A regular meeting of the Board of Education of the Santa Barbara
High School District was called to order by the Chairman,
Mrs. Elisabetta P. Henderson, on Thursday, June 20, 1963, at 4:00 p.m.

Present: Mrs. Elisabetta P. Henderson, President
Arnold W. Jacquemain, Vice-President
David S. Hickey, Member
Robert E. Kallman, Member
C. E. Sovine, Member

Absent: None

Others present for all or a portion of the meeting included:
Dr. Norman B. Scharer, Superintendent and Secretary-Clerk to Board
George E. Brown, Associate Superintendent and
Assistant Secretary-Clerk to Board
Douglas C. White, Assistant Superintendent
Cleeola M. Brown, Executive Secretary
Harry Trimborn, News-Press Reporter
Dr. Ernest L. Boyer, Director of Santa Barbara Coordinated Education Project
Several staff members, including William E. McLaughlin
Dr. Thelma J. Damgaard, Dr. J. Roy Barron, Dr. Robert E. Barry,
Dr. Robert C. Rockwell, Guido Dal Bello, Robert Casier,
John J. Penney, William C. Beiss, James W. Soske,
Mrs. Alice Boettner, Thomas F. Zeimer, Roland E. Cordoba
A number of citizens, including Mrs. Thomas B. Faunce and her
daughter, Mrs. Thomas B. Lautenschlager, Mrs. M. Leslie Grant,
Mrs. Thomas B. Flynn, Mrs. Robert E. Kallman, Dr. Jerry O'Brien
Approximately 20 other persons

Minutes

Minutes of the Adjourned Board Meeting of May 22, 1963, and the
Regular Board Meeting of June 6, 1963, were approved as submitted.

Welcome to Guests and Staff Members

Mrs. Henderson welcomed guests and staff members present at
the meeting.

Hearing of Citizens and Petitions

Mrs. Thomas B. Faunce said she represented the Santa
Barbara County Republican Women, and that she wished to
present a resolution adopted at a June meeting of the Board
of Directors of the California Federation of Republican Women, and to put three questions to the Board on behalf of her organization. Mrs. Faunce read in its entirety the resolution to which she referred, said resolution being concerned with a book called "Dictionary of American Slang" by Harold Wentworth and Stuart B. Flexner, published in 1960 by Thomas Crowell and Company, Publishers, and setting forth the action by the Board of Directors of the California Federation of Republican Women in demanding that said book be removed from California schools. Mrs. Faunce asked the following questions of the Board of Education:

"Has this book ever been in Santa Barbara junior or senior high schools?"

"Is it there now?"

"Is there any intention to see that it is put there, or will you see that it is not?"

Dr. Scharer stated that similar questions had been asked of him the preceding day by representatives of KEYT, Channel 3, and the Santa Barbara News-Press. Dr. Scharer requested that Dr. Barron, Director of Instructional Materials, give factual information on whether or not this book is in use in any of the Santa Barbara City Schools. Dr. Barron stated that the book in question had not been purchased from any District funds; it is not on the shelves of any of the school libraries in Santa Barbara; it had not been requested by any of the staff nor recommended by any staff committee; and there are no copies available through the schools.

Dr. Barron reaffirmed the identity of the book in question, and stated positively that it was not in use in local schools,
although other types of dictionaries or encyclopedias of slang were available as restricted reserve reference materials for secondary school students.

Dr. Scharer asked if the opposition was against having the book available to students, or against the book per se. Mrs. Faunce said that she had to speak personally in replying to this question. She commented that the "Dictionary of American Slang" to which she was objecting might be of some value for such purposes as research at the university level, or use by clinical psychologists, but that she could see no reason to have it on the shelves of any high school or junior high school library. She made several comments upon her appraisal of the characteristics of said book.

Mr. Kallman said that he had made a personal survey of the libraries in Santa Barbara secondary schools, and had talked to librarians and school principals. He asserted positively that the book was not in any of the junior or senior high school libraries, and he said the Santa Barbara school librarians should be commended for keeping it out of the hands of students. Mr. Kallman said he had seen the book and that he felt it was not the type of book he would like for a child of any age.

