ADJOURNED MEETING OF BOARD OF TRUSTEES
SANTA BARBARA JUNIOR COLLEGE DISTRICT
December 13, 1966, 4:00 p.m., Board Room

1. GENERAL FUNCTIONS

1.1 Call to Order

An adjourned meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara
Junior College District was called to order by President Garvin on
Tuesday, December 13, 1966, at 4:00 p.m. in the Board Room of Santa
Barbara City College, Santa Barbara, California.

1.2 Roll Call

Members present: Mr. Wilbur L. Fillippini
Mr. Sidney R. Frank
Mr. James R. Garvin
Mrs. Winifred H. Lancaster
Mrs. Dorothy N. Meigs
Mr. Benjamin P. J. Wells

Members absent: Mrs. Kathryn O. Alexander

Others present for all or a portion of the meeting included:

Dr. Robert C. Rockwell, Superintendent-President
and Secretary-G Clerk to the Board of Trustees
Mr. Lorenzo Dall'A rni, Administrative Dean,
Business Services
Mr. M. L. Huglin, Administrative Dean, Instruction
Mrs. Marie Lantagne, Administrative Dean, Student
Personnel
Mr. Selmer O. Wake, Director-Administrative Dean,
Adult Education
Mr. Spencer Blickenstaff, Assistant Dean, Evening
College
Mr. Lisle C. Bresslin, Assistant Dean, Admissions
and Records
Mr. James E. Foxx, Assistant Dean, Instruction,
Vocational-Technical
Mr. N. Gary Mouch, Assistant Dean, Instruction,
Vocational-Technical Research
Mr. Charles F. Rheinschmidt, Assistant Dean,
Student Activities
Mrs. Margaret F. McGilin, Director, Nursing Education
Mr. Paul Ash, Coordinator, Adult Education
Mr. Maurice Ryan, Jr., Coordinator, Apprenticeship
Dr. John Forsyth, President, Academic Senate
Mr. Theron Barnes, Audio-Visual Assistant
Mrs. Louise H. Thornton, Secretary to Superintendent
A number of other SBCC staff members
Mr. Bruce Dunsmore, architect, Los Angeles
Mr. Barney Brantingham, staff writer, Santa Barbara
   News-Press
Mrs. Harold J. Miller, observer, American Association of University Women

The President declared that a quorum was present and ordered that
the Board proceed with the regular order of business.

1.3 Welcome to Guests

Mr. Garvin welcomed guests and staff members present and invited
comments from the audience during the course of the meeting.

1.4 Minutes

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mr. Frank, and carried
unanimously, to approve the minutes for the adjourned meeting of
November 17, 1966.

1.5 Hearing of Citizens and Petitions

None.

1.6 Communications

a. Christmas Program

Dr. Rockwell conveyed an invitation from Mr. Harold Dunn, music
professor, to the annual Christmas program December 14 and 15, 1966,
at 8:00 p.m. in the Campus Center. Because of limited seating space,
trustees were asked to notify the Superintendent's Office if they
wished tickets.

b. Tax Reform Committee

Dr. Rockwell reported that the Santa Barbara County Board of
Supervisors' Tax Reform Committee, at an organizational meeting on
December 8, 1966, asked him to serve, with Mr. Dall'Armi as an
alternate. On the committee, which will study various approaches
to the tax problem, are three supervisors and representatives from
a number of organizations. Dr. Rockwell will keep the Board informed
of the committee's work. The next meeting will be held December 14, 1966.

NOTE: Item 5.6 was discussed at this point in the meeting, to accommodate the out-of-town architect, Mr. Dinsmore.

2. PERSONNEL

2.1 Certificated Personnel Assignments

It was moved by Mr. Wells, seconded by Mr. Fillippini, and carried unanimously, to approve certificated personnel assignments as recommended in attachment 2.1 and in the addenda.

2.2 Classified Personnel Assignments

It was moved by Mrs. Lancaster, seconded by Mr. Wells, and unanimously carried, to approve classified personnel assignments as recommended in attachment 2.2 and in the addenda.

3. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION

3.1 Accreditation for Associate Degree Nursing Program

As a matter of information for the Board, Dr. Rockwell announced that he had received notification from the California Board of Nursing Education and Nurse Registration that the following action was taken during its regular meeting November 29, 1966, in Los Angeles: "That the application for accreditation for the Santa Barbara City College Associate Degree Nursing Program be accepted."

