds through some foundation or trust.

Mr. Huglin said the College had pointed out to the State Department of Education the unfairness of limiting space utilization reports to classes held prior to 4:30 p.m. Mr. Fillippini remarked that the vocational building would be used during the day, also, to which Mr. Huglin replied that it isn't eligible in the present set-up even though it is for multiple use.

Mrs. Alexander expressed a wish to see a profile of how such a building would be used if built; she thought it should come ahead of physical education, and felt differently about supporting a tax override for physical education now than she did during the past unsuccessful elections. Mr. Fillippini said inclusion of the physical education complex could not be held responsible for failure of the elections; Mrs.
Alexander said she didn't mean to imply that. Mr. Frank said he, too, was concerned that apprenticeship programs had to leave campus and use some of their own funding, and that the District should try to find accommodations for these classes. He thought every effort should be made to qualify the vocational education building for funds; this program is an integral part of the community.

Mr. Wake noted that Adult Education facilities do not count in this project. Mr. Fillippini added that he, Dr. Rockwell, and Mr. Wake met recently to confer on this problem and will work out improvements. Vocational education always seems to have difficulty in keeping pace, he said, and he wanted to be sure there will be no further curtailment. Dr. Rockwell said he was sure everyone on campus agreed on the need for more vocational-technical offerings and that Mrs. Lantagne has emphasized this for some time. Mr. Frank mentioned that the junior college would be an ideal place to develop training for air pollution technicians. Mrs. Lantagne concurred with Mr. Fillippini's statements about the needs for vocational offerings and said that the counselors have tried to combat social pressures resulting in parents' desires for their children to enroll in courses not as well suited to them as vocational training.

To Mr. Foxx' mention of two other agencies from whom funds are available on a matching basis—the Davis-Bacon Act and the VEA Act—Dr. Rockwell said this was true but that the District did not have the matching funds.

Mrs. Meigs stated that there are many pressures to amend SB 691, and that she believed the Board must never forget the bond route for she didn't have much hope for the bill.

Going back to the physical education complex situation, Mr. Dall'Armi
said that it was worse off than the vocational-technical area, and
that most colleges complete their physical education facilities in
the first construction phase. Mrs. Alexander stated she believed that
training for jobs was more important than physical education.

Mrs. Meigs asked who decides how the State funds are to be spent;
Mr. Mouck replied that it would be up to the Coordinating Council for
Higher Education, the State Department of Education, and the State
Department of Finance.

Addressing Mr. Mouck, Mr. Fillippini said that probably the funds
involved in resolutions No. 10 and No. 11 would be budgeted separately;
and he asked if, when approval on No. 10 were obtained, the Board could
reconsider and decide that the money should be used elsewhere? Mr.
Mouck replied that if the District makes a contract with the State and
then decides to change, the District couldn't expect the State to go
along with this. He then explained that each of the buildings on the
list must stand on its own merit; each one will have its own supporting
data and must be reviewed independently.

Mr. Dall'Armi recalled the joint-use agreement with the City to
develop Pershing Park and La Playa and said that some of the project
money would go into building a gym that would be utilized for civic
purposes as well as for the College. SBCC is the only school above
the elementary level in this area that does not have a gym. Mr.
Rheinschmidt noted that the law requires that students under 21 (80
per cent of SBCC's students are in this category) must take physical
education, and that the accreditation reports have criticized the
College's lack of adequate physical education facilities. Mrs.
Alexander agreed with the development of Pershing Park but not with
the construction of a physical education complex at this time, she said,
emphasizing that of course she believed the physical education facilities should be constructed eventually.

It was moved by Mr. Frank and seconded by Mr. Fillippini to adopt Resolution No. 11, approving submitting an application to the State Department of Education under the terms of the Junior College Construction Act of 1967, and stating that the projects to be submitted shall be the planning through working drawings of the following buildings: Foreign Language and Psychology (C1), Social Science Offices (C2), Lecture and Communication Center (C3), Fine Arts (E1), and Theater and Music (E2), plus the Building Trades (F3). Roll call vote on adoption of Resolution No. 11 was as follows:

Ayes: Mrs. Alexander
Mr. Fillippini
Mr. Frank
Mr. Garvin
Mrs. Meigs
Mr. Wells

Nays: None

Not voting: None

Absent: None

It was moved by Mr. Frank and seconded by Mr. Fillippini to adopt Resolution No. 10, approving submitting an application to the State Department of Education under the terms of the Junior College Construction Act of 1967, and stating that the project to be submitted shall be the construction of the Physical Education-Gymnasium facility (G2, G4). Roll call vote on adoption of Resolution No. 10 was as follows:

Ayes: Mr. Fillippini
Mr. Frank
Mr. Garvin
Mrs. Meigs
Mr. Wells

Nays: Mrs. Alexander

Not voting: None

Absent: None
Summarizing the Board's discussion, Mrs. Meigs stressed that the resolutions had been adopted with no priorities established, with no agreement to pass an override tax, and with the consensus that the entire matter was a very "iffy" one.

