REGULAR MEETING OF BOARD OF TRUSTEES
SANTA BARBARA JUNIOR COLLEGE DISTRICT
January 26, 1967, 4:00 p.m., Board Room

1. GENERAL FUNCTIONS

1.1 Call to Order

A regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara Junior College District was called to order by President Garvin on Thursday, January 26, 1967 at 4:00 p.m. in the Board Room of Santa Barbara City College, Santa Barbara, California.

1.2 Roll Call

Members present: Mrs. Kathryn O. Alexander
Mr. Sidney R. Frank
Mr. James R. Garvin
Mrs. Winifred H. Lancaster
Mrs. Dorothy N. Meigs
Mr. Benjamin F. J. Wells

Members absent: Mr. Wilbur L. Fillippini

Others present for all or a portion of the meeting included:

Dr. Robert C. Rockwell, Superintendent-President and Secretary-Clerk to the Board of Trustees
Mr. Lorenzo Dall'Armi, Administrative Dean, Business Services
Mr. M. L. Huglin, Administrative Dean, Instruction
Mrs. Marie Lantagne, Administrative Dean, Student Personnel
Mr. Selmer O. Wake, Director-Administrative Dean, Adult Education
Mr. Spencer Blickenstaff, Assistant Dean, Evening College
Mr. N. Gary Mouck, Assistant Dean, Instruction, Technical-Vocational Research
Mr. Charles F. Rheinschmidt, Assistant Dean, Student Activities
Mrs. Margaret F. McGillin, Director, Nursing Education
Dr. John Forsyth, President, Academic Senate
Mr. Richard Weist, President, Instructors Association
Mr. Theron Barnes, Audio-Visual Assistant
Mrs. Louise H. Thornton, Secretary to Superintendent
A number of other SBCC staff members
Mr. Roy Bagley, Executive Secretary, Santa Barbara County Taxpayers Association, Inc.
Mr. Richard Buffum, Editor, Goleta Gazette-Citizen and Chairman, Adult Education Advisory Council
Mr. Bruce Dunsmore, architect, Daniel, Mann, Johnson and Mendenhall, Los Angeles
Mr. Robert Curiel, Deputy County Counsel
Mr. Barney Brantingham, staff writer, Santa Barbara News-Press
Mrs. Laverne Marcy, observer, League of Women Voters
Mrs. Howard J. Miller, observer, American Association of University Women

The President declared that a quorum was present and ordered that the Board proceed with the regular order of business.

1.3 Welcome to Guests

Mr. Garvin welcomed guests and staff members present and invited comments from the audience during the course of the meeting.

NOTE: Item 5.3 was discussed at this point in the meeting, to accommodate Mr. Robert Curiel, Deputy County Counsel.

1.4 Minutes

It was moved by Mrs. Alexander, seconded by Mr. Frank, and carried unanimously, to approve the minutes for the regular meeting of January 12, 1967.

1.5 Hearing of Citizens and Petitions

None.

1.6 Communications

a. Letter from California Junior College Association Suggesting Resolution on Tuition Free Philosophy

Dr. Rockwell reported that Dr. Henry T. Tyler, Executive Secretary of the California Junior College Association, has suggested that the Board of Trustees adopt a resolution similar to that adopted by the CJCA Board of Directors, supporting the tuition-free philosophy of public education in California (attachment 6.1-a). Dr. Rockwell
said that if the University and state colleges should begin charging tuition, Santa Barbara City College could expect an increase of 15 per cent over normal, or about 350 students.

It was moved by Mrs. Meigs, seconded by Mr. Frank, and carried unanimously, that the Superintendent draft such a resolution, phrasing it to apply not only to the State situation but also to the Santa Barbara Junior College District's situation in view of City College's utilization factor of 99.97 per cent. Mr. Frank urged that the resolution state specifics.

b. Letter from Blue Cross of Southern California Announcing Increase in Rates for Employees' Health Insurance Coverage

Mr. Dall'Armi told the Board that Blue Cross of Southern California has notified the District that effective March 1, 1967 rate increases will be imposed ranging from $2.00 per month for individual employee coverage to $4.35 per month for family coverage. He said that this would pose some problems, inasmuch as the increases are being imposed during the fiscal year rather than at the beginning of a fiscal year.

Recalling that the Board had authorized levying a permissive tax to pay employees' premiums, he stated that the Board would have to consider approving payment of the additional premium from the tax override. He said he had asked Blue Cross to let him know before the next Board meeting if they would pro-rate the payment. He will bring to the Board a recommendation for action after the Academic Senate and the classified employees' group have given their reactions.

