ADJOURNED MEETING OF BOARD OF TRUSTEES
SANTA BARBARA JUNIOR COLLEGE DISTRICT

November 17, 1966, 4:00 p.m., Board Room

1. GENERAL FUNCTIONS

1.1 Call to Order

An adjourned meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara
Junior College District was called to order by President Garvin on
Thursday, November 17, 1966, at 4:00 p.m. in the Board Room of Santa
Barbara City College, Santa Barbara, California.

1.2 Roll Call

Members present:  Mrs. Kathryn O. Alexander
                Mr. Wilbur L. Filippini
                Mr. Sidney R. Frank
                Mr. James R. Garvin
                Mrs. Winifred H. Lancaster
                Mrs. Dorothy N. Meigs
                Mr. Benjamin P. J. Wells

Members absent:  None

Others present for all or a portion of the meeting included:

Dr. Robert C. Rockwell, Superintendent-President
and Secretary-Clerk to the Board of Trustees
Mr. Lorenzo Dall'Armi, Administrative Dean,
Business Services
Mr. M. L. Huglin, Administrative Dean, Instruction
Mrs. Marie Lantagne, Administrative Dean, Student
Personnel
Mr. Spencer Blickenstaff, Assistant Dean, Evening
College
Dr. Martin Bobgan, Assistant Dean, Adult Education
Mr. Lisle C. Bresslin, Assistant Dean, Admissions
and Records
Mr. James E. Foxx, Assistant Dean, Instruction,
Vocational-Technical
Mr. N. Gary Mouck, Assistant Dean, Instruction,
Vocational-Technical Research
Mr. Charles F. Rheinschmidt, Assistant Dean,
Student Activities
Mrs. Margaret F. McGillin, Director, Nursing Education
Mr. Maurice Ryan, Jr., Coordinator, Apprenticeship
Dr. John Forsyth, President, Academic Senate
Mr. George Shiers, President, Adult Education
Instructors Association
Mr. Richard Weist, President, SBCC Instructors Association
Mr. Theron Barnes, Audio-Visual Assistant
Mrs. Louise H. Thornton, Secretary to Superintendent
A number of other SBCC staff members
Mr. Barney Brantingham, Santa Barbara News-Press staff writer
Mr. Mike Parfit, Editor, Channels
Mrs. Laverne Marcy, observer, League of Women Voters
Mrs. Harold J. Miller, observer, American Association of University Women

The President declared that a quorum was present and ordered that the Board proceed with the regular order of business.

1.3 Welcome to Guests

Mr. Garvin welcomed guests and staff members present and invited comments from the audience during the course of the meeting.

1.4 Minutes

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mrs. Meigs, and unanimously carried, to approve the minutes for the regular meeting of October 27, 1966.

1.5 Hearing of Citizens and Petitions

None.

1.6 Communications

Mr. Frank reported on two recent committee meetings:

a. The Board's sub-committee to review administrative salaries has met twice. A representative of Edgerton, Gershhausen & Grier, Inc., nuclear research and instrumentation firm, is providing pertinent material from industry; Mrs. Alexander will inquire into professional salaries; Mrs. Lancaster will conduct a survey among other junior college districts. The sub-committee will report at a future Board meeting.

b. The Advisory Committee for Marine Technology, together with visitors from Hancock College, met November 4, 1966. Mr. Frank
said he was impressed by the interest of the community representatives from industry. He reported that the sea grant colleges bill is now law and that a letter of inquiry to the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare has been processed, asking for forms to apply for assistance. Dr. Robert Profant of SBCC and committee chairman Dale Well are working on the curriculum.

2. PERSONNEL

2.1 Certificated Personnel Assignments

It was moved by Mr. Wells, seconded by Mr. Fillippini, and unanimously carried, to approve certificated personnel assignments as recommended in attachment 2.1 and in the addenda.

2.2 Classified Personnel Assignments

It was moved by Mr. Wells, seconded by Mr. Fillippini, and unanimously carried, to approve classified personnel assignments as recommended in attachment 2.2 and in the addenda.

2.3 Organization of Negotiating Council for the Current Year

Mr. Garvin asked Dr. Rockwell to bring the Board up to date on the organization of the Negotiating Council. Dr. Rockwell recalled that, according to adopted policy, the deadline for application for recognition on the Council was October 24, 1966; however, he had extended the deadline to November 4, 1966, because neither of the applicant organizations had followed the exact procedures outlined in the policy.