3.2 Report on Adult Education Classes for Winter Term

Mr. Wake reported that the winter term adult education supplement will be published in the Santa Barbara News-Press on December 27, 1966. He described a few of the approximately 300 courses to be offered.

3.3 Instructional Implications of the Defeated Bonds

Mr. Huglin remarked that the Board sub-committee would be studying
the points in his report on instructional implications of the recently
defeated bond election (please see attachment 3.3), but that he wished
to go over the general conclusions and recommendations. He then reviewed
a number of points in his report, and was thanked by Mr. Garvin.

Mr. Frank asked about the limitation on library purchases. Mr.
Dall'Armi explained that the District does not have sufficient current
funds to make large capital outlay expenditures, because of the loss of
the bond election. Dr. Rockwell noted that some large gains had been
made in building up to desired standards the number of volumes in the
library. Mrs. Lancaster said she could understand initial purchases
for the library coming from capital outlay funds, but she felt that
subsequently operational funds should be used to purchase library books
and that the library should receive priority over many other areas.

Dr. Rockwell then referred to Mrs. Lancaster's remarks on community
criticism during the bond election (please see section 5.6, which was
discussed following section 1.6), saying that he had spoken to at least
30 organizations during the recent bond campaign but had not encountered
any of this criticism. He said that certainly any criticisms should
be answered, but that he didn't believe in paying the cost of possible
delays. He said he was concerned that the Board might be stymied by
certain criticisms. He thought that the Board had studied this entire
matter carefully and, that when decisions are made, changes must be
based on more than idle criticism from the public.

Mr. Wells said that the point was brought out at the recent California
School Boards Association meeting that Peralta Junior College District
did not start with one campus, but with three. One must consider the
area involved, he added; what other campuses do may not be applicable
to the Santa Barbara Junior College District.
Mr. Frank, referring to the proposed marine technology program, said that applications for federal and state aid will be pouring in and that the SBJCD should submit its application quickly. He said he believed that the District should not wipe out all new curricula; it is qualified to receive grant aid and should request it. Much of the curriculum for the marine technology course is already offered by SBCC, he continued. Because of the time schedule and the fact that SBCC is ideally situated, the District stands a good chance of getting some of this money.

Mr. Huglin said that planning is not being discontinued. Mr. Mouck is actively engaged in seeking funds, and when their total income factor is known, a priority will be assigned to the proposed new programs on the basis of which can be supported physically and financially.

4. COLLEGE PLANTS AND PROPERTY

No report.

5. BUSINESS AND FINANCE

5.1 Purchase Order Reports

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mr. Wells, and carried unanimously, to authorize and/or ratify the purchase of supplies, equipment, and services on purchase order numbers 11509 through 11636, in accordance with attachments 5.1-a through 5.1-e.

5.2 Payment of Claims

Mr. Dall'Armi said that the item regarding 3M Business Products Sales, Inc., in the amount of $1,568.12 should be deleted. It was moved by Mr. Wells, seconded by Mrs. Lancaster, and carried unanimously, to strike out this item from the list of claims. It was moved by Mrs. Meigs, seconded by Mrs. Lancaster, and carried unanimously, to authorize and/or ratify the payment of claims in accordance with attachments 5.2-a and 5.2-b and the addenda.
5.3 Approval of Procedure to Invest Surplus Cash Funds in Government Securities

Mr. Dall'Armi explained that sometimes during the school year the District has surplus cash funds on hand. In order to maximize the interest income, the Superintendent has recommended that these funds be invested for short-term periods in U. S. government securities. He has further recommended that the Assistant Secretary-Clerk, upon approval of the Superintendent, be authorized to invest such funds without prior referral to the Board, since several days or even weeks are generally required to secure Board approval for such investments and, meanwhile, valuable time is lost while waiting for such approval.

It was moved by Mr. Wells, seconded by Mr. Frank, and unanimously carried, that the Assistant Secretary-Clerk, upon the approval of the Superintendent, be authorized to invest Santa Barbara Junior College District cash surplus funds in U. S. government securities for short-term periods, without prior referral to the Board.