To Mrs. Lancaster's query, Mr. Dall'Armi said seven mills were levied for this year to pay premiums and that he estimated that funds are available sufficient to cover the increase required.
c. Request of California School Boards Association to Name Legislative Representative (not on agenda)

Dr. Rockwell reported receiving a request from the California School Boards Association that a member of the Board of Trustees be named to receive issues of the CSBA Legislation Newsletter and keep fellow trustees informed—reading and reporting on significant legislative items brought to his attention through CSBA legislative publications. It was agreed by Board members that President Garvin should be named as representative.

2. **PERSONNEL**

2.1 Certificated Personnel Assignments

It was moved by Mrs. Lancaster, seconded by Mr. Wells, and carried unanimously, to approve certificated personnel assignments as recommended in attachment 2.1 and in the addenda.

2.2 Classified Personnel Assignments

It was moved by Mr. Frank, seconded by Mrs. Lancaster, and carried unanimously, to approve classified personnel assignments as recommended in attachment 2.2 and in the addenda. Mr. Dall'Armi noted that the Custodial Supervisor position had been filled by an employee from within the District, after interviews conducted by a representative committee of District staff members.

3. **CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION**

No report.

4. **COLLEGE PLANTS AND PROPERTY**

No report.

5. **BUSINESS AND FINANCE**

5.1 Purchase Order Reports

It was moved by Mrs. Meigs, seconded by Mrs. Lancaster, and carried
unanimously, to authorize and/or ratify the purchase of supplies, equipment, and services on purchase order numbers 11750 through 11866, in accordance with attachments 5.1-a through 5.1-d.

5.2 Payment of Claims

It was moved by Mrs. Lancaster, seconded by Mr. Frank, and carried unanimously, to authorize and/or ratify the payment of claims in accordance with attachment 5.2-a.

5.3 Report by Mr. Curiel on Details of Arbitration Hearing Scheduled for March 15, 1967

Mr. Robert Curiel, Deputy County Counsel, announced that the scheduled date of March 15, 1967 for the arbitration hearing between Viola, Inc., and the Santa Barbara Junior College District has been changed tentatively to March 29, 1967, pending the arrival home from Europe of one of the persons asked to testify. Mr. Curiel then reviewed the reasons for the hearing which involves rejected post-stressed concrete beams; said arbitration involves $67,414 for the beams plus $11,000 in miscellaneous charges.

The District is in a somewhat difficult position, Mr. Curiel told the Board. It really should not be the defendant in this case; if anyone is liable, it is those parties who were responsible for planning and inspection. If a judgment is obtained against the District, it would then have to file a suit against said other parties and this would mean further delay. He indicated it was possible that the District might get a favorable judgment in this subsequent suit. He noted that an attempt had been made to get Daniel, Mann, Johnson and Mendenhall's insurance company to become the defendant; however, the company refused on the grounds that this would be admitting their liability. All these explanations might be premature, Mr. Curiel said, but he wanted to advise the Board of all possibilities.

Dr. Rockwell asked if Board members would be called to testify. Mr.
Curiel said they would not be asked; only the experts would be called to testify against each other and it would be a matter of whom the arbitration panel would believe.

Answering Mr. Dall'Armi's question as to how many days would be involved in the hearing, Mr. Curiel stated, "Ten days, but not necessarily ten straight days." The hearing will be held in Los Angeles, but those involved may come to Santa Barbara to view the beams under discussion. Mr. Garvin asked where the beams were presently located and Mr. Curiel said they are at the Santa Barbara City water plant. They have been altered since their rejection; however, photos were taken of them in their original condition.

Asked by Mrs. Meigs about payment, Mr. Dall'Armi said that Viola, Inc., has been paid in full but is asking for the extra $77,000 for work not in the contract. Replying to her query as to whether the District must pay interest on the $77,000, Mr. Curiel answered that it would and that Viola, Inc., would also ask the arbitrators to make the District responsible for paying legal expenses. He noted that there is a definite possibility that this sum may be reduced to a smaller amount. Mr. Garvin thanked Mr. Curiel for his report.

5.4 Report on Investment of Bond Funds in U.S. Treasury Bills

Mr. Dall'Armi commented that the $4,108.14 interest for the six-months period in which Bond Building Fund monies are invested in U.S. Treasury Bills will aid in paying some of the District's bills. Mr. Frank commended him for working out this method of increasing the District's income.
5.5 Report of Special Meeting in Sacramento Called by State Department of Education to Discuss Funding of Projects Submitted Under Entitlement III of the Junior College Construction Act of 1965

Referring to his report of the meeting (attachment 5.5), Mr. Dall'Armi emphasized (a) the cooperation of college representatives in solving problems resulting from the reduction of the $50,000,000 originally appropriated to the $19,600,000 which remained unspent and unencumbered and (b) the fact that the utilization factor for City College is 99.97 per cent.

