ADJOURNED MEETING OF BOARD OF TRUSTEES

SANTA BARBARA JUNIOR COLLEGE DISTRICT

June 28, 1967 - 4:00 p.m. - Board Room

1. GENERAL FUNCTIONS

1.1 Call to Order

An adjourned meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara Junior College District was called to order by Vice-President Fillippini on Wednesday, June 28, 1967, at 4:00 p.m. in the Board Room at Santa Barbara City College, Santa Barbara, California.

1.2 Roll Call

Members Present: Mrs. Kathryn O. Alexander  
Mr. Wilbur L. Fillippini  
Mr. Sidney R. Frank  
Mr. James R. Garvin (late)  
Mrs. Winifred H. Lancaster  
Mrs. Dorothy N. Meigs  
Mr. Benjamin P. J. Wells

Members Absent: None

Others present for all or a portion of the meeting included:

Dr. Robert C. Rockwell, Superintendent-President and Secretary-Clerk to the Board of Trustees  
Mr. Lorenzo Dall'Armi, Administrative Dean, Business Services  
Mr. M. L. Huglin, Administrative Dean, Instruction  
Mrs. Marie Lantagne, Administrative Dean, Student Personnel  
Mr. Selmer O. Wake, Director-Administrative Dean, Adult Education  
Mr. Spencer Blickenstaff, Assistant Dean, Evening College  
Mr. Lisle C. Bresslin, Assistant Dean, Admissions and Records  
Mrs. Margaret F. McGillin, Assistant Dean, Health Occupations  
Mr. Charles F. Rheinschmidt, Assistant Dean, Student Activities  
Mr. James E. Foxx, Assistant Dean, Technical-Vocational  
Mr. William E. Miller, Vice-President, Academic Senate  
Mr. Theron Barnes, Audio-Visual Assistant and representative of Chapter 289, CSEA
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Mrs. Charlotte M. Spafford, Administrative Secretary I
A number of other SBCC staff members
Mrs. Harold J. Miller, AAUW observer
Mrs. Katherine McCloskey, *Santa Barbara News Press*
staff writer
Mr. Don Buffon, *Carpinteria Herald* reporter
Mr. John E. Timmons, Chairman, Citizens Advisory Committee
C.A.C. Members: Mr. Roy C. Bagley (Exec. Secy., S.B. County Taxpayers Assn.), Mr. Arnold Brandt,
Mr. Richard Buffum (Editor, Goleta Gazette-Citizen),
Mrs. Dean Gorton, Mr. Arnold Gralapp, Dr. Ralph Nair,
Dr. Kermit Seefeld, Mrs. Ward Small, Mr. Herbert Tharp,
Mrs. Lois Whittaker

The Vice-President declared that a quorum was present and ordered
that the Board proceed with the regular order of business.

1.3 *Welcome to Guests*

Mr. Fillippini welcomed guests, staff members, representatives
of the press, and C.A.C. members, and invited comments from the audience
during the course of the meeting.

1.4 *Minutes*

None.

1.5 *Hearing of Citizens and Petitions*

None.

1.6 *Communications*

None.

2. **PERSONNEL**

2.1 *Certificated Personnel Assignments*

It was moved by Mr. Wells and seconded by Mrs. Alexander to approve
the certificated personnel assignments as recommended in Attachment 2.1.

During the ensuing discussion Mr. Frank commented that he felt
Mr. Wake was entitled to more transportation allowance than the amount
indicated on the schedule, and that he would like to see this amount
raised, if possible. Dr. Rockwell remarked that the administration had tried to hold the line on these amounts.

Mrs. Lancaster said she thought there had been some plan to study the transportation schedule. Dr. Rockwell said he had not understood this, but that they would be glad to undertake such a study if the Board so desired. Mr. Wells remarked that he agreed with Mr. Frank and felt that Mr. Wake's transportation should perhaps be as much as Mr. Dall'Armi's, for instance. Mr. Fillippini also supported increasing the transportation allowance for Mr. Wake. Dr. Rockwell explained that while the Adult Education territory is indeed large, Mr. Wake is assisted by his coordinators in covering this territory and that they in turn are also paid transportation.