Mr. Frank inquired as to the interest status if these funds were not invested. Mr. Dall'Armi replied that the Santa Barbara County rate of interest is only about 2-1/2 to 3 per cent as compared with a rate of 5 per cent or higher when investment is made in the manner proposed.

5.4 Approval to Submit Application for a Grant Under Title I of the Higher Education Facilities Act of 1963 for Construction of Academic Facilities

It was moved by Mr. Wells and seconded by Mr. Fillippini to approve the submission of an application for a grant under Title I of the Higher Education Facilities Act of 1963, using the life science-geology building (estimated construction cost $1,300,000) as the project; and to authorize the Administrative Dean, Business Services, to execute the necessary details. If approved, the District may receive a maximum reimbursement of $500,000; matching funds required of the District amount to $750,000.
The vote was as follows:

Ayes: Mr. Filippini
      Mr. Frank
      Mr. Garvin
      Mrs. Meigs
      Mr. Wells

Nays: None

Abstentions: Mrs. Lancaster

Mrs. Lancaster explained that she abstained from voting on this item in order to be consistent with her vote in section 5.6 (discussed following section 1.6), when she voted against proceeding with any preliminary planning pending further study.

5.5 Summary of Applications Submitted by the District for State and Federal Construction Grants

Mr. Dall'Armi referred to the summary of applications already submitted or soon to be submitted by the District for state or federal construction grants, as listed for Board information in the agenda for the December 13, 1966, meeting. He reported that last week an application for a state grant to help build a physical education unit was turned down because the District did not have the matching funds. However, he added, the District later managed to keep the application alive because it showed that maximum effort had been made. He explained that it was important to have funds available. Those districts who "have" continue to get, he said, while those like SBJCD who are in the greatest need cannot qualify. This point needs to be emphasized emphatically in the next bond election.

5.6 Review of the Status of the Architectural Planning Rendered by the Firm of Daniel, Mann, Johnson, and Mendenhall

(This section was discussed following section 1.6, to accommodate the out-of-town architect.)
Mr. Dunsmore of Daniel, Mann, Johnson, and Mendenhall, outlined for the Board where the planning has been, where it is now, and where it could be going. As previously pointed out, the basic four-increment breakdown—which is a convenience to planning and which in no way infers any priority—is still being followed. Assignment of priority will take place at the completion of preliminaries. He stated that completion of all preliminaries could be expected in February, 1967, if the present pace is continued.

Replying to Mrs. Lancaster's question, Mr. Dunsmore said that since the cut-off date of November 30, 1966, there has been some planning—the cost of which is not large. Regardless of the success or failure of the bond election, the need still exists; therefore, the architects have tried to adhere to the schedule so that when the time arrives for another bond election the architects won't have to start from scratch.

To another question from Mrs. Lancaster, Mr. Dunsmore replied that planning has been commenced in every area. Mrs. Lancaster commented that she had asked, at an earlier meeting, about the standby status and was told there would be no new planning; this reply now seemed to her to be meaningless in view of the remarks just made by Mr. Dunsmore.

Dr. Rockwell noted that the greatest portion of the 25-per-cent-completed planning is in vocational facilities. Mr. Dunsmore pointed out that no planning is being done on site work, the athletic field, and Leadbetter Drive; all work has stopped in these areas.

After Mr. Fillippini suggested it might be helpful if the various planning steps were explained, Mr. Dunsmore listed them as follows: (a) initial; (b) master plan; (c) concept (space arrangements); (d) preliminary three-dimensional drawings (structure, electrical, mechanical, heating, civil engineering); (e) specifications for every piece of material plus preliminary estimates; (f) working drawings
(which are submitted for bids by contractors); (g) bidding, construction, and supervision.

Mrs. Lancaster asked if the architects had gone beyond the preliminary stage in anything. Mr. Dunsmore answered, "No. You authorized us to go only into preliminaries, and at each point you authorized us to proceed."

Mr. Garvin said he felt the administration should come up soon with priorities so that the Board and public would know what is considered most important. Dr. Rockwell replied that after all preliminaries are completed, the staff will recommend priorities for approval of the Board.

