ADJOURNED MEETING OF BOARD OF TRUSTEES
SANTA BARBARA JUNIOR COLLEGE DISTRICT

November 30, 1967 - 4:00 p.m. - Board Room

1. GENERAL FUNCTIONS

1.1 Call to Order

An adjourned meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara
Junior College District was called to order by President Wells on
Thursday, November 30, 1967 at 4:00 p.m. in the Board Room at Santa
Barbara City College, Santa Barbara, California

1.2 Roll Call

Members present:
Mrs. Kathryn O. Alexander
Mr. Sidney R. Frank (arrived at beginning of item 5.1.)
Mr. James R. Garvin
Mrs. Winifred H. Lancaster (entered at beginning of item 5.3.)
Mrs. Dorothy N. Meigs
Mr. Benjamin P. J. Wells

Members absent:
Mr. Wilbur L. Fillippini

Others present for all or a portion of the meeting included:
Dr. Robert C. Rockwell, Superintendent-President and Secretary-
Clerk to the Board of Trustees
Mr. Lorenzo Dall'Armi, Administrative Dean, Business Services
Mrs. Marie Lantagne, Administrative Dean, Student Personnel
Mr. Salmer O. Wake, Director-Administrative Dean, Adult Education
Mr. Spencer Blickenstaff, Assistant Dean, Evening College
Mr. Lisle C. Bresslin, Assistant Dean, Admissions and Records
Mr. James E. Foxx, Assistant Dean, Technical/Vocational
Mrs. Margaret F. McGillin, Assistant Dean, Health Occupations
Mr. Gary Mouck, Administrative Assistant, Research and Development
Mr. Charles F. Rheinschmidt, Assistant Dean, Student Activities
Mr. Henry H. Bagish, President, Academic Senate
Mr. Russeil S. Wenzlau, Chairman, Health and Physical Education
Division
Mr. Theron Barnes, Audio-Visual Assistant, Representative of
Chapter 289, California School Employees' Association
Mrs. Louise H. Thornton, Secretary to Superintendent
Mr. Ward Lindenmayer, student, tape recorder operator
Mr. Charles Dwiggins, student yearbook photographer
A few other staff members of SBCC
Mrs. Katherine McCloskey, Santa Barbara News-Press staff writer
Mr. Roy C. Bagley, Executive Secretary, Santa Barbara County
Taxpayers' Association, Inc.
Mr. Wells declared that a quorum was present and ordered that the Board proceed with the regular order of business.

1.3 Welcome to Guests

Mr. Wells welcomed guests and staff members present and invited comments from the audience during the course of the meeting.

1.4 Minutes

It was moved by Mr. Garvin, seconded by Mrs. Alexander, and carried unanimously, to approve the minutes for the regular meeting of November 9, 1967.

1.5 Hearing of Citizens and Petitions

None.

1.6 Communications

a. Letter from Assemblyman Shoemaker in Answer to Mr. Wells' Letter Requesting Legislative Action for Property Tax Relief

(This item was presented as a matter of information only.)

After reviewing SB 691 and SB 851, Mr. Shoemaker referred to AB 272 under which $155,000,000 is set aside for property tax relief to be determined by the legislature in the 1968 session; he also pointed out that the bill provides for a reduction of this year's one-cent sales tax increase to one-half cent if the legislature does not take positive action to appropriate these monies for tax relief purposes.

b. Exchange of Letters Between Mr. Wells and Senator Rodda, Chairman of Senate Education Committee

(This item was presented as a matter of information only.) Senator Rodda sent a letter to Mr. Wells explaining that his bill, SB 657 (providing for pay of $15.00 to junior college board members for each meeting, not to exceed $60.00 per month), was vetoed by the Governor; he requested that all board members be told why the bill failed.
Mr. Wells replied to Senator Rodda that he felt board members should serve without pay but promised to notify his fellow board members of the letter.

2. **PERSONNEL**

2.1 **Certificated Personnel Assignments**

It was moved by Mrs. Alexander, seconded by Mr. Garvin, and carried unanimously, to approve personnel assignments for certificated staff as recommended in attachment 2.1 and the addenda.

2.2 **Classified Personnel Assignments**

It was moved by Mrs. Meigs, seconded by Mr. Garvin, and carried unanimously, to approve personnel assignments for classified staff as recommended in attachment 2.2 and the addenda.

