REGULAR MEETING OF BOARD OF TRUSTEES
SANTA BARBARA JUNIOR COLLEGE DISTRICT
January 11, 1968 - 4:00 p.m. - Board Room

1. GENERAL FUNCTIONS

1.1 Call to Order

A regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara
Junior College District was called to order by President Wells on
Thursday, January 11, 1968 at 4:00 p.m. in the Board Room at Santa
Barbara City College, Santa Barbara, California.

1.2 Roll Call

Members present:
Mr. Wilbur L. Fillippini
Mr. Sidney R. Frank
Mr. James R. Garvin
Mrs. Winifred H. Lancaster
Mrs. Dorothy N. Meigs
Mr. Benjamin P. J. Wells

Members absent:
Mrs. Kathryn O. Alexander

Others present for all or a portion of the meeting included:
Dr. Robert C. Rockwell, Superintendent-President and Secretary-
Clk to the Board of Trustees
Mr. Lorenzo Dall'Armi, Administrative Dean, Business Services
Mr. Selmer O. Wake, Director-Administrative Dean, Adult Education
Mr. Spencer Blickenstaff, Assistant Dean, Evening College
Mr. Lisle C. Bresslin, Assistant Dean, Admissions and Records
Mr. James E. Foxx, Assistant Dean, Technical/Vocational
Mrs. Margaret F. McGillin, Assistant Dean, Health Occupations
Mr. Gary Mouck, Administrative Assistant, Research and Development
Mr. Charles F. Rheinschmidt, Assistant Dean, Student Activities
Mr. Maurice E. Ryan, Coordinator, Apprenticeship Program
Mr. Henry H. Bagish, President, Academic Senate
Mr. Theron Barnes, Representative of Chapter 289, California School
Employees' Association
Mrs. Louise H. Thornton, Secretary to Superintendent
Mr. Ward Lindenmayer, student, tape recorder operator
A number of other SBJCD employees
Mrs. Katherine McCloskey, Santa Barbara News-Press staff writer
Mr. Roy C. Bagley, Executive Secretary, Santa Barbara County
Taxpayers' Association, Inc.

Mr. Wells declared that a quorum was present and ordered that the Board
proceed with the regular order of business.
1.3 Welcome to Guests

Mr. Wells welcomed guests and staff members present and invited comments from the audience during the course of the meeting.

1.4 Minutes

It was moved by Mr. Garvin, seconded by Mr. Frank, and unanimously carried, to approve the minutes for the regular meeting of December 14, 1967.

1.5 Hearing of Citizens and Petitions

None.

Note: At this point, recognition was made of the award, "Woman of the Year", given to Mrs. Lancaster on January 9, 1968, at a luncheon sponsored by the Greater Santa Barbara Advertising Club.

1.6 Communications

a. Letter from Dr. Riparetti Offering to Sell Property to District

Mr. Wells said he wished to postpone any possible discussion on this letter (which offers to sell to the District property on the San Marcos Pass Road, across from the San Marcos Golf Course) to the point in the meeting where items 7.2 and 7.3 would be taken up.

b. Letter from Ward Jenks Associates Asking if Board is Interested in an Organized Gift Program

At the Board's request, Dr. Rockwell read the letter from Mr. Jenks, a professional funds raiser, who asked if the Board was interested in exploring the possibilities of a special type of organized gift program for the District. Dr. Rockwell then suggested that the planning committee to be appointed under Item 7.3 might wish to discuss this sometime. It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mr. Frank, and carried unanimously, to file the letter for future reference by any committee wishing to pursue the possibilities further.
c. **Newspaper Article on New Board of Governors of California Community Colleges**

Referring to a statement from a *Santa Barbara News-Press* article dated January 10, 1968, that "Santa Barbara has a good chance of getting one of the spots on the new 15-member board of governors of California community colleges (junior colleges) which Gov. Reagan must appoint by Monday, it was learned today. ...Strong efforts have been made to get one of the board positions for a member of the Santa Barbara Junior College District board and, according to reports, serious consideration is being given such an appointment in the governor's office", Dr. Rockwell said he had had nothing to do with this story and that he had tried unsuccessfully to learn the source of the writer's statement. He asked if any Board member had information on this matter. No one replied to this, but Mr. Fillippini commented that to be appointed would constitute a high honor.

2. **PERSONNEL**

2.1 **Certificated Personnel Assignments**

It was moved by Mrs. Lancaster, seconded by Mr. Garvin, and carried unanimously, to approve personnel assignments for certificated staff as recommended in attachment 2.1 and the addenda.