Application of the formula used to determine representation on the Council resulted in the Adult Education Instructors Association being eligible to have six members and the Santa Barbara City College Instructors Association to have three members. Both groups recognized the problem posed by this ratio, since the Adult Education teachers are
mostly part-time and the SBCC Instructors Association members are
mostly on full-time contracts. The Adult Education group does not
wish to dominate the Council and, in a letter written jointly by the
presidents of the two organizations, the suggestion was offered to Dr.
Rockwell that only two or three members represent the Adult Education
group on the Council. Dr. Rockwell replied to both groups, after con-
sultation with the County Counsel, that he had no alternative but to
follow the Education Code and the formal policy adopted by the Board.
Shortly thereafter, the two associations sent Dr. Rockwell letters
stating they were withdrawing their requests for representation on
the Negotiating Council.

Dr. Rockwell suggested to the Board that two committees be formed
as soon as possible: (a) Joint Committee on Salaries with six members
from the SBCC Instructors Association and three from the Adult
Education Instructors Association, to study salaries and meet and
confer with the Board, if necessary, regarding salary recommendations;
and (b) Joint Committee for Restudy with three members from the SBCC
Instructors Association and two members from the Adult Education
Instructors Association, to restudy the Policy on Employer-Employee
Relations to allow for equitable representation from all certificated
employee groups.

Dr. Rockwell then called on Mr. George Shiers, president of the
Adult Education Instructors Association and Mr. Richard Weist, president
of the SBCC Instructors Association. Mr. Weist said that his group
agreed with the procedure suggested by Dr. Rockwell and would like to
work with the Board so that both groups could be recognized officially.
Mr. Shiers remarked that his association agreed with both Dr. Rockwell's
proposal and Mr. Weist's statement.
It was moved by Mrs. Alexander and seconded by Mrs. Meigs to approve the establishment of the two committees aforementioned in paragraph 2 of page 4 of these minutes.

Mrs. Lancaster said she felt that if a separate state board for junior colleges were formed, the local board should not spend too much time now in amending the college's employer-employee relations policy. Mr. Wells asked if the particular rule which has proved so troublesome could be suspended for this coming year. Dr. Rockwell, concurring with Mrs. Lancaster's comment that by spring the Winton Act may no longer apply to junior colleges, said that the Board's approval of Mrs. Alexander's motion would accomplish what the two instructors' associations want.

Mr. Stanley Sofas, president of the SBCC chapter, California Junior College Faculty Association, noted that at the group's recent statewide meeting he learned that most junior colleges do not plan to have a Negotiating Council; instead, they have a formal arrangement such as an academic senate. He added that because the SBCC Academic Senate includes both administrators and teachers in its membership, perhaps it could not be used for negotiating purposes.

Mr. Fillippini commended both associations for having arrived at a working solution to the problem and said he thought Dr. Rockwell's suggestions were good temporary measures. He interpreted "shall" in the policy as permissive rather than mandatory and said he thought the two groups could operate as they wished, legally.

Mr. Frank concurred with Mr. Fillippini, saying he felt that the Board would not want to become involved in legal entanglements.

The motion was voted on and carried unanimously.

2.4 Appointment of Representative to Santa Barbara County School Boards Association Salary Committee

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mrs. Lancaster, and
unanimously carried, to appoint Mr. Wells as the Board of Trustees' representative to the Santa Barbara County School Boards Association Salary Committee.

3. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION

3.1 Adoption of Textbooks for Spring Semester, 1967

It was moved by Mrs. Meigs, seconded by Mrs. Alexander, and unanimously carried, to adopt the textbooks for spring semester, 1967, as listed in attachment 3.1.

3.2 Quarter System and Year-Round Operation

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mr. Frank, and unanimously carried, that the Santa Barbara Junior College District comply with the following directive of the State Board of Education and that a study of the feasibility of the quarter system and year-round operation be made:

"A. That each Board of Trustees operating a junior college is reminded that the decision of conversion to the quarter system and to year-round operations is theirs to make.

B. That the State Board request that each local Board of Trustees implement the January 28, 1964, resolution of the Coordinating Council to study the four-quarter plan.

C. That each local Board of Trustees be requested to report the result of its study to the State Board of Education by January 1, 1968.

