REGULAR MEETING OF BOARD OF TRUSTEES
SANTA BARBARA JUNIOR COLLEGE DISTRICT
April 27, 1967 - 4:00 p.m. - Board Room

1. GENERAL FUNCTIONS

1.1 Call to Order

A regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara
Junior College District was called to order by President Garvin on
Thursday, April 27, 1967 at 4:00 p.m. in the Board Room at Santa Barbara
City College, Santa Barbara, California.

1.2 Roll Call

Members present: Mrs. Kathryn O. Alexander (late)
Mr. Wilbur L. Fillippini
Mr. Sidney R. Frank
Mr. James R. Garvin
Mrs. Dorothy N. Meigs
Mr. Benjamin P. J. Wells

Members absent: Mrs. Winifred H. Lancaster

Others present for all or a portion of the meeting included:
Dr. Robert C. Rockwell, Superintendent-President
and Secretary-Clerk to the Board of Trustees
Mr. Lorenzo Dall'Armi, Administrative Dean,
Business Services
Mr. Selmer O. Wake, Director-Administrative Dean,
Adult Education
Mr. M. L. Huglin, Administrative Dean, Instruction
Mrs. Marie Lantagne, Administrative Dean, Student
Personnel
Mr. Spencer Blickenstaff, Assistant Dean, Evening
College
Mr. Lisle C. Bresslin, Assistant Dean, Admissions
and Records
Mrs. Margaret McGillin, Assistant Dean, Health
Occupations
Mr. N. Gary Mouch, Assistant Dean, Technical-
Vocational Research
Mr. Charles F. Rheinschmidt, Assistant Dean,
Student Activities
Mr. Maurice Ryan, Jr., Coordinator, Apprenticeship
Dr. John Forsyth, President, Academic Senate
Mr. Theron Barnes, Audio-Visual Assistant and
Representative of Chapter 289, CSEA
Mrs. Louise H. Thornton, Secretary to Superintendent
The President declared that a quorum was present and ordered that
the Board proceed with the regular order of business.

1.3 Welcome to Guests

Mr. Garvin welcomed guests and staff members present and invited
comments from the audience during the course of the meeting.

1.4 Minutes

It was moved by Mr. Wells, seconded by Mr. Frank, and unanimously
carried, to approve the minutes for the regular meeting of April 13, 1967.

1.5 Hearing of Citizens and Petitions

None.

1.6 Communications

a. Letter Regarding Workshops, from State Department of Education and
California School Boards Association

A letter has been received from the State Department of Education
and the CSBA notifying school board members of workshops on June 9
and 16, 1967. While the workshops are primarily for newly-elected
school board members, other members are welcome to attend. Trustees
were asked to notify the Superintendent's Office by May 1, 1967 if
they were interested.

b. Letter Announcing Official Results of the April 18, 1967 Election,
from Mr. Robert W. Duff, Santa Barbara County Schools

Mr. Duff announced that the Santa Barbara Junior College District
Board of Trustees incumbents running for reelection had won in the
April 18, 1967 election. They are Messrs. Garvin, Frank, and Wells.
Mr. Garvin commented that reelection of the incumbents would seem to
indicate a vote of confidence in the actions taken by the Board during
its deliberations of the past two years. It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mrs. Meigs, and carried unanimously, that trustees James R. Garvin, Sidney R. Frank, and Benjamin P. J. Wells be seated as reelected members of the Board.

c. Letter Regarding Proposed NDEA Legislation, from Dr. Max Rafferty, Superintendent of Public Instruction, State Department of Education

Dr. Rafferty reported that the California State Board of Education, at its regular meeting on April 13, 1967, adopted a resolution in support of the National Defense Education Act and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act. (Please see attachment 1.6-c.) This item was presented as a matter of information only.

   *     *     *     *     *

At this point in the meeting, items 4.1, 4.3, 4.2, and 3.1 were discussed (in the order listed), to accommodate the Los Angeles architects.

   *     *     *     *     *

2. PERSONNEL

2.1 Certificated Personnel Assignments

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mr. Frank, and carried unanimously, to approve certificated personnel assignments as recommended in attachment 2.1.

2.2 Classified Personnel Assignments

It was moved by Mrs. Meigs, seconded by Mr. Frank, and unanimously carried, to approve classified personnel assignments as recommended in attachment 2.2 and addenda.

2.3 Report on Instructor Recruitment Progress for the 1967-68 College Year

Mr. Huglin emphasized the fact that in selecting new staff members and evaluating probationary teachers, the division chairmen and other teaching staff assisted him. Although 10 new faculty members have been hired to date for the 1967-68 college year, this represents a net
increase of only one; the others will replace staff members who will be on leave or who have resigned. Two more positions are yet to be filled.

