Members Present: Blake Barron, Barbara Bell, Susan Broderick, Jim Chesher, Esther Frankel, Jack Friedlander, Tom Garey, Peter Haslund (Chair), Mary Lawson, Tom Mahoney, Petra Malinova, Kathy Molloy, Kim Monda, Marcy Moore, Elida Moreno, Kathy O’Connor, Peter Rojas, Jan Schultz, Sheri Shields, Laura Welby

1.0 CALL TO ORDER

1.1 Approval of Minutes for November 17, 2004
M/S/C To approve the Minutes of the Academic Senate, 11-17-04 w/ correction: add Marcy Moore to “members present” (Schultz/Barron)
Note for Fall 2004: Marcy Moore has attended every Academic Senate meeting except the first.

1.2 Approval of Agenda – so approved

2.0 INFORMATION (Announcements, Communications)

2.1 Faculty Role in New & Replacement Faculty Recruitment
The attached letter identifies the new and replacement positions at SBCC. Senators and faculty were encouraged to reach out beyond our immediate community to colleagues at other colleges who might have part-time faculty who are “stars” and invite them to participate.

2.2 Life After Oracle? How to provide faculty input.
A Student ?? Systems Procurement workgroup has been formed and for the next five to six months will identify, evaluate and select the next system. The vendors chosen as finalists will be asked to do business scenario demos on campus with their product.

2.3 Dec. 7 Party: We were a co-sponsor!
The Academic Senate was one of several co-sponsors for this holiday event.

2.4 Report from our Commission on Senate Restructuring (for your holiday enjoyment!)
For your review and comment. The report has been given to all Academic Senate committee chairs for input and will be forwarded to the appropriate Academic Senate committee for a formal review before it returns to the Senate.

2.5 NewsPress Article
The reporter of the article misinterpreted some of the information and gave the reader the impression that SBCC faculty/staff does not work on Friday. The intent of the information in the article was to show SBCC’s focus and commitment toward increasing the number of class offerings on Fridays. No further comment will be made about the error unless there are letters to the editor to respond to.
3.0 HEARING/DISCUSSION

3.1 Division Meetings: John Romo will reinstate the Division Meetings for the Spring Semester. What can we do to maximize their value? Divisions should notify the EVP and President Romo as soon as possible if they wish to have the Division meetings reinstated. If a Division Meeting is to be scheduled please put your request in early. The format for the Division Meetings is flexible. English, Social Science, Technology, Educational Support and PE acknowledged their interest in scheduling a Division meeting.

3.2 AAUP Statement on Professional Ethics: To be forwarded to AP for comment and recommendation. (pgs 18-25)

There is no evidence that the Academic Senate approved this statement.

M/S To adopt the A.A. U.P. “Statement on Professional Ethics” (Garey/Chesher)

M/S To recommend that the A.A.U.P. “Statement on Professional Ethics” be referred to Academic Policies for review and recommendations (O’Connor/Garey)

3.3 CAC Chair: Discussion of the process by which we select a successor for Kathy

The first notice has been sent campus wide for those interested in serving as CAC chair. The second notice to be sent when Spring 05 begins and request those that are interested in the position to notify the Academic Senate. Each applicant should review the commission report on committee restructuring.

3.4 Student College Experiences Survey (Jack)

Every three years – during Spring semester the surveys are administered in class. Some options: 1) Form a special workgroup (to be completed by end of Feb) to review the survey and make changes, recommendations and updates depending on the issues and focus of the survey. 2) Send the surveys to an Academic Senate committee such as the Committee on Teaching and Learning and have representatives from each Division on the committee. The results are to be brought back to the Academic Senate by the end of February or early March.

Suggestion: to have Committee on Teaching and Learning form a sub committee.

3.5 Awards: Standback-Stroud and Hayward Award Nominations

The Faculty Recognition committee recommends Peter Haslund for the Hayward award nomination. Kathy Molloy, Academic Senate president-elect, will write the candidate support letter on behalf of the Senate. The Faculty Recognition committee also recommended the nomination of Sonia Zuniga-Lomeli for the Standback-Stroud Award.

M/S To suspend the rules and move the Hayward Award nominee recommendation to action (Schultz/Broderick)
M/S To suspend the rules and move the Standback-Stroud nominee recommendation to action (Garey/Larson)

4.0 ACTION

4.1 Senate Bylaw Amendment, FEC recommended changes.
   The proposed request is in direct response to reflect the changes made to the Faculty Enrichment Committee and Faculty Professional Development reconfiguration.

This item was withdrawn from the Agenda by request of petitioner and shall be placed on the next agenda.

4.2 Nominations: Hayward Award and Standback-Stroud Award
M/S/C To approve the Hayward Award nominee: Peter Haslund (O’Connor/Molloy) Unanimous

M/S/C To approve the Standback-Stroud Award nominee: Sonia Zuniga-Lomeli (O’Connor/Schultz) Unanimous

5.0 REPORT
There were no reports.

6.0 ADJOURNMENT