2.2 **Classified Personnel Assignments**

It was moved by Mrs. Meigs, seconded by Mr. Frank, and unanimously carried, to approve personnel assignments for classified staff as recommended in attachment 2.2 and the addenda.

3. **CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION**

3.1 **Support of Nursing Programs**

a. **Acceptance of Gift of Pins for Vocational Nursing Graduates**

It was moved by Mr. Garvin, seconded by Mr. Frank, and carried
unanimously, to accept the offer of the Santa Barbara National Bank to donate the graduate nurse pins for the Vocational Nursing program and to authorize the Superintendent to send a letter of thanks to the bank.

b. Acceptance of Gift for Outstanding Nurse in each Vocational Nursing Graduating Class

It was moved by Mrs. Meigs, seconded by Mrs. Lancaster, and carried unanimously, to accept the offer of the Licensed Vocational Nurse Association, District 22 of Santa Barbara, to present both a perpetual trophy to the Health Occupations Division at Santa Barbara City College, honoring the outstanding nurse in the Vocational Nursing program, and an individual, engraved trophy to the student so honored; and to authorize the Superintendent to send a letter of appreciation to the Association.

c. Approval to Apply for Agency Membership in the National League for Nursing Council of Associate Degree Nursing Programs

At Mr. Wells' invitation, Mrs. McGillin briefly outlined the benefits of membership (accreditation and testing services, and use as a channel of communication and cooperation with regional and national groups). The $285 in dues is a special subsidized rate and part of the approved Vocational Education Project in the original project. It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mr. Frank, and unanimously carried, to approve application for membership in the National League for Nursing Council of Associate Degree Nursing Programs.

4. PLANTS AND PROPERTY

4.1 Presentation of Information Concerning Board Deliberations in Arriving at a Decision on Acceptance of Hollister Company Site Offer

Attachments 4.1-a through 4.1-d were presented as a matter of
information only, with no Board action required at this time. Dr. Rockwell reported that, at the Board's request, he had contacted Mr. Norman Titcher, managing director of the Hollister Company, and had indicated to him the Board's interest in knowing, informally, the terms of the gift offer. Mr. Titcher replied that he would be happy to furnish this information as soon as the Company's attorney returned from out of town (within the next week or two). Board members will be sent copies of this information as soon as Dr. Rockwell receives it. After Board members have had a chance to study the terms of the offer, Mr. Titcher will appear at a Board meeting to answer questions.

5. BUSINESS AND FINANCE

5.1 Purchase Order Reports

It was moved by Mr. Garvin, seconded by Mr. Fillippini, and carried unanimously, to authorize and/or ratify the purchase of supplies, equipment, and services on purchase orders 14215 through 14380. (Please see attachment 5.1-a.)

5.2 Payment of Claims

To Mrs. Meigs' query, Mr. Dall'Armí replied that the three arbitrators in the Viola, Inc., suit were to meet in executive session this week. As yet, the College administration has not received any information from the County Counsel as to the outcome of the panel's deliberations. It is believed that the decision will be known by the time the Board meets on January 25, 1968.

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mrs. Meigs, and carried unanimously, to authorize and/or ratify the payment of claims as recommended in attachment 5.2-a and the addenda.

5.3 Acceptance of District Audit Report for 1966-67 College Year

It was moved by Mr. Garvin, seconded by Mr. Fillippini, and
unanimously carried, to accept the Santa Barbara Junior College District Audit Report for the 1966-67 college year, dated June 30, 1967, and received from Warren H. Baker, CFA; and to authorize the Administrative Dean, Business Services, to make the necessary distribution to County and State agencies. Because of a limited supply of these reports, Mr. Dall'Armi said he would appreciate the return of copies from Board members when they have finished looking them over. He also promised a copy to Mrs. McCloskey of the Santa Barbara News-Press.

5.4 Adoption of Resolution No. 9, Related to Application for Funds Under Title VI-A of the Higher Education Act of 1965

It was moved by Mr. Garvin and seconded by Mr. Frank to adopt Resolution No. 9 to accompany an application for funds under the Higher Education Act of 1965, Title VI, Part A (Equipment and Materials Grants), inasmuch as application approval is contingent upon a resolution assuring compliance with Title 5, Section 76 of the California Administrative Code. The following items will be covered in the application (the resolution states that funds received to purchase them will provide for an improved learning situation and will also provide instructional equipment not presently available at Santa Barbara City College):

Category I - Instructional Equipment for Physics, Business Education, Mathematics, Engineering, and Foreign Language. (Approximate amount of grant: $5,000)