Mr. Licker suggested that consideration be given to establishing some fundamentals regarding the Board's control of book selection. He asked what the procedures were for getting books into the school system, and what chance this book would have of being provided for students' use.

Dr. Barron pointed out the differences between textbook
and library book selection procedures, and he reviewed at length
the methods by which school librarians and school library
committees screen books that are requested for library stocks.
He called the Board's attention to reputable lists provided
through such sources as the American Library Association, the
American School Library Association, the H. W. Wilson Company,
and other reputable resources utilized for library purposes.
In response to a question by Mr. Sovine, Dr. Barron replied
that the State has control over the books provided for students
through the eighth grade, and that the State provides lists
of approved books for use in grades nine through twelve.

Mrs. Grant urged the Board members to see the dictionary
in question, stating that it could not be appreciated at all
without personal inspection.

Mrs. Henderson volunteered the information that a person
had offered to send her some sample lists of the words included
in the dictionary.

Dr. Barron stated that until the very recent controversy
about the book, a great many people had never heard of it.

Mrs. Faunce handed Dr. Scharer a printed publication being
distributed by the Christian Citizens for Moral Action of
Lemon Grove, California, containing excerpts from the "Dictionary
of American Slang", and involving viewpoints attributed to the
State Superintendent of Public Instruction and the President of
the Board of Education. This publication was circulated among
Board members during the meeting.

Mrs. Faunce asked for firm assurance that the book would
not be purchased. Mr. Kallman stated that as long as he was a member of the Board of Education he would see that it was not acquired. Mr. Sovine stated that it had never been the policy of the Board of Education to provide salacious material for the schools. Mr. Licker stated that he would like to see the book before taking formal action. He stated he was in agreement with the general principle and the practice of the Board to keep materials of the nature attributed to this book out of the schools. He stated, however, that until he saw it, he would not want to take a vote on the matter. He added that if the book were what Mrs. Faunce said it was, he would have no reservations of any kind in disapproving it.

Dr. Scharer stated that the schools would not want a great many books that are available to students through other sources, and that the schools would certainly not be interested in using the book now under discussion, since there are too many good books available for school use.

Mr. Licker pointed out that there are a lot of problems and conflicting opinions on what is, or what is not, salacious, filthy, or obscene literature. He called attention to the difficulties the courts have had in considering the question, pointing out that there is no uniformity on the question of what materials may be of educational value or what materials may be salacious. He stated that as far as the book in question was concerned, he felt sure that the Board of Education would have no problem in making a decision should a question arise of whether it should be purchased for students' use in Santa Barbara.
Mrs. Faunce stressed that no member of the Board of Education would dare to stand up and read some of the definitions from the book. She said that if this were true, she did not think the schools should make the book available to students. She also stated that what happens outside the schools cannot be helped, but that the problem is to spend the taxpayers' monies to improve and not degrade the students.

Mrs. Henderson stated that as long as there were other suitable dictionaries of slang available, no problem would be created by the dictionary in question, since there would be no occasion for having to buy it.

Mr. Jacquemain assured Mrs. Faunce that she did not have anything to worry about. He stated that when organizations such as the one she represented take the action she reported, he felt sure that the Board of Education would feel the same way. He stated that he certainly would not vote for the book in question.

Mrs. Faunce stated that since none of the Board members was familiar with the book, she felt that they should make a point of reading as much of it "as they could stomach" between now and the next Board meeting. She stated she would like to have a special motion at the next Board meeting on the questions she asked earlier at this meeting.

Mr. Kallman volunteered to get a copy of the dictionary in the hands of the other Board members.