Mr. Huglin answered Mr. Frank's query about the status of recent California legislation concerned with junior college credentials. He explained that the college is supporting this Senate bill, which would do away with credentials for junior college teaching, because it will permit greater flexibility. The college would continue its present policy of requiring the Master's degree or equivalent in the teacher's subject field.

2.4 Adoption of Resolution No. 9, Granting Standard Designated Subjects Teaching Credential for a Person of Outstanding Eminence

It was moved by Mr. Frank and seconded by Mr. Fillippini to adopt Resolution No. 9, recommending to the Committee of Credentials, State Department of Education, that Mr. Guido Fulignot be granted the Standard Designated Subjects Teaching Credential for a Person of Outstanding Eminence. The motion was voted on and carried 6-0, roll call vote being as follows:

Ayes:  Mrs. Alexander
       Mr. Fillippini
       Mr. Frank
       Mr. Carvin
       Mrs. Meigs
       Mr. Wells

Nays:  None

Absent: Mrs. Lancaster

3. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION

3.1 Approval of Proposal to Provide for Some Relocatable Facilities to be Used as Classrooms and Instructors' Offices During the 1967 - 1968 and 1968 - 1969 College Years

This item was discussed in conjunction with item 4.2.

3.2 Schedule for 1967 Summer Session

Attachment 3.2, the schedule of classes for the 1967 summer session, was presented as a matter of information only. To Mr. Frank's inquiry
about the anticipated enrollment, Mr. Bresslin answered that approximately 1,000 students are expected this summer as compared with 833 enrolled in 1966. Mr. Huglin pointed out that enrollment is dependent upon the number of offerings which, in turn, is dependent upon the budget.

4. COLLEGE PLANTS AND PROPERTY

4.1 Authorization of DMJM to Proceed Into Working Drawings on the Life Science Building and the Physical Education Facilities

It was moved by Mr. Wells and seconded by Mr. Fillippini, to authorize the architectural firm of Daniel, Mann, Johnson, and Mendenhall, to proceed into preparation of working drawings for the Life Science Building and the Physical Education facilities, at an estimated cost of $95,524.

Dr. Rockwell noted that, to keep step with enrollments facing the District, the administration feels it advisable to go ahead with working drawings so that no ground will have been lost when the next bond election passes.

(Mrs. Alexander entered the meeting at this point.)

Mr. Frank asked why physical education facilities had been chosen, and if these funds might be used for something else. Mr. Dall'Armi said that it would be too late to submit an application for another project. Physical education facilities were selected when applying for State funds because such buildings rate low priorities in applications for Federal funds. Also, he said, the planning of the physical education facilities was far enough along to be utilized at the time of the application.

Mr. Frank remarked that this was in important fact to bring to the public's attention because of the general apathy for building physical education facilities. He noted that these facilities could accommodate large groups of people. Mr. Dall'Armi concurred, adding that the physical education facilities could house not only athletics and community affairs
but also theater and concert performances given by the college. Dr. Rockwell said they also could be used temporarily for music instruction when the existing music rooms are razed.

Mr. Fillippini said he thought the Board should support preparation of these drawings because it wishes to continue with the planning and development of the college. Even if financing is difficult, he said, he felt it to be the Board's obligation to take advantage of these grants as a secured investment. However, he continued, he had thought that as a matter of principle, the Board would have an opportunity to determine priorities of the buildings to be constructed, prior to such a motion as had been made before the discussion was opened.

Mrs. Meigs called attention to the priorities listed in attachment 3.1.

Dr. Rockwell said that the Board will have the chance to work over priorities, and that those listed in attachment 3.1 were merely recommendations to the Superintendent from the Administrative Dean, Instruction, and the division chairmen. The administration had recommended going ahead with life science and physical education facilities at this time because of the time element involved in taking advantage of State and Federal monies already granted. In a couple of weeks, he said, the Citizens' Advisory Committee will present its recommendations.

The motion was voted upon and carried unanimously.

4.2 Request for Board Authorization of DMJM to Develop Plans for Relocatable Buildings

Mr. Huglin referred to attachment 3.1, which showed the need for five additional relocatable buildings for the college year 1967-68 and three more for 1968-69, to be used for classrooms and faculty offices. He noted that, according to junior college standards, the college was nearing 100 per cent utilization of facilities between 8 a.m. and noon and between 1 and 4 p.m. Alternatives for handling increased enrollment in the interim
while the next building phase is being completed were reviewed (please see attachment 3.1).

Mr. Fillippini said he did not question the need factor, but he was opposed to this type of construction being let to out-of-town rather than to local firms. The local architects and contractors, who are local taxpayers, are concerned and have a right to be considered for these projects. He also noted that relocatable buildings designed and constructed locally have been used in various Santa Barbara area schools; the designs and specifications would be available to the SBJCD. If the local firms meet standards and are not prohibitive in their charges, Mr. Fillippini continued, he would favor having them do this work. He emphasized that his remarks were in no way a criticism of DMJM, whose work and cooperation were of the highest caliber.