3. **CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION**

No report.

4. **PLANTS AND PROPERTY**

4.1 **Acceptance of Associated Students' Carryall as a Gift to the District**

It was moved by Mr. Garvin, seconded by Mrs. Alexander, and carried unanimously, to accept as a gift from the Santa Barbara City College Associated Students a soon-to-be purchased carryall; to authorize the Administrative Dean, Business Services, to insure the vehicle under the District policy; and to send a letter of appreciation to the Associated Students.

(Mr. Frank entered the meeting at this point.)

5. **BUSINESS AND FINANCE**

5.1 **Purchase Order Reports**

It was moved by Mr. Garvin, seconded by Mrs. Alexander, and carried unanimously, to authorize and/or ratify the purchase of
supplies, equipment, and services on purchase orders 14030 through 14154. (Please see attachment 5.1-a.)

5.2 Payment of Claims

It was moved by Mrs. Meigs, seconded by Mr. Garvin, and unanimously carried, to authorize and/or ratify the payment of claims as recommended in attachments 5.2-a and 5.2-b.

(Mrs. Lancaster entered the meeting at this point.)

5.3 Approval of District Participation in Sharing Expenditures for Los Baños del Mar Pool Repair Work

Mr. Dall'Armi reported that the second phase of the rehabilitation of Los Baños del Mar swimming pool is to be undertaken this winter, at an estimated total cost of $23,700. Work will include reconstruction of exterior decks ($19,362); replacement of diving board structures ($1,766); replacement of lifeguard chairs ($800); and installation of underwater lights ($1,772). Work on the shower and locker rooms at a later date will be the final step to complete rehabilitation.

Under the joint-use agreement with the City, the District's share would be one-half of the cost, and Mr. Dall'Armi said this amount has been budgeted for in the Community Services account. Dr. Rockwell commented that this arrangement is much less expensive than building or buying a pool. Mr. Dall'Armi further explained that from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. (except during the noon hour) the pool is reserved exclusively for SBCC classes. If the college should go into competition, including water polo, these improvements would serve the college well. Mrs. Meigs emphasized that this is a real community service, because without the District's financial help it would not be possible to complete the pool's rehabilitation at this time.
It was moved by Mrs. Alexander, seconded by Mr. Garvin, and carried unanimously, that—in compliance with the joint-use agreement with the City of Santa Barbara—the Santa Barbara Junior College District pay its prorated share (50 per cent) of the $23,700 total cost for the second phase of rehabilitating Los Baños del Mar swimming pool.

5.4 Approval to Make Application for Funds Under Title I of the Higher Education Act of 1965

Dr. Rockwell reported that at the California Junior College Association fall conference this year, he and Mr. Mouck were invited by Mr. Bert K. Simpson, Associate Higher Education Specialist with the California Coordinating Council for Higher Education, to discuss the District's eligibility for these funds. Mr. Simpson, whose work is almost entirely with Title I, said that the Santa Barbara Junior College District was one of nine California junior college districts eligible to take advantage of these funds. While, of course, he could promise nothing, he felt the District would be wise to submit an application. Dr. Rockwell stressed that the District did not initiate this proposal to apply but did so upon Mr. Simpson's suggestion.

If approved, the project prepared by Mr. Mouck would provide the District with a maximum of $375,000 to develop the Pershing Park area. The matching District funds, amounting to approximately $125,000, can be raised through the permissive Community Services tax.

Mr. Frank said he saw no harm in submitting the application; if necessary, it could be withdrawn later. Mrs. Lancaster spoke of the necessity for conferring with the City Council and of the extension of time granted earlier by the Council to Mr. Douglas Field to study the possibility of locating a civic auditorium in Pershing Park.

In ensuing discussion, it was brought out by Dr. Rockwell and Mr. Dall'Armi that it would be necessary for the City Council to waive
its extension given Mr. Field and that location of the tennis courts would not be dependent upon the auditorium decision. Mr. Dall'Armi said that there has been indication that favorable consideration would be given by the City to the District's request for the waiver, so that development of the park could begin as soon as the District receives funds. The college's curriculum and the city recreation program would be enhanced by the addition of the proposed tennis courts, field house, locker and shower rooms, and playing fields.