******

Mr. Garvin entered the meeting during this discussion at 4:15 p.m.

******

It was moved by Mr. Frank that an amendment to the motion be added to the effect that Mr. Wake's transportation allowance be increased to $600 per year; this motion was seconded by Mr. Wells.

Mrs. Alexander said that she was in agreement but would like to see the amendment changed to incorporate the statement that transportation allowances for other coordinators of adult education be studied. adding a request that the whole transportation allowance question be studied. Mr. Frank then changed his amendment, accordingly/ and Mr. Wells again seconded. This motion to amend was unanimously carried.

The vote on the original motion, as amended, to approve the certificated personnel assignments was carried with six members voting in
favor and Mrs. Lancaster abstaining. Mrs. Lancaster stated that she felt the certificated salary schedule is out of line and that its adoption would be a big blow to the downtown people.

2.2 Classified Personnel Assignments

It was moved by Mrs. Meigs, seconded by Mrs. Alexander, and carried unanimously, to approve classified personnel assignments as recommended in Attachment 2.2.

3. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION

No report

4. COLLEGE PLANTS AND PROPERTY

No report

5. BUSINESS AND FINANCE

5.1 Report of Library Book and Materials Grant

According to word received from the U. S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, the District has been awarded a College Library Resources Program grant in the amount of $15,440 for the 1967-68 college year. The Federal funds made available under terms of this grant are to be used for purchase of library books and materials.

This item was presented to the Board for information only. There was no action or discussion on it.

6. STUDENT PERSONNEL

No report

7. COMMUNITY RELATIONS

No report
8. GENERAL INFORMATION

8.1 Presentation of Citizens Advisory Committee Report

Mr. Garvin introduced Mr. John E. Timmons, Chairman, Citizens Advisory Committee. Mr. Timmons said that he was very pleased to bring the Advisory Committee's report to the Board and that he wanted to compliment the members of the committee for their devotion, service and interest over the last four months. He feels this is a very fine report.

Mr. Timmons asked the members of the committee who were present to stand. These members were applauded by others present in the audience. Mr. Timmons called attention to the list contained in the report of all members serving on the committee, and explained how the different subcommittees were established and areas for study by them chosen. He said that the committee contained good representation as to area and type of personnel involved.

Mr. Timmons then read the Conclusions and Recommendations of the Citizens Advisory Committee, taking each of the five sections separately and allowing time for questions or comments at the end of each section.

A. Junior College Role

No comments

B. District Organization and Support

Mrs. Meigs asked a question in regard to item (1) in this section: did the Committee feel that there were actual shortcomings in the college program, and if so, in which areas? Mr. Timmons explained that they had not intended anything definite but wanted to point out the possibility.
C. Building Needs

Mrs. Lancaster had a question regarding use of City facilities when we become a year-round campus. She understood some of the City facilities would not be available to us on this basis and asked about the solution to this problem. Mr. Dall'Armi replied that the only facility not available would be Los Banos del Mar. He also explained that we propose to build tennis courts in Pershing Park to meet the additional need for this area.

Mrs. Lancaster then remarked that item (9) under this section definitely states that completion of Phase III and Phase IV (Mesa Campus) would mean the campus could serve 4,000 Full Time Equivalent students. She asked if the Citizens Committee had a firm commitment from the architects to that effect because she had never been able to get them to make this statement. Mr. Huglin replied that to his knowledge all planning for these phases had been designed for this number of students. Mr. Timmons said that Mr. Bruce Dunsmore of Daniel, Mann, Johnson, and Mendenhall, had met with members of the advisory committee several times.