Mr. Dall'Armi stated that the District is in a situation where it must use a District-employed architect to draw up specifications because of Education Code requirements. The District could hire another architect, but the problem is one of timing; since the buildings must be provided by September 1967, the District must proceed with some haste. Mr. Fillippini replied that the District knew before the bond election was defeated last fall that these relocatables would be needed and that he would not "buy" the "emergency" idea.

Mr. Dall'Armi then explained that the District has no bond or general funds to pay for the facilities and that the only logical method of payment would be to utilize the Education Code section providing for the levying of a permissive tax for this purpose, as many junior colleges in California are doing. Mr. Fillippini said he thought the Board should have discussed an override before this item was presented. Mr. Dall'Armi replied that the Board was not being asked to discuss an override at this meeting; he was simply presenting the means available to the District for acquiring the facilities under discussion.
Dr. Rockwell said that the District does believe in the local architect—i.e., a local firm was just employed to make the feasibility study of the Hollister Ranch property offered to the District. In the case of the relocatables, the District is in a bind for time, he continued. It would take time to interview other firms for these small items, while DMJM is already employed by the District. Mr. Fillippini commented that because the local architectural and construction firms have had a high unemployment rate during the past several months, this would not be considered a small job by these men.

Mr. Huglin noted that it is possible one portable would be used as a language lab and DMJM has been working on these plans. Mrs. Meigs suggested that, since some large city architectural firms associate themselves with local smaller firms for certain projects, perhaps DMJM might associate itself with the Santa Barbara architects to make available their background material. Mr. Dall'Armi proposed that a sub-committee of the Board be appointed to study the matter in its entirety before the next Board meeting, because of the funding deadlines involved. Mr. Frank suggested that perhaps the Board should consider the first paragraph of agenda item 4.2 and indicate that it favored ordering relocatables, then have a sub-committee appointed to study the matter.

It was moved by Mr. Frank and seconded by Mr. Wells to approve the provision of some relocatable buildings to alleviate the crowded conditions anticipated on the Mesa Campus for the 1967-68 and 1968-69 college years.

Mrs. Alexander said she would like to have such a sub-committee study not just the designing, construction and funding of relocatables but also whether or not such temporary structures should even be placed on campus. Mr. Frank expressed the opinion that it would seem rather definite that such temporary buildings are needed because there will be no new
buildings this fall. Mr. Fillippini mentioned the possibility of a psychological aspect: as long as temporary buildings are taking care of the enrollment, the public may not see an urgent need for permanent buildings.

Mr. Frank's motion was voted on and defeated 2-3. Voting was as follows:

Ayes: Mr. Frank
       Mr. Wells

Nays: Mrs. Alexander
       Mr. Fillippini
       Mrs. Meigs

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini and seconded by Mr. Wells that the Board president appoint a sub-committee to study the entire matter of relocatables and make recommendations at the next Board meeting.

Mrs. Meigs suggested that perhaps the Board might act as a Committee of the Whole to study the matter. She also mentioned that tents were a possible temporary housing solution, having been used by at least one other school district recently.

Mr. Fillippini's motion was voted on and carried unanimously.

President Garvin appointed Mr. Fillippini and Mr. Wells as a sub-committee to study the matter of relocatables for the college years 1967-68 and 1968-69 and to report at the next Board meeting.

4.3 Approval of Preliminary Drawings for Drama-Music Complex

Mr. Bruce Dunsmore of the architectural firm of Daniel, Mann, Johnson, and Mendenhall presented preliminary plans for the Drama-Music complex (a segment of proposed Phase III construction), and displayed the campus master plan and various drawings of the drama and music buildings. He distributed booklets of backup material with engineering data to the Board and Mrs. McCloskey. He told of working with an outstanding firm of consultants in acoustics for the theater, which will be one of the key buildings on campus.
Mrs. Meigs asked if a 350-seat theater would be large enough. She felt that it would be such an asset to the community for uses other than college productions that, compared with the Lobero’s 600 seats, it might prove too small. Mr. Dunsmore replied that the Fine Arts division staff believed 350 seats would be sufficient because it is planned as a working theater, not as a full-blown auditorium, and because the staff wants continental seating which takes up more room. (If continental seating were not used, the theater could seat an additional 65.) Also, Mr. Dunsmore said, the site limits the size of the theater.

Mrs. Meigs asked if adding a mezzanine would be feasible. Mr. Dunsmore answered that it would cost a great deal more to do this and that more fire and panic regulations would be involved.