C. That the State Board of Education direct the Department of Education to provide, as feasible, support studies to the local districts in the form of staff and technical services, including computer programming and mathematical models."

3.3 Approval of Application for Projects Under the Vocational Education Act of 1963 (P.L. 88-210)

It was moved by Mr. Wells and seconded by Mr. Frank to authorize proceeding with the following applications, all of which programs would be reimbursed on a 50 per cent matching basis between the California State Department of Education (federal funds) and the
Santa Barbara Junior College District:

A. **Electronics Technician** - D.O.T. 003.181 - $2,970
   (see attachment 3.3-a)

B. **Supplemental Request to Previously Approved Project No. 7-1184** - Research, Supervision, Coordination and Counseling in Vocational Education - $28,376 (see attachment 3.3-b)

C. **Welding, Combination** - D.O.T. 812.884 - $4,675.88 (see attachment 3.3-c)

Mrs. Lancaster asked Mr. Foxx what costs for equipment and personnel may be involved in the future, after the initial reimbursement is spent. Mr. Foxx replied that (a) one-half of the electronics equipment has been approved and ordered and four more sets will be needed in the future; (b) in the welding program, one welder is on hand and a second welder and installation are to be ordered; future expense will not be too great to continue the program; and (c) in the supervision program, there is a repetition of the original request for 50 per cent reimbursement, plus a request for payment for one of the two typewriters now on hand and for $1,000 transportation for three persons. To Mr. Fillippini's query if the supervision program were under Adult Education, Mr. Foxx answered that on-the-job supervision is done by Adult Education staff and that Mr. Foxx does the planning and teacher recruiting in the daytime. He also noted that welding is 100 per cent Adult Education and that electronics is a combination of day and evening program.

The motion was voted on and carried unanimously.

3.4 **Application for Health Occupations Facility**

Dr. Rockwell explained that because the application for a grant of federal funds under Part B, Title VII, PHS Act (Health Professions Teaching Facility) and Part A, Title VIII, PHS Act (Nurse Training Facility) had to be submitted November 14, 1966, he had made the
administrative decision to apply. When applying, a district may say that funds are pending the successful passage of a bond election.

To Mr. Garvin's query as to the deadline for commencing con-
struction, Mr. Mouck replied, "24 months." Mr. Dall'Armi announced that at the next Board meeting, trustees will be given a summary of state and federal applications already submitted dependent upon matching local funds.

4. **COLLEGE PLANTS AND PROPERTY**

4.1 **Proposed Legislation Designed to Ameliorate the Effects of the Attorney General's Opinion on School Board Member Liability for Uninspected Non-Field Act Buildings**

Mrs. Lancaster commented that if a separate state board for junior colleges is established, the Santa Barbara Junior College District probably would not be affected by the Field Act and should not worry too much about it. Mr. Dall'Armi said it was his opinion that the Board should reply to a letter from Assemblyman Leroy F. Greene in which he proposed to "ameliorate the effects of the Attorney General's Opinion No. 65-324 dated May 4, 1966, on school board member liability for uninspected non-Field Act buildings," and notify Assemblyman Greene that he has the SBJCD Board's support.

Mr. Fillippini said he agreed with Mr. Dall'Armi; he would like to get further details from Assemblyman Greene, then have a Board sub-
committee appointed to meet with Assemblyman Winfield Shoemaker to get his ideas. He added that it may be March or April before the matter will come up for action and that perhaps other, better bills may be submitted.

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini and seconded by Mr. Wells to contact Assemblyman Leroy F. Greene for all available information and to authorize the Board president to appoint a sub-committee to meet with Assemblyman Winfield Shoemaker.
Mr. Frank remarked that the move to make Board members responsible was to obtain implementation and stimulate action in replacing dangerous buildings.

The motion was voted on and carried unanimously.

5. BUSINESS AND FINANCE

5.1 Purchase Order Reports

It was moved by Mrs. Lancaster, seconded by Mr. Wells, and carried unanimously, to authorize and/or ratify the purchase of supplies, equipment, and services on purchase order numbers 11438 through 11508, in accordance with attachments 5.1-a through 5.1-c.

5.2 Payment of Claims

It was moved by Mr. Wells, seconded by Mrs. Meigs, and unanimously carried, to authorize and/or ratify the payment of claims in accordance with attachments 5.2-a and 5.2-b.