Dr. Scharer stated that the "Dictionary of American Slang" is not an issue in the Santa Barbara City Schools. He emphasized that it had not been requested, recommended, or
considered for purchase. He stated that if the Board were to consider taking the action requested with reference to this dictionary, which has not been considered in any way for use in local schools, he wondered if this action would be considered as a precedent in considering other books that had not been requested by staff members for District purchase. He stated that there might be other groups such as a patriotic organization or an individual church which might want the Board to take a specified action on some other book or books which were not in use or being considered for use in the schools, and he voiced his viewpoint that the Board was going to have to decide the basic principle in making a decision on the book opposed by Mrs. Faunce.

Mr. Kallman stated that there has been so much notoriety about this particular book that it behooved the Board of Education to take a definite position with reference to it. He voiced his belief that it would be very good public relations for the Board and the school system to oppose the "Dictionary of American Slang".

Mr. Licker reaffirmed his position that he would not vote for or against the book, or take any other vote upon it until he had seen it.

Mr. Sovine stated that the problem presented by Mrs. Faunce brought up a broad field of questions. He said that if the Board were to take action in an open meeting against one book with which it and the local schools are not concerned, it might be very likely to receive criticism from other citizens in the community. He stated that there were
many undercurrents in society that might use such action by the Board for some purpose other than the one intended. He voiced his feeling that the Board of Education should determine what should be done with this request on principle.

Mrs. Henderson stated she felt it was a mistake to pay too much attention to this book since advertising it makes young people curious about it. She stated it is very apparent that the Board does not intend to buy the book, and the staff does not intend to recommend its purchase. She stated she felt the Board should not take further action regarding the book unless a specific recommendation to purchase it for use in local schools is made to the Board.

Mr. Licker stated that the Board of Education would agree without question that a book should not be purchased if it were harmful to the students in the minds of the Board of Education or any substantial number of parents. He stated that such a decision would be proper regardless of any established policy, principle, or precedent; but that the Board should know what it was talking about before it took a suggested action on a specific book not under consideration for the local schools.

Mr. Jacquemain stated that he had just read the publication made available by Mrs. Faunce. He stressed that no member of the Board of Education would condone the purchase of the book in question for school use.

Mr. Licker stated that there was nothing before the Board for its consideration. He stated that the individual members of the Board of Education had expressed themselves; that the staff has been sufficiently alerted; and that there is no danger
that the book will be supplied in the local schools. He stated
the "Dictionary of American Slang" has not been requested, and
the Board of Education is not faced with the question of whether
to provide it or not to provide it. He stated that if a specific
recommendation were made to the Board to purchase this book,
the Board would then be in a position to make a decision on it.

Mr. Jacquemain told Mrs. Faunce that he commended her
organization for communicating its feelings to the Board.
Mr. Licker agreed that Mrs. Faunce and her organization should
be commended for bringing this question up at this Board meeting.
He stated there appeared to be an erroneous impression in the
minds of a few people that the book under discussion was
available to the students through the Santa Barbara City Schools.
He expressed appreciation for the opportunity to correct
this error.

Communications

The Superintendent presented subdivision maps received
from the County of Santa Barbara regarding development of
tracts numbers 10156; 10267; 10295. The maps were received
and ordered filed.

Personnel

Upon the recommendation of the Superintendent, and
following a question by Mr. Jacquemain regarding the basis
of providing transportation allowance for teachers, it was
moved by Mr. Jacquemain, seconded by Mr. Sovine, and
unanimously carried, to approve certificated personnel
assignments in accordance with details of Attachment #1
to these Minutes.
Following a brief report by Mr. Murphy in response to a question by Mr. Kallman on the financial support of the Child Care Program, it was moved by Mr. Kallman, seconded by Mr. Jacquemain, and unanimously carried to approve classified personnel assignments in accordance with details of Attachment #2 to these Minutes.

Mr. White called the Board's attention to the retirement of Russell G. Stueck, carpenter-foreman.