Mr. Fillippini inquired how DMJM equated mechanical costs and the number of seats. Mr. Dunsmore explained that there is a sliding scale. There is the initial investment, regardless of large or small seating capacity; then, of course, there is some increase with additional seating. Dr. Rockwell mentioned that the present college auditorium seats 300 and the science lecture hall 137. He said that junior colleges' little theaters throughout California usually seat 300-350. The idea of a large civic auditorium is still "in the air" in Santa Barbara and the District wants to stay out of this field. The proposed gymnasium will seat almost 2,500, although with a different type of seating. Dr. Rockwell said it is desired to have the intimacy of a little theater for student training. Mr. Fillippini remarked that although it is not practical for the college to consider providing a large auditorium for the City, he wondered if continental seating were important enough to justify the loss of 65 seats. He said that, of course, this is merely a preliminary discussion and seating was not of primary importance at this time, but he felt that the Board might give some thought to this question.
Mr. Wake commented that he did not see a rehearsal hall in the plans. His thought was that if the stage were used for rehearsing, this precluded much use by community groups. He thought perhaps a small stage could be planned for rehearsal purposes in another room. Mr. Dunsmore said that in the early planning this was kept in mind along with the matter of scheduling, and that there are areas in which an extra stage could be used.

Dr. Rockwell stated that most junior college little theaters do not have rehearsal halls, and that with regard to continental seating, it is "going" everywhere. He said that staff members had devoted much research to this matter and that their thinking should certainly be given consideration. Mr. Huglin suggested that Fine Arts division members be invited to a Board meeting to explain their reasons for wanting continental seating. Mr. Fillippini said he did not mean this as negative conversation and would like to visit a theater with continental seating.

It was moved by Mrs. Meigs, seconded by Mr. Wells, and unanimously carried, to approve the preliminary drawings for the Drama-Music complex of the proposed Phase III construction.

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mr. Wells, and carried unanimously, that those knowledgeable about continental seating make a presentation prior to final acceptance of the plans.

5. **BUSINESS AND FINANCE**

5.1 **Purchase Order Reports**

It was moved by Mr. Frank, seconded by Mrs. Meigs, and unanimously carried, to authorize and/or ratify the purchase of supplies, equipment, and services on purchase orders numbered 12326 through 12390, in accordance with attachments 5.1-a and 5.1-b.
5.2 Payment of Claims

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mr. Wells, and carried unanimously, to authorize and/or ratify the payment of claims in accordance with attachment 5.2-a and the addenda.

5.3 Presentation of Instructors' Association Certificated Salary Proposals

Mr. Robert Traughber, Chairman, SBCC Instructors' Association Salary Committee, presented the certificated salary proposals for the college year 1967-68 (please see attachment 5.3), with the exception of the recommendations for hourly personnel and the Adult Education hourly rate (which probably will be presented at the next Board meeting).

He said that the committee believes the schedule to be one which would bring to the District the superior kind of faculty which the college wants. He noted that the percentage increase in the total number of dollars allocated for instructors' salaries brought about by the proposals is approximately 5 per cent. Changes suggested are (1) that for 1967-68, the Class I, Step 1, salary of the present schedule be increased from $6,200 to $6,600, and (2) that the step-increment value of the present salary schedule be increased to $345. Thus, three-fourths of the total funds involved would go to raise base pay and one-fourth to raise the step-increment value.

Mr. Traughber referred also to the anniversary increments and summer session pay discussion in the proposals, as set forth in attachment 5.3.

Mr. Garvin thanked Mr. Traughber for his excellent presentation and ordered that the report be turned over to the administration for study. Mr. Dall'Armi suggested that the Board take action prior to the time the budget is submitted at the first Board meeting in June. Board action on the budget would be taken at the second meeting in June, he said.

Corrected
May 11, 1967

Mr. Weiss suggested that Mr. Frank's sub-committee on salaries be included in the salary proposal discussion.
Mr. Dall'Armi noted that the classified employees' salary presentation would be made at an early date.

Mrs. Alexander asked if the administration planned to comment on the salary schedule's relationship to the tax rate; Mr. Dall'Armi said, "Yes."

6. **STUDENT PERSONNEL**
   
   No report.

7. **COMMUNITY RELATIONS**
   
   No report.

8. **GENERAL INFORMATION**
   
   No report.

9. **ADJOURNMENT**

   The next regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara Junior College District will be held Thursday, May 11, 1967 at 4:00 p.m. in the Board Room at Santa Barbara City College, Santa Barbara, California.

   No further business being presented, the President declared the meeting adjourned at 5:45 p.m.

Attest:

James R. Garvin  
President, Board of Trustees  
Santa Barbara Junior College District

Robert C. Rockwell  
Superintendent-President and Secretary-Clerk to the Board of Trustees  
Santa Barbara Junior College District

Approved by Board of Trustees as corrected on May 11, 1967