ADJOURNED REGULAR MEETING OF BOARD OF TRUSTEES

SANTA BARBARA JUNIOR COLLEGE DISTRICT

July 26, 1966, 4:00 p.m., Board Room

1. GENERAL FUNCTIONS

1.1 Call to Order

An adjourned regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara Junior College District was called to order by President Garvin on Tuesday, July 26, 1966 at 4:00 p.m. in the Board Room at Santa Barbara City College, Santa Barbara, California.

1.2 Roll Call

Members present: Mrs. Kathryn O. Alexander
Mr. Wilbur L. Fillippini
Mr. Sidney R. Frank
Mr. James R. Garvin
Mrs. Winifred H. Lancaster
Mrs. Dorothy N. Meigs
Mr. Benjamin P. J. Wells

Members absent: None

Others present for all or a portion of the meeting included:

Dr. Robert C. Rockwell, Superintendent-President and Secretary-Clerk to the Board
Mr. Lorenzo Dall'Armi, Administrative Dean, Business Services
Mr. M. L. Huglin, Administrative Dean, Instruction
Mrs. Marie Lantagne, Administrative Dean, Student Personnel
Mr. Spencer Blickenstaff, Assistant Dean, Evening College
Dr. John Forsyth, President, Academic Senate
Mr. Ralph E. Vernon, Past President, SBCC Instructors Association
Mrs. Louise H. Thornton, Secretary to Superintendent
A number of other SBCC staff members
Mr. Richard Buffum, Chairman, Adult Education Advisory Council and Editor, Goleta Gazette-Citizen
Mr. Bruce Dunsmore, architect, Daniel, Mann, Johnson and Mendenhall
Mr. Wallace Arendt, architect, Arendt, Mosher, and Grant
Mrs. Katherine McCloskey, education editor, Santa Barbara News-Press
The President declared that a quorum was present and ordered that the Board proceed with the regular order of business.

1.3 Welcome to Guests

Mr. Garvin welcomed the guests and staff members present.

1.4 Minutes

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mr. Wells, and unanimously carried, to approve the minutes as submitted for the regular meeting of July 14, 1966.

Mrs. Lancaster then submitted a statement containing corrections to the minutes of the regular meeting held on May 26, 1966. It was moved by Mr. Wells, seconded by Mr. Frank, and unanimously carried, that the minutes of May 26, 1966 be amended as follows:

Page 10, beginning with line 5 and continuing to end of paragraph:

"(Excluding the Superintendent-President, she) (on a salary scale) she stated that three administrators would be making-salaries approximately equal to that of the assistant superintendent of schools; (one approximately equal to) (four in the range of) two-would-be-the-same-as high school principals; and two-the-same-as junior high school principals. (These figures were based on contemplated raises, she added.) Even if present administrative salaries were frozen, one would make as much as a high school principal, two the-same as a junior high school principal, and one-the-same as an elementary principal."

Last two lines of page 10:

"According to SBCC's proposed schedule, one dean would make about (two close to) (close to) $19,000, one-over $18,000, and one ever $17,000."
1.5 Hearing of Citizens and Petitions

None.

1.6 Communications

None.

2. PERSONNEL

2.1 Certificated Personnel Assignments

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mrs. Maigs, and unanimously carried, that certificated personnel assignments recommended in Attachment 2.1 and in the addenda be approved.

2.2 Classified Personnel Assignments

It was moved by Mr. Wells, seconded by Mr. Frank, and unanimously carried, that classified personnel assignments recommended in Attachment 2.2 be approved.

3. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION

3.1 Schedule of Adult Education Courses for the Fall Term, 1966-67

It was moved by Mr. Frank, seconded by Mr. Fillippini, and unanimously carried, to approve the schedule of Adult Education courses for the Fall term, 1966-67.

4. COLLEGE PLANTS AND PROPERTY

4.1 Report by Chairman of Committee of the Whole, Regarding the Date and Amount of the Bond Election

As chairman of the Committee of the Whole, Mr. Garvin reported that two study sub-committees and the Committee of the Whole had held meetings prior to July 26, 1966 to discuss matters related to the proposed bond election, and that the Committee of the Whole recommended November 8, 1966 (date of the general election) as the election date and $18,098,000 as the amount of bonds to be requested.