5.3 Ratification of a Contract Agreement with IBM Corporation for Lease of Peripheral Data Processing Equipment

It was moved by Mr. Wells and seconded by Mrs. Lancaster to ratify a lease agreement between the Santa Barbara Junior College District and the IBM Corporation for rental of certain data processing peripheral equipment at a monthly rental charge not to exceed $125.00.

Mr. Dall'Armi and Mr. Bresslin noted that funds for these costs have been budgeted and that the equipment would be used by both the Instruction and the Admissions and Records offices.

The motion was voted on and carried unanimously.

5.4 Ratification of Contract Agreement with General Electric TEMPO for Computer Time-Sharing Services

Mr. Dall'Armi stated that funds to cover rental service for General Electric TEMPO computer services would come from both the day and Adult Education budgets of the business education and mathematics divisions.
Mr. Frank asked if the District could come under a minimum package arrangement. Mr. Dall'Armi commented that General Electric has made a very generous arrangement and the District will not be charged as much as it had budgeted.

It was moved by Mr. Fillippini, seconded by Mr. Wells, and carried unanimously, to ratify the agreement between the Santa Barbara Junior College District and General Electric TEMPO for the use of a computer on a time-sharing arrangement.

6. STUDENT PERSONNEL

No report.

7. COMMUNITY RELATIONS

No report.

8. GENERAL INFORMATION

8.1 Summary of Election Results - Proposition A

To Mrs. Meigs' question about the election results listed in the agenda, Dr. Rockwell said that the figures would/been broken down by trustee areas, rather than by supervisory areas, had the results been closer. He suggested that the Board officially commend Mr. John E. Timmons and Dr. John S. Rathbone, chairman and vice-chairman of the bond election campaign, for their tremendous efforts, and added that letters and certificates of thanks are being sent to all who helped in the campaign.

It was moved by Mr. Wells, seconded by Mr. Frank, and unanimously carried, to send official letters of commendation from the Board to Mr. Timmons and Dr. Rathbone. Mrs. Alexander suggested including a statement to the effect that even though the bond election failed, the efforts put forth in disseminating facts about the college were valuable because they resulted in the laying of a solid foundation of information in the community.
8.2 Discussion of Board's Position Regarding Steps to be Taken to Meet Capital Improvement Needs of the District

Mrs. Lancaster said she agreed with the Superintendent's suggestion, contained in the agenda, of establishing a Board sub-committee to work with citizens and faculty. As more persons became interested in the Proposition A campaign, many questions were raised which had not been raised prior to it, she said. She stressed the importance of working with those in opposition as well as with those who favor the District's plans.

Mr. Frank recommended that if such a committee were formed, it be a Committee of the Whole, as all Board members had suggestions to offer.

It was moved by Mrs. Alexander, seconded by Mr. Frank, and carried unanimously, that the Board of Trustees meet as a Committee of the Whole to consider the next bond election and other pertinent items.

8.3 Discussion of Decisions Needed Regarding Required Architectural Services and Curricular Planning for the Immediate Future

Mrs. Alexander moved to hold the question of whether or not the architects should continue or halt their planning, until after the first report of the Committee of the Whole is made.

Mrs. Lancaster said she felt that, temporarily at least, the architects should be directed to stop everything. Dr. Rockwell expressed his opinion that the architects should be placed on a standby basis, to wind up some preliminary drawings in process at the present time, and that it would be foolish to stop for a month in the middle. He stressed the fact that this suggestion did not mean going ahead with new buildings or any new planning.

Mrs. Lancaster stated that there was some bitterness in the community during the campaign. When the people find that they turned down our bond issue and yet the District is going ahead, it might cause more bitterness,
she said. She asked about any additional expense involved if the architects continued working prior to the Committee of the Whole meeting, saying that planning costs money. Mrs. Alexander commented that not planning also costs money.

Dr. Rockwell pointed out that to apply for state or federal financial aid for some programs, the District needs plans as supporting documents. The District cannot apply if everything is stopped completely. He agreed that the District doesn't want to incur any more big bills, but it would be to the District's advantage to keep alive some existing plans.

Mrs. Alexander remarked that the District needs professional consultants for study and restudy. Mr. Wells said that the District should not give up everything because the bond election failed and that the education program must move ahead. Mrs. Lancaster again stated that the Board should face reality and stop all expenditures until the District's exact position is made clear.