Dr. Rockwell suggested that the Board might consider taking all areas through the preliminary stage to facilitate determination of priorities. Mr. Frank asked if this would cost about $50,000, to which Mr. Dunsmore replied, "Yes, plus perhaps a little past that."

Mr. Fillippini noted that, to protect the District's existing investment, it would be more practical to go through the preliminary stage—from which further planning might be picked up more conveniently and without too much additional expense. Mr. Dunsmore concurred, saying that continuity of planning is important and the work should be done by the group presently familiar with it. Mrs. Lancaster said she could see that a year would be too long to delay further planning, but that surely the same men would be in the firm if the planning were delayed for two months.

Mr. Dunsmore referred to Dr. Rockwell's point—that completion of preliminaries would make a more realistic cost possible. Mr. Fillippini agreed that this would put the District in a better position to explain in detail to the voting public what is desired. During the past election campaign, he said, those working for the bonds' passage had to be a little vague and couldn't show specifics to the public, in every case.
Mrs. Meigs expressed the thought that if the District should want to present its bonds in another way, building by building, all preliminary plans should be available. Mr. Dunsmore said that the architects have had to proceed so that application for federal and state funds could be made. Planning must be developed, he added, to the point where estimates of cost can be given. Mr. Garvin said that the Board would be trying to save pennies and not dollars if everything were stopped right now.

Mrs. Lancaster stated that she believed the Board had never gone into one particular criticism engendered during the election campaign—i.e., multi vs. single campus operation—and had not considered the alternatives. She said that she had heard some college presidents warn that it would be costly to have a second campus and such a move should be studied carefully in all its implications. She suggested that planning be held in abeyance for a month or two while the Board discussed the criticisms and found some answers.

Mrs. Meigs said she recalled that the Board had tried to determine how much could be put on the Mesa campus.

Mrs. Lancaster asked, "Why are our construction costs so high? Other campuses are completely built for $13,500,000 for 4,000 students. We are doing only half of this construction for this amount of money."

Mr. Fillippini said, "If we have not discussed two campuses, how did we decide to put a second campus on the ballot? I remember talking about whether to make a second campus vocational."

Mrs. Lancaster stated that the Board had never discussed the possibility of disposing of the Mesa campus and building a complete campus elsewhere.

Mr. Dall'Armi said he had made a brief study of the amount the District would have to ask for the Mesa campus to get back its investment:
$7,000,000 of bonded indebtedness assumed in becoming a separate
district, of which $3,450,000 was spent for new buildings and remodeling;
approximately $800,000 spent from current operating funds for capital
improvements; close to $200,000 invested in architectural planning to
date. Use of La Playa, parking areas, Pershing Park, and Los Baños del
Mar would be worth at least $2,000,000 more to the District if replace-
ments for these facilities were sought. This would make a total of a
minimum of $10,000,000 to get back what the District has paid, plus
facilities now available under terms of the joint use agreement with
the City.

Mrs. Lancaster said she thought a sub-committee including members
from the community should be appointed to discuss all alternatives.

Mr. Fillippini commented that if it were possible to change campuses
and get the District's and taxpayers' investment back, with time for
proper transition, he would be interested in selling. He added that
he felt certain that anyone who would offer the amount the District
would ask would not be deterred by an additional $50,000 spent for
completion of preliminary planning. Noting that the present Board had
inherited the decision to put the campus on its present location, he
said that his sub-committee would be making a feasibility study and
would report back to the Board.

Mr. Garvin then asked for a recommendation from the Superintendent.

Dr. Rockwell recommended that the architects proceed through the
preliminaries and that the Board direct the administration and staff--
at the completion of these preliminaries—to set up priorities for the
future bond issue. This would be reaffirmation of what the Board has
already authorized.

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini and seconded by Mrs. Meigs to authorize
Daniel, Mann, Johnson, and Mendenhall to complete preliminary plans and
to direct the administration and staff of Santa Barbara City College, upon completion of preliminaries, to set up priorities for the next bond election. Mrs. Meigs then asked Mr. Dall'Armi about the District's financial situation. Mr. Dall'Armi replied that approximately $181,000 remains in the original bond fund. This, plus Title I funds amounting to $192,000 (85 per cent received) deposited in a special reserve fund, makes a total of $373,000 available.