D. Sites

Mr. Fillippini brought up a question in regard to the sections on Building Needs and Sites in connection with the Adult Education program. Mr. Timmons explained that there was a certain amount of overlapping in the studies of the subcommittees and that the subcommittee on Sites has recommended that the District acquire land adjacent to the property at 914 Santa Barbara Street if possible.
Mrs. Lancaster said she would like to know what steps were taken to investigate selling of the Mesa Campus. Dr. Rockwell, as adviser to this particular subcommittee, explained what had been done. The timing, financing, and matter of possible potential buyers all enter into this and, so far as any investigations made are concerned, would make it extremely improbable that such a step could be taken. Timing alone is a very critical factor. It would probably take five years to ready another campus for use, and meanwhile the Mesa Campus could not be expanded to care for the ever-increasing enrollment of students.

Mr. Fillippini asked whether it would be necessary to take the matter to the voters if we should want to sell the Mesa Campus. Dr. Rockwell replied that it would not be necessary and also that probably the property would have to be advertised for bids. There are many legal involvements in such a step, all of which take much time. Mr. Fillippini said that he had never felt it was advisable or practical to consider the sale of this campus.

Mrs. Meigs inquired whether it is possible to sell other small pieces of property which the District owns and use the funds to purchase property adjacent to 914 Santa Barbara Street. Mr. Dall'Armi replied that this might be possible. Mrs. Meigs asked if the Committee had considered the sale of land. Dr. Rockwell said he believed it was the feeling of the Committee to let this matter rest. Mr. Wake pointed out that the property at 814 Santa Barbara Street cannot be sold as there is a restriction in the deed concerning this. Mrs. Meigs replied that she had been thinking more in terms of vacant lots which the District owns.
E. **Election Details**

Mr. Fillippini asked about the 8¢ tax limit on use of tax override funds for operation expenses. Mr. Timmons replied that the Committee had felt that there should be some limit recommended on this and had decided upon 8¢, feeling that the amounts derived from this portion should be adequate.

Mr. Timmons made some comments regarding the fact that the committee had considered all methods of packaging the election and had taken into consideration the political climate. The tax override, of course, requires less votes to pass. Elections have been failing in recent years, and the Committee had to take this into consideration. The advantage of bond funds is that they are available immediately while tax override funds are not, and the District is in a position where it must have funds within a short time or lose some of the State and Federal grants which have been approved.

Mr. Fillippini asked about the package recommendation and whether the issues must be separate. Mr. Dall'Armi answered that the County Counsel is studying the situation now to see if we would have to have one or two ballots. He feels that the two issues can be put on one ballot as one of the other school districts recently had a similar election where this was done.

Mr. Frank asked about the maximum amount of bonds that can be sold in any one year and about the bonding capacity of the District. Mr. Dall'Armi replied that the bonding capacity is in excess of twelve million dollars and that it would be possible
to sell all of the bonds proposed in the Election Details the first year if desirable. Mr. Frank then said that he had some reservations about how the taxpayers would take such a large tax bite as the 40¢ override. Mr. Buffum, who spoke as a member of this subcommittee, said that they had decided on the tax override because of the high cost on bond retirement which is about 50%.

After further discussion, Mrs. Meigs moved that the Board accept with thanks the recommendations of the Committee for study. Mr. Frank seconded the motion, and it was unanimously carried.

Mr. Garvin asked Mr. Timmons what the Committee thought the political climate would be in October. Mr. Timmons replied, "Tough!" Mr. Tharp, a member of the Committee, said that they had hoped by choosing the date of October 10 they might avoid some of the political complications.

Mr. Garvin asked Mr. Dall'Armi what the calendar would be for adoption of an election date by the Board, and Mr. Dall'Armi replied that the Board must adopt a resolution by July 27 if an election is to be called October 10, 1967. If an election were desired on October 3, this date for adoption would be moved ahead one week. If October 17 were decided upon, the resolution must be adopted in early August.

9. ADJOURNMENT

The next meeting of the Board of Trustees will be held on Thursday, July 13, 1967, at 4:00 p.m. in the Board Room of Santa Barbara City College, Santa Barbara, California.
No further business being presented, the President declared the meeting adjourned at 5:30 p.m.

Attest:

James R. Garvin
President, Board of Trustees
Santa Barbara Junior College District
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Superintendent-President and Secretary-
Clerk to the Board of Trustees
Santa Barbara Junior College District