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mr. Wells, and unanimously carried, that the Santa Barbara Junior College District bond
election be held November 8, 1966.

It was moved by Mr. Frank and seconded by Mr. Fillippini that the amount of bonds to be voted upon be $18,098,000. Discussion then ensued.

Mrs. Lancaster said she believed that the Board could submit a lesser amount to the voters ($13,000,000 or, at the highest, $15,000,000) for the first phase, with the understanding that in four years the Board could come back with an additional amount to be voted on. In the interim, other funds might become available from the state and federal governments.

"I don't like to ask for more than we actually need over the next few years," she continued. "I would never approve of going back in one or two years--but four years is another matter."

Mr. Frank pointed out that the $18,000,000 is actually only a "restrictive lid" and that the District might not sell all of this amount; the Board is simply asking permission to use this amount if and when needed.

Referring to the State aid mentioned by Mrs. Lancaster, Mr. Fillippini said, "It should be explained that if the State does come up with money to relieve the local taxpayer, it probably would be under the same conditions as for existing State aid--namely, that the local area would have to have bonded itself to capacity in order to be eligible. You have implied that we are asking for something that we don't need. What is it that we don't need?"

Mrs. Lancaster: "You are projecting beyond the point which I am willing to project, which is four years. I feel that we should purchase the land; it would be foolish not to obtain the land at present prices."

Mr. Frank: "I believe that Mrs. Lancaster's point is that she would
like to phase in the money as we feel the need of it. I believe in going for the full amount. If we should need the money before the four years, we would have it; we wouldn't have to pay for another election and depend on the 'climate' for an election at that time. To the voter it may seem that he will be taxed for $18,000,000 now; this means we must educate him as to the economies in having the money available."

Mr. Fillippini: "I am never in favor of asking voters to vote for money on speculation. I am convinced, after a year's study, that this is a minimum program. Even though we ask for $18,000,000, we can continue to explore the possibilities of getting state and federal funds."

Mr. Wells: "If we go for $13,000,000, something would have to be left out in the over-all plan."

Mrs. Meigs: "I am for letting voters do independent thinking and giving us the money to be used in the most economical, wisest way."

(See margin at left for additions to minutes requested August 3, 1966.)

Mrs. Alexander: "We do agree on what is needed, and if we can go united to the voters it would be desirable. It is simply a case of timing. Dr. Rockwell and Mr. Dall'Armi have assured us that we are applying for these additional funds. We would not save by requesting only part of the money at this time; it has proved more expensive for other districts to phase-in construction."

Mrs. Lancaster: "I don't think that we should ask the local property taxpayer for the full amount when there are probabilities of getting some of this money elsewhere."

Mr. Dall'Armi: "It would be 1970 to 1972 before the District could sell the full $18,000,000. We couldn't sell more than $11,000,000 worth this year."

The motion made earlier by Mr. Frank and seconded by Mr. Fillippini,
that the amount of bonds to be voted upon be $18,098,000, was voted on and carried 6-1, with Mrs. Lancaster dissenting.

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mr. Frank, and unanimously carried, that the Administrative Dean, Business Services, be authorized to prepare a resolution containing the date of the bond election and the amount of bonds to be voted on. The resolution will be presented for adoption at the next meeting.

4.2 Architect's Presentation of Concept Plans for Certain New Buildings

(To accommodate the architect, the Board took up this item immediately preceding item 2.1.)

Mr. Dunsmore of Daniel, Mann, Johnson and Mendenhall discussed Phase III of the Mesa Campus plan (the physical education complex of which had been previously presented and approved), as follows:

a. Drama-music facilities - can handle any type of performing arts; oriented also to instructional needs; offices included.

b. Art building - Bridged across to Little Theater at upper level; includes studios, lecture areas, gallery, patio, offices.

c. Three classroom buildings with offices.

d. Central lecture hall and communication unit - Dr. Donald D. Bushnell will work as consultant with architects and staff.

e. Addition to present science building - May bridge the two sections.

f. Additional science building.

g. Two office buildings.

h. Business education - Includes data processing and computer areas; alternate plans were shown for consideration if limit of 3,000 FTE is set instead of 4,000.