Curriculum and Instruction

Dr. Scharer introduced Dr. Ernest L. Boyer, Director of the Santa Barbara Coordinated Education Project, who reported details of this County-wide Project for the improvement of education in the Santa Barbara area. Dr. Boyer outlined problems of articulation and coordination between and among various educational levels and organizations in the County. He cited several examples of needs for improvement, both vertically and horizontally, among public school districts and institutions of higher learning. He reviewed the thinking that had gone into organization of the Project through voluntary efforts of a group of leading educators in Santa Barbara County, stating that the Ford Foundation had provided a grant of $25,000 for the organizational period.

Dr. Boyer also reported that the Ford Foundation had provided $365,000 for conduct of the Project during the next three years, and that it appeared that one of the factors which caused favorable action by the Foundation was that organization and conduct of the Project was based on voluntary interest and cooperation among school districts and educational institutions.
Dr. Boyer stated that the Project would be administered by UCSB and conducted under the auspices of a Board of Governors that would be responsible for expenditure of the funds provided. He also reported that Dr. Scharer, Superintendent of the Santa Barbara City Schools, was Chairman of said Board of Governors. Following is a summary of Dr. Boyer’s further statements:

The Project is concerned with improving the total educational process. It will center around the five high school districts in Santa Barbara County, and will involve the component elementary districts within each high school district, as they choose to participate. General goals of the Project include:

Improving teacher training based on realistic appraisal of current classroom challenges; greater mutual understanding between and among all levels of public school education and the University of California; coordination of the educational programs offered in the various elementary districts which comprise a single high school district, such as the Santa Barbara High School District and the six elementary districts of which it is composed; clarification of common problems facing the various educational levels and districts; sequential curriculum planning; coordination of instructional programs in specific instructional fields, such as foreign language and mathematics, etc.

A lengthy discussion followed among Board members, Dr. Scharer, and Dr. Boyer. Highlights of the matters discussed were the effect of any unification plans on the general problems outlined by Dr. Boyer; the commitments on the part of the
participating school districts for continuing specific projects
initiated with financing by the Ford Foundation; the type of
specific projects anticipated, and the need for them; whether
or not any "guinea pig" projects would be initiated; the extent
of the planning to date; the extent to which teacher time
will be used through participation in the Project; the extent
to which classroom teachers have been involved in organization
of the project; and other details.

Dr. Scharer stated the Ford Foundation grant would enable
the Santa Barbara City Schools to do more quickly what will
have to be done eventually. He stated that projects involving
the City Schools would be handled by Dr. Damgaard and Mr. McLaughlin
in consultation with principals and teachers.

There were comments by several members of the audience.
Mrs. Shirley Bevier commented that the persons who participated
in the organizational period of the Project had done so without
authorization, and that it appeared to her that too much
planning had already been done. She suggested that the Board
of Education consider such a situation very carefully. She
also stated that she had several children, and that she had been
very much impressed with the terrific amount of existing
cooperation and coordination. She voiced her personal belief
that she did not feel the project was necessary, since there
is an efficient system of coordination in the schools. She
said that teachers are concerned with preparing children for
the next highest level, and that junior high school representatives,
for example, now talk to sixth grade classes.
There was an exchange of comments, during which Mrs. Henderson commented that the Project may be new to several members of the audience and to Mr. Kallman, but that the members of the Board of Education have been very much aware of it since its initiation. In response to a question, Dr. Boyer reported on the membership of the Board of Governors. Dr. Boyer spoke further to the point of the types of problems to be considered during the conduct of the Project, pointing out that coordination and articulation problems were more comprehensive than those of a single grade level, school, or district.

It was moved by Mr. Jacquemain that the Santa Barbara City Schools participate in the Coordinated Education Project, and that the Superintendent be requested to bring back to the Board further information on planning. Mr. Licker agreed with Mr. Jacquemain's motion, stating it was his understanding that the Superintendent would present for the Board's approval specific projects involving Santa Barbara City Schools.