Mrs. Meigs commented that the District has employed two architectural firms and should consider not only Daniel, Mann, Johnson, and Mendenhall, but also the architects for the Adult Education Center. She said she believed that the plans already drawn up belong to the District and that they should be completed while those persons involved are still with the present firm. Should the District not use the services of this particular firm later, the plans will still be the District's to be used by the contractors.

Dr. Rockwell said that the schematic and preliminary plans already approved by the Board are well along, but there is no intention of going into anything new. Mr. Frank concurred with Mr. Wells that just because Proposition A failed, planning should not cease. Mr. Mouck stated that, under the Higher Education Facilities Act, Title 1, an effort is being
made to get $5,000 for the life science-geology building. If plans aren't completed, the college will miss out on its share of the $7,000,000 allocated to California. Because the college hasn't asked for any of these particular funds in the past, it has a good chance of getting some at this time.

Mr. Fillippini said that some basic things have not changed—the Board members were elected to look out for the benefits for the college as it represents the community. The master plan must still be developed; this fact has not changed in the period between the day of election and the day after. The trustees' obligations as elected officials mean that they must protect the investment in the planning the District already has done. Perhaps it will be a year before the District picks up where it left off, he continued, but it will cost more to start again from scratch. He said that he saw no mandate from the election failure; it was a trend. The public did not say it didn't want the District to expand or continue planning; it did say that taxes would have to be adjusted at the State level. The District must now determine how best to get the confidence of the voter.

Mrs. Lancaster said that the voters don't expect the Board to become negative, but they want it to face the whole situation to get the greatest value from their dollar. So much has been done in a rush, she said, adding that the Board should take a cautionary attitude, not a negative one.

Mrs. Alexander's motion was then seconded by Mr. Fillippini. The vote was as follows:

**Ayes:** Mrs. Alexander, Mr. Fillippini, Mr. Frank, Mr. Garvin, Mrs. Meigs, Mr. Wells

**Nays:** None

**Absention:** Mrs. Lancaster
Mrs. Meigs urged that the Committee of the Whole meet as soon as possible so that the voters would be informed soon of the decisions for future planning.

Dr. Rockwell said that Mr. Huglin would report on curriculum expansion and cutbacks at the next meeting, in December, and that no action on this item need be taken by the Board at this time.

Mrs. Lancaster said that the proposed RN program is actually not a new one and is of an emergency nature. For years, the college has handled much of the academic training for these student nurses, while Cottage Hospital took care of the clinical side. She thought that probably not much would be needed in the way of additional facilities on campus to carry on this program. Dr. Rockwell replied that the R.N. program is one which probably will be least affected in considering limitation of new courses.

Mr. Frank said that adding the marine technology course would not mean much more in the way of facilities than presently available. He felt that any discouragement in this area would be detrimental.

Dr. Rockwell remarked that, as Mrs. Lancaster and Mr. Frank had stated, adding these particular courses might not cause any difficulties, but the Board and administration should still look at all proposed new courses. Failure of Proposition A has postponed the time when all proposed new courses can be accommodated. This week, he continued, faculty were asked for suggestions. It is thought that earlier, later, and larger classes may be part of the answer.

To clarify his protest on possible postponement of the establishing of the marine technology course, Mr. Frank said he felt the college should not adopt the approach, "If you don't give us this, we can't give you that." Community needs should be satisfied.
Mrs. Lancaster asked if it were legally possible to offer Saturday classes and get financial support for them. Dr. Rockwell answered, "No."

The Board of Trustees decided to hold the meeting of the Committee of the Whole on Tuesday, November 22, at 4 p.m. in the Board Room, at which time Mr. Huglin will furnish preliminary information regarding curricular problems resulting from the bond election failure.

9. ADJOURNMENT

No further business being presented, the President declared the meeting adjourned at 5:35 p.m. to Tuesday, December 13, 1966, at 4 p.m. in the Board Room at Santa Barbara City College, Santa Barbara, California.

Attest:

James R. Garvin
President, Board of Trustees
Santa Barbara Junior College District

Robert C. Rockwell
Superintendent-President and Secretary-
Clerk to the Board of Trustees
Santa Barbara Junior College District

Approved by Board of Trustees

on December 13, 1966