At Mr. Dall'Armi's request, Mr. Mouck explained how these capacity figures are worked out. He called this a predicted ratio:

\[
\frac{\text{the assignable square footage}}{\text{weekly student contact hours}}
\]

He pointed out that temporary square footage figures are involved; if the ratio were worked out with the permanent square footage, City College would have about 1000 per cent utilization. Mr. Dall'Armi commented that the University and state colleges would feel that these standards were too rigid. Dr. Rockwell added that many people in the community don't realize that the District is operating with many temporary facilities, including 12 portables. Mr. Dall'Armi also noted that structures such as the music building are counted as permanent, when they actually should not be included in that category. Mr. Dall'Armi thanked Mr. Mouck for his explanatory remarks.

The big test for the Santa Barbara Junior College District at the meeting he just attended, Mr. Dall'Armi said, was to show that there was a reasonable chance to obtain matching funds. In order to qualify for funds, the District must have funding available and be in a position to get bids and offer contracts. In the near future, an election or combination of elections must be passed to guarantee these funds.
Dr. Rockwell added that this particular $600,000 request by the District was cancelled twice because there were no matching funds, but that Mr. Dall'Armi managed to get the application back on the list.

Mr. Garvin asked Mr. Dunsmore of Daniel, Mann, Johnson, and Mendenhall if plans were far enough along to help the District to qualify. Mr. Dunsmore replied in the affirmative, saying that the PE building plans have been completed through the preliminary stage and the architects are awaiting approval to begin working drawings. Construction on this complex could then be started the first part of 1968. Other areas of planning are on schedule and, except for some remodeling situations, could also be ready for awarding of contracts by the first of 1968.

Mrs. Maigs said that during the last bond election it would have been helpful if all this information had been brought out more clearly. Mr. Dall'Armi explained that although some projects were pending State approval at that time, the District had not yet received word of any approval. He agreed that this kind of information will be vitally important in another election. Mr. Garvin thanked Mr. Dall'Armi for his report on the Sacramento meeting.

*   *   *   *   *

At this point in the meeting, Mr. Garvin introduced Mr. Roy Bagley, new executive secretary of the Santa Barbara County Taxpayers Association, Inc.

5.6 Acceptance of District Audit Report

Mr. Dall'Armi reported that the Audit Report for the 1965-66 school year had just been received in his office; it is usually sent to the school district by December 31. He thought the report was in
good order so, although there had been no time for the Board to inspect it before the meeting, it was hoped the Board would move acceptance so that the Business Office could make the necessary distributions according to the legal requirements set forth in Education Code section 17206.

It was moved by Mr. Wells, seconded by Mrs. Alexander, and carried unanimously, to accept the Santa Barbara Junior College District Audit Report for the 1965-66 school year.

Mr. Dall'Armi asked trustees who did not wish to keep their copies of the report after reading them to return them to his office. Mr. Garvin asked the reason for the delay in delivery of the report to the District. Mr. Dall'Armi said that the audit is done in conjunction with the audit of elementary and high school districts and must be awarded to the lowest bidder. The fact that the successful bidder happened to be an out-of-town firm might possibly account for the delay.

5.7 Approval of Lease Agreement to Use the Family Service Agency Parking Lot for Adult Education Purposes

It was moved by Mr. Frank, seconded by Mrs. Lancaster, and carried unanimously, to approve a lease agreement (see attachment 5.7) between the Family Service Agency of Santa Barbara and the Santa Barbara Junior College District, for use of the former's property located at Garden and De la Guerra streets as a parking lot, at no charge, from January 1, 1967 to July 31, 1968, with month-by-month continuance after July 31, 1968 until written notice is given by either party to terminate the agreement; and to authorize the Administrative Dean, Business Services, to execute the necessary paper work.

5.8 Approval of Election Precincts and Polling Places for the April 18, 1967 Special Governing Board Member Election

Answering Mrs. Lancaster's question, Mr. Dall'Armi stated that
the polling places listed on attachment 5.8 were the same as used previously. The District will share expenses in Goleta, Hope, and Montecito precincts where other elections are being held in addition to the Santa Barbara Junior College District trustee election.

It was moved by Mrs. Lancaster, seconded by Mr. Wells, and carried unanimously, to approve the list of precincts and polling places within the boundaries of the Santa Barbara School District (Elementary), as listed in attachment 5.8, to be used in the Santa Barbara Junior College District trustee election on April 18, 1967, and to send the list to the office of the County Superintendent of Schools.