Mr. Dunsmore reported that more detailed work is being done on the physical education complex; that site work is being defined with emphasis on access from Leadbetter (the architect will meet soon with City officials to discuss access and parking); that concepts are being
developed for remodeling trade training facilities and the Campus Center (including adding of coffeeshop); and that it is planned to remove the temporary classrooms from the campus, eventually.

He praised the "tremendous staff support" which has made this project move more rapidly than any other education project in which he has been involved.

Dr. Rockwell asked if the Board felt familiar enough with the concept plans presented at this meeting to approve them at this time and authorize DMJM to proceed from concept to preliminary drawings. If not, he said, the drawings could be left on campus for more study, and authorization delayed until the next meeting. Mr. Frank said he would like to review the drawings further; other Board members concurred. It was decided to leave the drawings on display in the Board Room; for an hour before the next meeting, Mr. Dunsmore will be present to answer questions.

Mrs. Meigs inquired if the topographical model with buildings, displayed earlier this year, could be brought up to date and displayed again--not only on campus, but perhaps also in a bank or other public place. Mr. Dunsmore replied that the original mockup is being kept up to date and will be brought to the campus the first of September.

Mr. Garvin thanked Mr. Dunsmore for his presentation.

5. BUSINESS AND FINANCE

5.1 Retention of Architect to Complete Planning of Adult Education Facilities

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini and seconded by Mr. Frank to authorize the Administrative Dean, Business Services, to enter into an agreement with Arendt, Mosher, and Grant for necessary architectural services to complete the planning of Adult Education facilities.

Mrs. Lancaster commented, "Some alternative ways of completing these
facilities—with which not all Board members are as yet familiar and which might affect the plans—have arisen. In view of this, I would like to suggest postponing the hiring of an architect and wait for Mr. Wake's return from vacation."

Mr. Buffum, chairman of the Adult Education Advisory Council, said, "I know Mr. Wake would back up your hiring this architectural firm as soon as possible. If there are any alternatives which might create problems, I feel that Mr. Arendt should be in on these to give his professional advice."

Mrs. Lancaster: "If Mr. Buffum thinks Mr. Wake would approve this hiring at this time, that is fine." (See margin at left for additions to minutes requested August 3, 1966.)

Mrs. Alexander: "I think we would be delinquent in our discussion of the Adult Education needs if we didn't have professional help."

The motion made earlier by Mr. Fillippini and seconded by Mr. Frank, to authorize the Administrative Dean, Business Services, to enter into an agreement with Arendt, Mosher, and Grant for necessary architectural services to complete the planning for Adult Education facilities, was voted on and carried unanimously.

6. STUDENT PERSONNEL

No report.

7. COMMUNITY RELATIONS

No report.

8. GENERAL INFORMATION

8.1 Appointment of Acting Superintendent

It was moved by Mrs. Meigs, seconded by Mr. Fillippini, and carried unanimously, that Mr. Lorenzo Dall'Armi, Administrative Dean, Business Services, be appointed Acting Superintendent during the Superintendent's absence.
9. ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Dall'Armi announced that, before adjournment, he would like to report that copies of the Final Budget would be attached to the Board members' agenda for the next meeting. In this budget will be some slight revisions of the Publication Budget already adopted; the largest item of revision in the expenditures budget will be the $15,000 set aside for payment of expenses incurred in defense of the Viola, Inc., suit, if this becomes necessary. He asked the Board members to call him if they had any questions about items in the Final Budget.

No further business being presented, President Garvin declared the meeting adjourned at 5:25 p.m. A regular meeting is scheduled for Thursday, July 28, 1966 at 4:00 p.m. in the Board Room at Santa Barbara City College.

Attest: 
Mr. James R. Garvin 
President, Board of Trustees 
Santa Barbara Junior College District

Dr. Robert C. Rockwell 
Superintendent-President and Secretary- Clerk to the Board of Trustees 
Clerk to the Board of Trustees 
Santa Barbara Junior College District
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