Mr. Kallman voiced his understanding that such action would mean that projects involving the City Schools were yet to be developed. He suggested that the Board withhold any action on the Project until it has more information on the specific projects to be concerned. Mr. Sovine said that there would be no authority for the staff to proceed with development of any specific project plans until the Board took the action recommended at this meeting. Dr. Boyer said that plans to date have been developed on the assumption that everyone concerned would want to cooperate
in this long-range plan to improve obvious weaknesses in
the total educational program. He stated that if all of
the interested parties were to refuse to participate at this
point, it would place the Project in a very difficult position,
since there would be no authorization to proceed in the
development of further plans.

There was an exchange of comments on the meaning of the
word "participation".

Mrs. M. Leslie Grant stated it appeared to her that the
schools already had cooperation among departments, since
"teachers of foreign languages, social science and mathematics
get together and sort of know informally what they are doing."
She also stated that it appeared to her that the Project aimed
toward centralized control in education, since it could
eliminate some school boards. Mrs. Henderson pointed out that
the Santa Barbara Board of Education would have no control
over the actions of the Goleta Board of Trustees, for example,
and that efforts to provide better articulation and coordination
on curriculum matters were not related to any unification plans.

There was a review of the motion made earlier by
Mr. Jacquemain and the subsequent modifications in wording
suggested by Board members. It was then moved by Mr. Jacquemain
and seconded by Mr. Sovine to authorize participation by the
Santa Barbara City Schools in the Santa Barbara Coordinated
Education Project, subject to approval by the Board of
Education of specific projects involving the Santa Barbara
City Schools. The motion was carried with one dissenting vote
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by Mr. Kallman, who stated he had voted against the motion because he was afraid there was lack of understanding of the extent of the problems and how they might interfere with the day-to-day education of children.

The Superintendent recommended adoption of junior and senior high school and City College books presented at the last meeting of the Board. He stated that the books had been on display for the past two weeks in accordance with customary procedure, and that a number of persons had examined them.

Mr. Kallman said that he had looked at all of the books, and read some of them. He asked Dr. Barron and Mr. McLaughlin for their personal assurance that, if the audio-lingual approach to foreign language instruction is approved, the sufficiently skilled teachers and instructional tools required would be available for every student enrolled in foreign language classes. He asked whether each student would be provided with the 14 instructional units, including records, indicated by the audio-lingual approach; and whether sufficient tape recorders were available for their use. Dr. Barron explained that only 7 instructional units, including records, would be purchased and used in introducing students to Spanish and French at the junior high school level. He also reported on the number of tape recorders that would be available. Dr. Barron stated that all the foreign language teachers in the school system were very well prepared, and that some were more familiar with the audio-lingual approach
than others. Mr. McLaughlin commented on in-service training of teachers and reported that a workshop previously approved by the Board of Education would be conducted in August to acquaint teachers thoroughly with the minutiae of the audio-lingual materials now recommended for approval. There was a further exchange of comments among Mr. Kallman, Mr. McLaughlin, and Dr. Barron.

Mr. Kallman also questioned "English 2600" recommended for use in the junior high schools, describing it as a workbook. Mrs. Faunce spoke of her personal experience in assisting with book repair work at San Marcos High School, and said there would be a problem with students writing in these books. She said it would be simpler to mimeograph the information contained in the book.

Dr. Barron stated that "English 2600" would be used as a supplementary book and that it was not a consumable book. He said the book had been used on a trial basis with students who could not speak English, and that the problem anticipated by Mrs. Faunce had not occurred. He also reported that it would be illegal to mimeograph contents of the book.

It was moved by Mr. Licker, seconded by Mr. Sovine, and unanimously carried, to adopt the books recommended for use beginning with the 1963-1964 school year. (Please see Attachment #3 to these Minutes.)

Several members of the audience left the meeting.

School Plants and Property

The Superintendent presented a tabulation of advertised bids opened Wednesday, June 19, at 2:00 p.m. on rehabilitation
of the main building at La Cumbre Junior High School, together
with an analysis of the cost of the La Cumbre Junior High School
building projects. Mr. Browne reviewed said cost analysis in
detail, and suggested that the Board give consideration to
financing expenditures required by the State Fire Marshall
by levying an excess tax rate authorized by Education Code
Section 15517. Mr. Browne stated that the fire prevention
requirements by the State Fire Marshall concerning current building
projects at La Cumbre and Santa Barbara High Schools would cost
a total of approximately $50,000, which represented about a 2 1/2¢
tax rate in the High School District. He said that a decision
by the Board of Education on whether it would request that
said excess tax rate be levied was not required at this particular
time, but that it would be required by August 1, 1963.