It was moved by Mr. Garvin, seconded by Mr. Frank, and unanimously carried, to submit an application for funds to carry out development of Pershing Park for college and community programs, under terms of Title I of the Higher Education Act of 1965.

6. STUDENT PERSONNEL

No report.

7. COMMUNITY RELATIONS

7.1 Expression of Appreciation to Mr. Richard Buffum, Retiring President of Adult Education Advisory Council

Following remarks by Mr. Wake concerning Mr. Buffum's fine services as President of the Adult Education Advisory Council and his suggestion that Mr. Buffum receive an expression of appreciation, it was moved by Mrs. Lancaster, seconded by Mr. Frank, and unanimously carried, that Mr. Buffum be sent a letter commending him for his contributions to the District and community.

8. GENERAL INFORMATION

8.1 County Superintendent's Enrollment Report at End of First School Month, 1967-68 School Year

Dr. Rockwell said he hoped to present this annual report (as given in the November 30, 1967 agenda) to the Board each year. Mr. Dall'Armi noted that many state and national reports and statistics are based on these October figures.
Mrs. Meigs said it appeared to her that the October 1967 figures in the agenda indicated better holding power at SBCC this year. This was confirmed by Dr. Rockwell.

8.2 County Counsel's Opinion on Membership in Academic Senate

Dr. Rockwell opened this topic by saying that the original organization of SBCC's Academic Senate was a somewhat unique arrangement in California junior college districts, in that administrators, except the Superintendent (at his own request), participated along with the instructional staff. A few months ago, a group of four from another organization on campus requested Dr. Rockwell to ask the County Counsel if this were legal. There appeared to be several ambiguities, according to Section 131.6 of Title 5, California Administrative Code. On November 9, 1967, the County Counsel handed down the opinion that the purpose of the legislature in adopting the 1963 resolution concerning participation of classroom teachers in the formation of education policy and the intent of the State Board of Education in adopting Section 131.6 of Title 5 of the California Administrative Code was to establish an organization representative of the faculty which, among other things, excluded administrators.

The SBCC Academic Senate, therefore, has appointed a committee to revise its constitution, and administrators are no longer serving as Senate members. When completed, the revised constitution will be presented to the Board for approval.

Mr. Bagish, President of the Academic Senate, told the Board, "Some feel that this opinion of the County Counsel may not be as valid an opinion as the County Counsel thinks." He said he was trying to obtain an independent opinion as to the validity of the County Counsel's opinion. If the independent opinion says the County Counsel's ruling
is faulty, an appeal may be made to the Attorney General; this can be done through the County Counsel or a legislator. (The County Counsel has indicated informally that it would probably refuse to submit such an appeal.) Mr. Bagish added that the opinion was received with mixed feelings in the Senate, for a minority had not wanted administrators as members in the first place.

The second part of the County Counsel's opinion, Mr. Bagish continued, states that other aspects may need consideration—i.e., the Counsel believes that the Senate's constitution substantially modifies the organizational theories established by the legislature and the State Board of Education.

Commenting that the original request to the County Counsel from the group of four aforementioned went through the Superintendent's office, Dr. Rockwell discussed the rights of the Academic Senate to appeal. He said that he personally is not in favor of an appeal.

To Mrs. Alexander's query, Dr. Rockwell replied that he did not think the Senate is bound to follow the "gratuitous" suggestions in the second part of the Counsel's opinion. He said he thought the college can function very well with an Academic Senate which does not include administrators; this would put SBCC in with the majority of academic senates in California junior colleges.

Mrs. Alexander remarked on the situation where the college had something functioning to the majority's satisfaction but now there exists an honest doubt created by the County Counsel's opinion. Mr. Bagish remarked that the role of the County Counsel is legal adviser to the Board, but it is the Board's decision, not the County Counsel's, that has the force of law. Dr. Rockwell added that if the Board does not want to accept the County Counsel's advice, it has that prerogative;
however, if the Board gets into trouble by not following the Counsel's advice, it can't use the Counsel to help it out of that trouble. The Board might then hire another legal counsel. Dr. Rockwell recalled that in the past, before the Academic Senate was organized, an administrator-faculty "College Council" functioned satisfactorily and this procedure might be followed again. At this time, he said, he recommended that the District go along with the County Counsel's ruling.