Mrs. Alexander asked the date of the next election after April 18. Dr. Rockwell replied that a City election would be held May 2, and that dates in June or October could also be considered.

6. STUDENT PERSONNEL
   No report.

7. COMMUNITY RELATIONS
   No report.

8. GENERAL INFORMATION

8.1 Report of Meeting of Committee of the Whole on January 26, 1967

Mr. Garvin reported that the consensus of the Committee of the Whole, at its meeting at 3:00 p.m. prior to the Board's regular meeting on January 26, 1967, was that the Master Plan adopted prior to the November 8, 1966 bond election was still valid and that the District should continue on the basis of this Plan, incorporating any slight modifications which might be considered desirable.

It was moved by Mrs. Alexander that the Board proceed with the District's planning on the basis of the Master Plan as previously adopted. (She then commented that there were still some differences
of opinion but that "we are as ready as we will be."

Mr. Wells seconded Mrs. Alexander's motion.

Mrs. Lancaster commented that, while the general statewide taxpayers' "revolt" may have been primarily responsible for the failure of the District's last bond election, she felt it might also have been due to questions in some persons' minds about multi vs. single campus operation costs (duplicate facilities and administration on two campuses within a few miles of each other, and high original construction and operational costs).

She said there was also the question about the possibility of one large campus in a central locale. She added that it was difficult to determine if the present campus could be disposed of; experts' advice is needed. She said she believed that if more buildings were put on the present campus, the District could not dispose of it; the point of no return would be reached. She felt she had inadequate information to answer citizens who called her to discuss the multi-campus problem.

Mr. Frank stated that he thought phasing in another campus within the Master Plan concept would be feasible. Mrs. Alexander said that projections indicated the need for more than one campus, that an enrollment of 10,000 will be reached by 1985, and that by 1999 a third campus will undoubtedly be needed. Mr. Dall'Armi remarked that because the Fall 1966 projections had proved too low, the projection of 10,000 students by 1985 may also be too low.

Mrs. Meigs pointed out that if the Vietnam war should end in the near future, many young men would return to college and SBCC might have a tremendous influx from this source. Mrs. Lancaster reported that Miss Pearl Chase had mentioned to her that the joint use agreement with the City might be in jeopardy some day and this would present additional problems.
Dr. Rockwell recalled that one reason for developing the two-campus concept was that the Eisner report predicted two centers of population in the future: Goleta Valley and Santa Barbara City, each with approximately 140,000 population. Referring to a report by Dr. DeHart of Foothill College, Dr. Rockwell added that the fourth year after the establishment of a second campus would find the costs of the two campuses to be comparable. He pointed out that the University of California has nine campuses and the state colleges 17; and that the high schools and elementary schools are on many separate locations. A school begins to lose much when it has too large a student body on one campus, he said.

Mr. Frank felt that the Board could reaffirm the general approach of the Master Plan without negating Mrs. Lancaster's points. Dr. Rockwell said he was sure that Mrs. Lancaster and the others would agree that the time to decide on selling was when the old Board of Education decided on the Mesa site.

Mrs. Alexander maintained that two campuses were inevitable and that the Board would find cramped acreage anywhere in the City of Santa Barbara. She didn't favor having both campuses in Goleta, or one in Goleta and one in Carpinteria; a campus is definitely needed in the City of Santa Barbara.

Mrs. Meigs suggested that the people who have called Mrs. Lancaster with their criticisms be invited by her to attend Board meetings to obtain answers to their questions.

The motion which had been made by Mrs. Alexander and seconded by Mr. Wells was then voted on and carried 5-0, with Mrs. Lancaster abstaining. She explained that her abstention was due to the lack of sufficient information.
Mr. Garvin said he would call another meeting of the Committee of the Whole at an early date.

* * * * *

(Mr. Frank left the meeting at this point.)

8.2 Conference Meeting Dates of Interest to Board Members

Trustees were asked to notify the Superintendent's office if they wished to attend any of the conferences listed in section 8.2 of the agenda for January 26, 1967.

9. ADJOURNMENT

The next regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara Junior College District will be held Thursday, February 9, 1967, at 4:00 p.m. in the Board Room at Santa Barbara City College, Santa Barbara, California.

No further business being presented, the President declared the meeting adjourned at 5:15 p.m.

Attest:

[Signature]

James R. Garvin
President, Board of Trustees
Santa Barbara Junior College District

[Signature]

Robert C. Rockwell
Superintendent-President and Secretary-Clerk to the Board of Trustees
Santa Barbara Junior College District

Approved by Board of Trustees

on February 9, 1967