Mr. Licker called attention to the fact that the bond
building projects at La Cumbre Junior High School were expected
to cost slightly over one-half million dollars, and he
questioned whether a contingency amount of $6,000, for such
a major building program could be considered at all adequate.
Mr. Browne replied in the negative, and said that approximately
$5,000, additional would probably be available for contingent
expenses if income from the sources outlined in his cost
analysis were realized.

Mr. Licker recalled that the cost of repairing the fire
damage at La Cumbre several years ago had been much higher
than anticipated because all of the work required by the
State Division of Architecture could not be anticipated at the
time the Project was initiated. He stated the same situation
might apply in bringing the remainder of the main building at
La Cumbre up to current standards prescribed by the State Division of
Architecture. Mr. Noel of Noel-Henderson, Architects for said
Project, concurred that this would be a possibility, but he
reported that the State Division of Architecture had had the plans
for the La Cumbre rehabilitation project for about 10 weeks, and
that its investigations had included sending representatives
directly to Santa Barbara, and having sections cut at various
points throughout the building to determine the extent of the work
required. He stated that the amount of investigation given to this
project already should help to minimize the number of change orders
and any additional expense that might be required.

Mr. Licker also questioned the advisability of levying an
excess tax to finance recommendations or requirements of the State
Fire Marshall. There was concurrence by a majority of the Board
members that they did not wish to levy the excess tax if other
funds could be made available for this purpose.

There was discussion on the balance available in the 1957
bond fund, and on a suggestion by Mr. Jacquemain that the fire
sprinkler systems at La Cumbre and Santa Barbara High Schools
be paid for from said bond fund. Mr. Browne pointed out there
are major projects included in the 1962 bond building program
that are yet to be completed; that the estimated costs
recommended by the Citizens' Advisory Committee were lower in
general than actual costs have proven to be; and that it is
not possible to estimate at this time how much of the 1957 bond
fund balance may be required for the other projects. He also
reported that several urgently needed items, such as additional
lockers and folding partitions in large area classrooms at
San Marcos High School were under administrative consideration for financing from the 1957 bond fund balance, subject to approval by the Board of Education.

There was also lengthy discussion concerning the bids received on the remodeling and rehabilitation project at La Cumbre Junior High School. The details and the need for each of the nine alternates to the base bid were discussed, particularly Alternates #1 (snack shack), #2 (cafeteria painting and flooring), #6 (acoustical panels, band and choral room), and #9 (cooking classrooms) that the Administration recommended for Board acceptance.

Mr. Dal Bello, Principal of La Cumbre Junior High School, reported to the Board on the present facilities for feeding students which were provided when the school was built in 1927. He stated that when the rehabilitation project is under construction, no feeding facilities of any kind would be available for the students unless the Board accepted the Alternate #1 to provide a snack shack.

It was moved by Mr. Jacquemain, seconded by Mr. Sovine, and unanimously carried, to accept the low bid of Don Greene and Kenneth C. Urton, doing business as a joint venture, in the total amount of $334,810, representing the base bid plus Alternates numbers 1, 2, 6 and 9, as summarized below, on the rehabilitation project at La Cumbre Junior High School, and to authorize the Business Office to proceed with the necessary contract documents; and further to assign C. Dick Craig as building inspector on this project and in conjunction with the other building project at La Cumbre,
at a total monthly compensation of $683, effective July 1, 1963

(please see page 22 of these Minutes for amendment of contract with Mr. Craig):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$807,000</td>
<td>Base Bid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12,900</td>
<td>Alternate #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,260</td>
<td>Alternate #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Alternate #6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>Alternate #9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$834,810</td>
<td>Total contract award</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was moved by Mr. Jacquemain, seconded by Mr. Licker, and unanimously carried, to accept the low bid of Geneva Industries, Inc. in the amount of $18,491. (base bid plus Alternate A) for metal cabinets at La Cumbre Junior High School, and to authorize the Business Office to proceed with the necessary contract documents.