Category II - Video Tape Recording Equipment for General Use. (Approximate amount of grant: $1,969)

Roll call vote was taken as follows:

Ayes: Mr. Wilbur L. Fillippini
      Mr. Sidney R. Frank
      Mr. James R. Garvin
      Mrs. Winifred H. Lancaster
      Mrs. Dorothy N. Meigs
      Mr. Benjamin P. J. Wells
Nays: None
Not voting: None
Absent: Mrs. Kathryn O. Alexander

It was noted that many courses in addition to those listed could be benefitted by this equipment. Dr. Rockwell also mentioned that this week Mr. Huglin is attending an IBM school for chief academic officers, on use of computers in instruction.

6. **STUDENT PERSONNEL**

No report.

7. **GENERAL INFORMATION**

7.1 **Appointment of Mr. Garvin as Board Representative to Discuss Annexation of Channel Islands with County Committee on School District Organization**

Dr. Rockwell reported that Santa Barbara County Superintendent of Schools Greenough had asked that the Santa Barbara Junior College District Board appoint Mr. Garvin as its representative because of Mr. Garvin's background of knowledge and experience in annexation proceedings. It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mrs. Meigs, and carried unanimously, to appoint Mr. Garvin as the District Board's representative to work with the County Committee on School District Organization in matters pertaining to annexation of the Channel Islands.

7.2 **Acceptance of Superintendent's Paper, "Why the Board of Trustees Prefers a Two-College District"**

Mr. Garvin commented that Dr. Rockwell's paper, prepared at the request of the Board, represented a great deal of work in compiling and summarizing past and present background material and future projections.

Mrs. Lancaster said she would like to have time to put in writing some of her reactions to this paper. Mrs. Meigs asked if the other
Board members might receive copies of Mrs. Lancaster's paper prior to the Board meeting at which it would be presented, and Mrs. Lancaster agreed.

Mrs. Lancaster said she hoped that Dr. Rockwell would reply in writing to her paper distributed at the December 14, 1967 Board meeting, in which were listed—among other opinions—her reactions to the Arendt, Mosher, and Grant feasibility study of the Winchester Canyon site offered to the District as a second campus. Mr. Frank said he could see no reason why this could not be done, since the Board would not be taking formal action until after its discussion with Mr. Titcher of the Hollister Company.

Dr. Rockwell noted that the Board had asked him to bring together all the facts bearing on its position regarding a multi-campus district, and his presentation covered these facts. He added that it was his hope that, once the Board had arrived at its final position, consideration would be given to distributing copies of the Board's decision and reasons to school boards and staff members, communication media, county and city officials, and other interested citizens of the District, so that they may study and understand the Board's position. Then, he said, whatever the decision—one or two campuses—the District can go about doing the job at hand.

Mr. Fillippini stated that he would like to study the Superintendent's paper for a longer period of time; he saw no time element involved, because the majority of the Board had already taken its position. Although an editorial in the *Santa Barbara News-Press* had raised for him some "soul-searching questions", he said that nothing to date had made him change his mind. However, as long as there is any doubt at all in the minds of the press and voters, the
Board must find the answers to allay these doubts. He stressed that the facts must be separated from the assumptions. To state that the Mesa Campus is saleable is an assumption unless the property has actually been sold and the District has the money in hand. He recalled his invitation to the public, some time ago, to come forth with their offers to buy the Mesa Campus.

Mr. Frank remarked that his taxpayer friends have said they are not in favor of buying land when the District has been offered a site as a gift. He agreed that full explanations must be gotten to the public, for he felt that both bond/tax elections were unsuccessful because the District failed to reach the people it needed to reach.

It was moved by Mr. Frank, seconded by Mr. Fillippini, and carried unanimously, to accept the Superintendent's paper, with thanks.

7.3 Appointment of Board Subcommittee on Planning

In recognition of the need for appointing a Board subcommittee on planning to assist the administration in reviewing several problems pertaining to the acquisition of sites (including reviewing the Hollister Company's gift offer) and the planning of building construction, Mr. Wells appointed Mr. Fillippini chairman of the Board's Subcommittee on Planning and Future Development and then named Mr. Carvin as the second member of the committee.

At this point, Mrs. Lancaster interjected the comment that she would like to serve as a member of this group. The planning subcommittee, she said, is the only one whose personnel has not been changed, and she believed it would be a healthy situation for varying points of view to be represented on the committee.