Dr. Rockwell asked if the Board would like to hear a report on the physical education complex--pros and cons--in the interest of clarification. Mr. Fillippini answered that any department which feels it has a report of importance to the Board is always welcome to present it.

Mr. Huglin reported a strong feeling among division chairmen, ratified by the Academic Senate, that business education is considered as important as vocational-technical education in planning future facilities.

Mrs. Alexander then asked, after brief discussion, that the roll call vote on Resolution No. 11 be re-taken in order to add the business education building.

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mrs. Alexander, and carried unanimously, that the roll call vote on Resolution No. 11 be re-taken, and that to the list of buildings named in the original motion, the Business Education Building (F4) be added as amended.

The new roll call vote on adoption of Resolution No. 11 was as follows:

Ayes: Mrs. Alexander
       Mr. Fillippini
       Mr. Frank
       Mr. Garvin
       Mrs. Meigs
       Mr. Wells

Nays: None

Not voting: None

Absent: None
4.3 Report on Conditional Approval of Federal Grant for Construction of Nursing and Medical Arts Facility

Word has been received from Washington, D.C., that Application No. 1 JO5 NU 00393-01 for a federal grant under PL 88-581 for a Nursing and Medical Arts facility has been approved by the National Advisory Council on Nurse Training. Funding of this project, however, is contingent upon the availability of matching funds at the District level. The Surgeon General will not consider final approval until verification that matching funds are at hand has been received. Mr. Dall'Armi said that the District needs $207,178 in matching funds to add to the federal grant of $414,421; and that several sources are being solicited for financial assistance.

5. BUSINESS AND FINANCE

5.1 Purchase Order Reports

It was moved by Mr. Garvin, seconded by Mr. Frank, and carried unanimously, to authorize and/or ratify the purchase of supplies, equipment, and services on purchase orders 14381 through 14456. (Please see attachment 5.1-a.)

5.2 Payment of Claims

It was moved by Mr. Frank, seconded by Mr. Garvin, and unanimously carried, to authorize and/or ratify the payment of claims as recommended in attachment 5.2-a.

5.3 Report on Investment of District Funds in U.S. Treasury Obligations (Bank for Cooperatives Debentures)

Mr. Dall'Armi said that this particular kind of note (please see data below) has not heretofore been available for schools, and that this is the highest percentage of interest the District has ever been able to receive.
6. **STUDENT PERSONNEL**

   No report.

7. **GENERAL INFORMATION**

   7.1 **Procedure to be Followed in Filling the Vacancy Resulting from the Appointment of Mrs. Lancaster to the Board of Governors for Community Colleges**

   This agenda item was dealt with under item 1.6-a, with the appointment of a Board subcommittee to screen candidates for the vacancy.

   7.2 **Mrs. Lancaster’s Reply to Superintendent’s Paper on Multi-College District**

   Mrs. Lancaster said she would like to speak in her capacity as a citizen. She recalled her promise to give her reactions to the Superintendent’s recently-presented paper, *Why the Board of Trustees Prefers a Two-College District*. She regretted that she had not had time to make copies for all Board members to study, as agreed at the January 11, 1968 Board meeting, but said she would do this as soon as possible. She then asked if she might read her statement at this time, as she believed the matter was of some urgency, and President Wells granted permission.

   She challenged certain statistics in the paper, and repeated her belief that the voters are against a multi-campus district and that the Board should consider vacating the present Mesa Campus and building one large college on property "available on the San Marcos Pass Road."

8. **ADJOURNMENT**

   It was moved by Mr. Garvin, seconded by Mrs. Alexander, and unanimously carried, to adjourn the meeting at 6:00 p.m. The next regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara Junior College District will be held Thursday, February 8, 1968 at 4:00 p.m. in the Board Room of the Santa Barbara
City College, Santa Barbara, California.

Attest: 
Mr. Benjamin F. J. Wells  
President, Board of Trustees  
Santa Barbara Junior College District

Dr. Robert C. Rockwell  
Superintendent-President and  
Secretary-Clerk to the Board  
of Trustees  
Santa Barbara Junior College District

Approved by Board of Trustees

on February 8, 1968