It was moved by Mr. Jacquemain, seconded by Mr. Kallman, and unanimously carried to transfer the sum of $30,000 from the balance of the 1957 bond fund to the current bond fund appropriation for the rehabilitation project at La Cumbre Junior High School in order to cover the State Fire Marshall's requirements.

In accordance with a recent directive by the Board of Education, Mr. Browne reported on details of his recent contact with Richard B. Taylor, landscape architect, regarding professional landscape architect services relating to development of the Goleta Valley Junior High School. Mr. Browne reported that Mr. Taylor would provide a master plan, working drawings and supervision for a fee of $1,000. He stated that Mr. Taylor's fee for similar services at La Colina Junior High School had been $1,700. Mr. Jacquemain stated that he did not believe that the services of a
landscape architect on the Goleta Valley Junior High School project were necessary, and that the $1,000 fee could be saved by having the work done by force account. There was discussion on the extent of the work to be done, the advisability of having it coordinated with plans by the building architect, whether or not it would be practical to have the work done by force account, and other related matters.

It was moved by Mr. Licker, seconded by Mr. Kallman, to retain Richard B. Taylor as landscape architect for the Goleta Valley Junior High School at a fee of $1,000, as outlined at this meeting. The motion was carried with one dissenting vote by Mr. Jacquemain.

Mr. Browne reviewed negotiations with Daniel, Mann, Johnson, & Mendenhall, regarding architectural and engineering services for the Santa Barbara City College. He stated that through inadvertent oversight, the customary fee of 10% for architectural and engineering services pertaining to alteration of the main building at the City College was not stipulated in the contract. Mr. Browne reported that Daniel, Mann, Johnson & Mendenhall had called this oversight to the Board's attention in November, 1961, and that the matter had been referred to the County Counsel, who was of the opinion that the Board of Education had discretionary power to amend the contract as agreed upon by both parties thereto in order to correct an error or supply an omission regarding the amount of compensation. Mr. Browne presented proposed wording of two additional sections of the basic agreement dated September 21, 1961, by and between the High School District...
and Daniel, Mann, Johnson, & Mendenhall. There was general
discussion concerning details of the present agreement, the
effect of the proposed additions, the validity of modifying
or amending the contract at this time, and other details.

For Mr. Kallman's benefit, Mr. Jacquemain reviewed the
processes by which Daniel, Mann, Johnson, & Mendenhall had
been selected for the City College projects, and he said that
the Board of Education had agreed to the 10% fee on alteration
work at the time contract negotiations were in process.

Mr. Kallman stated that he was not a participant in the
verbal arrangement, and that he considered himself a free
agent in making a decision at this time.

It was moved by Mr. Sovine, seconded by Mr. Licker, to
add the following Section C to Article 2, Schedule of Fees, of
the basic agreement dated September 21, 1961, by and between
the Santa Barbara High School District, and Daniel, Mann,
Johnson, & Mendenhall; and to disapprove suggested Section D
relating to the fee applicable to segregated contracts. The
motion was carried with one dissenting vote by Mr. Kallman.

Additional section:
C. For any alteration or addition to an existing
building, the maximum fee percentage
established in Article II, Section 'B' shall
be increased to ten percent (10%).

Upon the recommendation of the Superintendent, and
following a report by Mr. Browne, it was moved by
Mr. Sovine, seconded by Mr. Licker, and unanimously carried,
that the agreement dated February 7, 1963, by and between
the Santa Barbara High School District and C. Dick Craig
regarding Mr. Craig's services as building inspector on the
current building projects at La Cumbre Junior High School be amended to provide for seven hours service a day, and that the amount of monthly compensation be amended to concur with the revised salary schedules approved by the Board of Education on April 18, 1968.