Mr. Garvin inquired if the architects had been approached as to whether they would continue planning subject to a new bond election. Dr. Rockwell replied that the architectural firm was willing to do so without the District paying anything at the present time. Mr. Frank commented that close to $150,000 has been invested in the planning for the future and that it has been established that the District might be penalized if preliminary plans aren't completed and the District does not have any firm figures (except for physical education) as to what it would cost to build.

Mrs. Meigs asked if the architects had been paid anything so far. Mr. Dunsmore commented that it is customary for an architectural firm to be paid at the end of certain phases and that DMJM could have billed not at completion of concepts and preliminaries but has/done this so far. The architects, he said, feel strongly about keeping to a schedule because of the needs. He said he had full authorization to state that Daniel, Mann, Johnson, and Mendenhall would carry the District to the next bond election if necessary. Mrs. Meigs remarked that she thought the firm had been very generous. Mr. Fillippini asked if the firm would bill the District if everything were stopped now, and Mr. Dunsmore indicated that he would have to have some idea of when the District would go ahead again.
Mr. Fillippini said he could not see curtailing planning on the basis that some miracle might occur and that someone would come up with $10,000,000. Mrs. Lancaster remarked that the assumed bonded indebtedness would have had to be assumed no matter what the District did.

The motion was then voted on and carried 5-1, with Mrs. Lancaster dissenting.

6. STUDENT PERSONNEL

6.1 Student Protest Against Alleged Censorship of Club Newsletters (not on Agenda)

Mr. Rheinschmidt reported that a small, orderly student demonstration had taken place at noon, preceding the Board meeting, and--at student request--had been recorded by the Santa Barbara News-Press and KEY-T. Last week, he explained, the Student Council voted to subsidize student club newsletters but to restrict contents of the letters to non-editorial matter. The clubs felt this was censorship. After requesting and receiving permission, about 30 students marched to the Student Council meeting. After discussion, the previous vote of the Council was rescinded. The newsletters will be governed by the Policy on Student Political and Social Action, and club advisers will be responsible for the letters. At the conclusion of this report by Mr. Rheinschmidt, Mrs. Lantagne commended him for the fine manner in which he works with all students.

7. COMMUNITY RELATIONS

No report.

8. GENERAL INFORMATION

8.1 Community College Act of 1967

Information about the work being done to bring about a Community College Act to correct certain inequities experienced by junior colleges with regard to the new federal education acts is contained in attachment 8.1, and was presented as a matter of information only.
8.2 Acceptance of Gift for Printshop

It was moved by Mrs. Lancaster, seconded by Mr. Wells, and carried unanimously, that the Superintendent write a letter expressing the District's gratitude to Mr. Paul Barger, editor of the Carpinteria Herald, for the gift of a used photo engraving unit and a newspaper folder (approximate value $1,000) for use in the campus printshop.

8.3 Confirmation of Decisions Made in the Committee of the Whole Meeting on November 22, 1966

The two sub-committees named by President Garvin at the Committee of the Whole meeting on November 22, 1966, will meet prior to the next meeting of the Board of Trustees.

The sub-committee composed of Mr. Fillippini, chairman, Mrs. Lancaster and Mrs. Meigs will discuss which direction the District wishes to take, what procedures to follow to implement another bond election, and other pertinent matters.

The sub-committee composed of Mr. Wells, chairman, Mrs. Alexander, and Mr. Frank will examine the amount of bonds, going on the assumption that the master plan is still valid.

To Mrs. Lancaster's question, Mr. Garvin said there would be no limit as to the topics to be discussed in these studies. The sub-committees will report to the Board at its next regular meeting.

9. ADJOURNMENT

It was agreed to skip the fourth Thursday in December and to hold the next regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of Santa Barbara Junior College District on Thursday, January 12, 1967, at 4:00 p.m. in the Board Room at Santa Barbara City College, Santa Barbara, California. This will provide time for the sub-committees' studies and preparation of reports.

No further business being presented, the President declared the meeting adjourned at 5:55 p.m.
Attest:

James R. Garvin
President, Board of Trustees
Santa Barbara Junior College District

Robert C. Rockwell
Superintendent-President and Secretary-
Clerk to the Board of Trustees
Santa Barbara Junior College District

Approved by Board of Trustees
as corrected

on January 12, 1967