Mr. Garvin requested that Mr. Bagish write a statement on his minority opinion and that a representative of the majority—perhaps Dr. Casier—also make a written presentation. Before the Board can make a decision as to whether or not it agrees with the County Counsel, it needs to know both sides, he believed.

Mr. Bagley, Executive Secretary of the Santa Barbara County Taxpayers' Association, Inc., related an experience he had in Colusa County. In a similar type of problem, he said, the Attorney General's ruling contained some ambiguity and legislation was presented to correct this.

Dr. Rockwell said his office would send copies of Section 131.6 of Title 5, California Administrative Code, to Board members. The County Counsel's opinion is available in his office to any member wishing to read it.

Mr. Bagish referred to the need for discussion of the second part of the County Counsel's opinion. Dr. Rockwell answered that the District must comply with the section regarding administrators not being members of the Academic Senate, but as to the "gratuitous" advice, he thought the Counsel's position was that the Senate could take it or leave it.
8.3 State Board of Education Resolutions on Academic Senates, Dated May 17, 1967 and Received from the State Department of Education on November 13, 1967

Referring to the amendments to Section 131.6 of Title 5, California Administrative Code, effective August 18, 1967, as listed in the November 30, 1967 agenda, and other resolutions in said agenda, Mrs. Alexander requested that the Board be kept informed of the status of this matter. She was assured by Dr. Rockwell that this would be done.

8.4 Planning for State Bond Issue (SB 851), June 4, 1968

At the fall conference of the California Junior College Association, it was decided that the Board of Directors of the CJCA would be responsible for planning the general campaign to pass the $65,000,000 bond issue to be voted on next June. The bond issue, if successful, will provide funds for junior college construction and will reduce the funds to be raised locally by about one-half for approved projects. Instead of a centralized campaign, the Board of Directors is requesting each district to be responsible for campaign activities in its own area. The campaign will probably commence in March of 1968. Dr. Rockwell said that the bond issue will doubtless be difficult to pass though it is an extremely important one, and an all-out statewide effort is being planned.

8.5 Commendation of Adult Education Advisory Council Meeting Speaker

Mrs. Lancaster commented that she would like to commend Mr. Wake on the selection of the dynamic speaker at the recent annual meeting of the Adult Education Advisory Council. Other members concurred.

8.6 Report on Meeting to Elect Three Members to County Committee on School District Organization

Mr. Frank, named second alternate delegate on November 9, 1967,
by the Board, to represent the District at a delegates' meeting to
 elect three members to the County Committee on School District
 Organization, reported on the November 27, 1967 election. He said
 he regretted to report that Mr. Garvin, whose term expires December 30,
 1967, was not re-elected. Following the election, the Committee began
 its study of a master plan for the Santa Barbara County junior colleges,
 including the feasibility of annexing the Santa Barbara Channel Islands
 to a junior college district.

8.7 Revision of Statement "h" of Conclusions and Implications Drawn from
 Board Study Sub-Committees and Committee of the Whole Regarding the
 October 10, 1967 Election, as Adopted by the Board on November 9, 1967

Mr. Frank presented a revised version of item 8.1.h. as listed
 on page 6 of the November 9, 1967 agenda. Following brief discussion,
 it was moved by Mr. Frank, seconded by Mr. Garvin, and unanimously
 carried, to change this paragraph to read:

"The Board re-states that one of the basic objectives of the
 Santa Barbara Junior College District is to provide the
 highest quality education for all students."

(The former paragraph read, "The Board pledges itself to continue
 to provide a high quality educational program.")

9. ADJOURNMENT

President Wells declared the meeting adjourned at 5:20 p.m. The
next regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara Junior
College District will be held Thursday, December 14, 1967 at 4:00 p.m.
in the Board Room of the Santa Barbara City College, Santa Barbara, California.

Attest:  [Signature]
Mr. Benjamin F. J. Wells
President, Board of Trustees
Santa Barbara Junior College District

Approved by Board of Trustees
on December 14, 1967

Dr. Robert C. Rockwell
Superintendent-President and
Secretary-Clerk to the Board
of Trustees
Santa Barbara Junior College District