Several additional persons left the Board meeting.

Upon the recommendation of the Superintendent, and following a report on details by Mr. Browne, it was moved by Mr. Licker, seconded by Mr. Sovine, and unanimously carried, to approve specifications as submitted on painting projects at Santa Barbara Junior High School and Santa Barbara High School as outlined at this meeting; and to authorize advertisement for bids thereon with the bid opening to be on Tuesday, July 16, 1968, at 2:00 p.m.

Upon the recommendation of the Superintendent, and following brief discussion, it was moved by Mr. Licker, seconded by both Mr. Kallman and Mr. Sovine, and unanimously carried, to approve specifications as submitted on installation of a gas-fired boiler at La Cumbre Junior High School to replace an obsolete, irreparable boiler; and to authorize advertisement for bids thereon, with the bid opening to be on Tuesday, July 16, 1968, at 2:00 p.m.

Upon the recommendation of Mr. Browne and Arendt/Mosher/Grant, Architects, as reflected in a letter dated June 18, 1968, it was moved by Mr. Jacquemain, seconded by Mr. Sovine, and unanimously carried, to approve the following changes in subcontractors for the alteration project at Santa Barbara High School recently awarded to Kenneth C. Urton, General Contractor:
Resilient floor covering:
Classic Floors, 709½ State Street
(instead of Gray's Floor Covering)

Gypsum wallboard:
Vern J. Gerritsen Co., 200 No. Quarantina St.
(instead of by forces of General Contractor)

Upon the recommendation of the Superintendent, and following brief comments concerning the relatively few purchase orders presented for the Board's consideration at the close of the fiscal year, it was moved by Mr. Sovine, seconded by Mr. Jacquemain, and unanimously carried, to authorize and/or ratify the purchase of supplies, equipment and services on purchase orders numbers 2142 through 2193, in conjunction with Santa Barbara School District.

Upon the recommendation of the Superintendent, it was moved by Mr. Licker, seconded by Mr. Sovine, and unanimously carried, to authorize and/or ratify the payment of general claims on warrants numbers B-6719 through B-7108, in conjunction with the Santa Barbara School District.

Mr. Kallman called attention to the fact that Mr. Mike Rappaport had been in attendance at this Board meeting for several hours awaiting an opportunity to request use of the Santa Barbara High School swimming pool for a swim club which the City Recreation Commission, of which he is now a member, had agreed to sponsor. Mr. Kallman said he had suggested that Mr. Rappaport attend this meeting.

Mr. Rappaport summarized plans for use of said pool for this purpose, and reported that Mr. Foss, swimming pool maintenance man at Santa Barbara High School, had already approved his application. Mr. Browne reported that the
customary procedure provides that the City Recreation Department fill out the prescribed application forms. Mr. Browne briefly reviewed the procedure for applying for and receiving permission to use public school facilities under the Civic Center Act adopted by the State Legislature.

Mr. Licker said that the Board had previously given considerable attention to a request that competitive swimming, including water polo, be initiated at Santa Barbara High School. He asked whether Mr. Rappaport's plans were concerned at all with this previous request, and Mr. Rappaport replied in the negative.

Mr. Browne stated that the High School swimming pool had already been arranged for during the summer months by several other organizations, and that it would be necessary to determine whether it would be free at the times desired by Mr. Rappaport. Mr. Soske called attention to the fact that the pool will also be shut down for repairs later in the summer. It was suggested that the request be referred to the City Recreation Department and Business Department for customary handling.

Upon the recommendation of the Superintendent, it was moved by Mr. Jacquemain, seconded by Mr. Licker, and unanimously carried, to adjourn this meeting to Thursday, June 27, at 4:00 p.m.

Attest:

Mrs. Elisabetta F. Henderson, President

Norman B. Scharer, Superintendent and Secretary-Clerk to Board of Education

Approved by Board of Education on ______________, 1963