Mr. Wells explained that his thought in reappointing the same members was to preserve continuity and to take advantage of the business and
realty experience of the subcommittee's members. Mr. Fillippini and Mr. Garvin stressed their convictions that the subcommittee as originally appointed did not have closed minds, and that the District cannot continue year after year without a decision on acquiring property for a second campus; it has a responsibility to the students and community.

Mrs. Lancaster said she felt the District should have a choice of sites from which to choose. She did not know what locations were referred to in attachment 4.1-c of this meeting's agenda. Every other committee, Mrs. Lancaster continued, has reported to all of the Board all of its findings as well as its recommendations, but the planning (sites) committee has come in with only the Winchester Canyon area.

Mr. Dall'Armi stated that the planning committee had come up with several sites prior to both elections, but when the elections failed all negotiations were dropped and no report was necessary because no funds were available. He described in general the various sites viewed and their drawbacks or actual unavailability for school construction. None of them included any gift offer.

Mr. Fillippini mentioned the fact that the committee was looking at property for the second campus of a two-campus district, not for a single-college district site, because this was after the Board had decided on a two-college district.

Mrs. Meigs said that although she was on that committee, she was interested and had asked to have the sites pointed out, which any other Board member could have done. She said she felt that "the administration is there for us to call when we wish information." She stated that she purposely did not ask the names of the property owners; she preferred not to know. She added that no one can publicize
that he is looking for land to purchase because then the price goes up. Mr. Fillippini remarked that the fact the Board is looking at sites is public information, but the Board must protect the taxpayers' money by not causing inflated prices by identification of specific sites. Mr. Wells commented that the Board was not negotiating, but only looking around.

Mr. Wells then said he had wished to serve on the planning committee himself, but would appoint Mrs. Lancaster as the third member, as she had requested. The Board's new Subcommittee on Planning, then, consists of Mr. Fillippini, chairman; Mrs. Lancaster; Mr. Garvin.

Mr. Fillippini told the Board it was his intention to get away from "innuendoes" and push for a decision; then, when said decision is made, it will be final. To Mrs. Meigs' question about what innuendoes he meant, he said he referred to statements made that the Mesa Campus is saleable at the price and timing the District would require, and that one single-campus district would be cheaper than a two-campus district.

Dr. Rockwell said he did not believe this Board had failed to face the facts. Detailed data have been presented in the 20-year study and in his paper distributed with the January 11, 1968 agenda; and that there is much evidence to show that these facts have been discussed thoroughly. He recalled that it was a unanimous decision, at a meeting with every Board member present, that the "Conclusions" identified as Part III of the report, Physical Needs of the Next Twenty Years be adopted as policy. The Board, District staff, and other citizens have discussed the reasons for the elections' failure, and many topics besides the two-campus question were taken up. Dr. Rockwell said he would not want the press covering this meeting to
feel it is an accepted fact that not much study had been made of these matters. (Mr. Fillippini added, "This includes the Citizens' Advisory Committee members.") Dr. Rockwell stated that the Board's decision has been a recurring one.

Mrs. Moigs asked Mrs. Lancaster what her feelings were, since presenting her points, about large vs. small or medium sized campuses, regardless of money or property. Mrs. Lancaster replied that her statements did not involve size and money in every case.

Dr. Rockwell said that the District cannot afford to prolong this issue much longer, as the life science-geology building is ready to be prepared to go to bid. If State and Federal grants are lost because of further delay, the District will be placed in a difficult position with present and future enrollments. He said he didn't think this had been a casual adoption of policy, since the Board has been studying it for two years. He suggested setting up a calendar.

Mrs. Lancaster suggested that she and Dr. Rockwell put their respective reactions on paper before the next Board meeting. Dr. Rockwell said this would be a satisfactory deadline, but he felt that he should be authorized to enter into a dialogue with a Board member. The other Board members voiced no objections.

Mr. Frank said he did not feel that the Board hadn't come to grips with the problem at previous times. He told Mrs. Lancaster that he believed the reason for failure of the elections was that "people did not want to spend the money--a different reason from yours." He concluded by saying that the Board's decisions had not been made without being based on fact and study.
8. ADJOURNMENT

President Wells declared the meeting adjourned at 5:35 p.m. The next regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara Junior College District will be held Thursday, January 25, 1968 at 4:00 p.m. in the Board Room of the Santa Barbara City College, Santa Barbara, California.

Attest:  
Mr. Benjamin F. J. Wells  
President, Board of Trustees  
Santa Barbara Junior College District

Dr. Robert C. Rockwell  
Superintendent-President and Secretary-Clerk to the Board of Trustees  
Santa Barbara Junior College District

Approved by Board of Trustees

on January 25, 1968